

## ANNALES

## DE

# L'INSTITUT FOURIER

Cédric BONNAFÉ & Christophe HOHLWEG

Generalized descent algebra and construction of irreducible characters of hyperoctahedral groups

Tome 56, nº 1 (2006), p. 131-181.

<http://aif.cedram.org/item?id=AIF\_2006\_\_56\_1\_131\_0>

© Association des Annales de l'institut Fourier, 2006, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Annales de l'institut Fourier » (http://aif.cedram.org/), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://aif.cedram.org/legal/). Toute reproduction en tout ou partie cet article sous quelque forme que ce soit pour tout usage autre que l'utilisation à fin strictement personnelle du copiste est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

## cedram

Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques http://www.cedram.org/

### GENERALIZED DESCENT ALGEBRA AND CONSTRUCTION OF IRREDUCIBLE CHARACTERS OF HYPEROCTAHEDRAL GROUPS

#### by Cédric BONNAFÉ & Christophe HOHLWEG (\*)

#### WITH AN APPENDIX

#### by Pierre BAUMANN & Christophe HOHLWEG

ABSTRACT. — We construct a subalgebra  $\Sigma'(W_n)$  of dimension  $2 \cdot 3^{n-1}$  of the group algebra of the Weyl group  $W_n$  of type  $B_n$  containing its usual Solomon algebra and the one of  $\mathfrak{S}_n \colon \Sigma'(W_n)$  is nothing but the Mantaci-Reutenauer algebra but our point of view leads us to a construction of a surjective morphism of algebras  $\Sigma'(W_n) \to \mathbb{Z}\operatorname{Irr}(W_n)$ . Jöllenbeck's construction of irreducible characters of the symmetric group by using the coplactic equivalence classes can then be transposed to  $W_n$ . In an appendix, P. Baumann and C. Hohlweg present in an explicit and combinatorial way the relation between this construction of the irreducible characters of  $W_n$  and that of W. Specht.

RÉSUMÉ. — Nous construisons une sous-algèbre  $\Sigma'(W_n)$  de dimension  $2 \cdot 3^{n-1}$  de l'algèbre du groupe de Weyl  $W_n$  de type  $B_n$  contenant son algèbre de Solomon usuelle ainsi que celle de  $\mathfrak{S}_n : \Sigma'(W_n)$  n'est autre que l'algèbre de Mantaci-Reutenauer mais notre point de vue nous permet de construire un morphisme d'algèbres surjectif  $\Sigma'(W_n) \to \mathbb{Z}\operatorname{Irr}(W_n)$ . La construction de Jöllenbeck des caractères irréductibles de  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  à partir des classes d'équivalence coplaxique se transpose alors à  $W_n$ . Un appendice à cet article, écrit par P. Baumann et C. Hohlweg, donne le lien combinatoire explicite entre cette construction des caractères irréductibles de  $W_n$  et celle obtenue par W. Specht en 1932.

#### 1. Introduction

Let (W, S) be a finite Coxeter system and let  $\ell : W \to \mathbf{N}$  denote the length function. If  $I \subset S$ ,  $W_I = \langle I \rangle$  is the standard parabolic subgroup

Keywords: descent algebra, hyperoctahedral group, coplactic algebra.

Math. classification: 05E15.

<sup>(\*)</sup> This work was done during the time where the second author was at the Institut de recherche mathématique avancée, Strasbourg.

generated by I and  $X_I = \{w \in W \mid \forall s \in I, \ell(ws) > \ell(w)\}$  is a crosssection of  $W/W_I$ . Write  $x_I = \sum_{w \in X_I} w \in \mathbb{Z}W$ , then  $\Sigma(W) = \bigoplus_{I \subset S} \mathbb{Z}x_I$ is a subalgebra of  $\mathbb{Z}W$  and the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear map  $\theta : \Sigma(W) \to \mathbb{Z}$  Irr  $W, x_I \mapsto$ Ind<sup>W</sup><sub>WI</sub> 1 is a morphism of algebras:  $\Sigma(W)$  is called the *descent algebra* or the Solomon algebra of W [18]. However, the morphism  $\theta$  is surjective if and only if W is a product of symmetric groups.

The aim of this paper is to construct, whenever W is of type C, a subalgebra  $\Sigma'(W)$  of  $\mathbb{Z}W$  containing  $\Sigma(W)$  and a surjective morphism of algebras  $\theta' : \Sigma'(W) \to \mathbb{Z}$  Irr W build similarly as  $\Sigma(W)$  by starting with a bigger generating set. More precisely, let  $(W_n, S_n)$  denote a Coxeter system of type  $C_n$  and write  $S_n = \{t, s_1, \ldots, s_{n-1}\}$  where the Dynkin diagram of  $(W_n, S_n)$  is:

$$\underbrace{t \quad s_1 \quad s_2 \quad s_{n-1}}_{\bigcirc \longrightarrow \bigcirc \bigcirc \longrightarrow \bigcirc } \cdots \longrightarrow \bigcirc$$

Let  $t_1 = t$ ,  $t_i = s_{i-1}t_{i-1}s_{i-1}$   $(2 \leq i \leq n)$  and  $S'_n = S_n \cup \{t_1, \ldots, t_n\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}_0(S'_n)$  denote the set of subsets I of  $S'_n$  such that  $I = \langle I \rangle \cap S'_n$ . If  $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(S'_n)$ , let  $W_I$ ,  $X_I$  and  $x_I$  be defined as before. Then:

THEOREM. —  $\Sigma'(W_n) = \bigoplus_{I \in \mathcal{P}_0(S'_n)} \mathbf{Z} x_I$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathbf{Z} W_n$  and the **Z**-linear map  $\theta_n : \Sigma'(W_n) \to \mathbf{Z} \operatorname{Irr} W_n, x_I \mapsto \operatorname{Ind}_{W_I}^W 1$  is a surjective morphism of algebras. Moreover,  $\operatorname{Ker} \theta_n = \sum_{I \equiv I'} \mathbf{Z}(x_I - x_{I'})$  and  $\mathbf{Q} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \operatorname{Ker} \theta_n$  is the radical of the **Q**-algebra  $\mathbf{Q} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \Sigma'(W_n)$ .

In this theorem, the notation  $I \equiv I'$  means that there exists  $w \in W_n$  such that  $I' = {}^wI$ , that is,  $W_I$  and  $W_{I'}$  are conjugated. This theorem is stated and proved in §3.3. Note that it is slightly differently formulated: in fact, it turns out that there is a natural bijection between signed compositions of n and  $\mathcal{P}_0(S'_n)$  (see Lemma 2.5). So, everything in the text is indexed by signed compositions instead of  $\mathcal{P}_0(S'_n)$ . It must also be noticed that, by opposition with the classical case, the multiplication  $x_I x_J$  may involve negative coefficients. Using another basis, we show that  $\Sigma'(W_n)$  is precisely the generalized descent algebra discovered by Mantaci and Reutenauer [16].

Using this theorem and the Robinson-Schensted correspondence for type C constructed by Stanley [20] and a Knuth version of it given in [4], we obtain an analog of Jöllenbeck's result (on the construction of characters of the symmetric group [11]) using an extension  $\tilde{\theta}_n : \mathcal{Q}_n \to \mathbb{Z} \operatorname{Irr} W_n$  of  $\theta_n$  to the coplactic space  $\mathcal{Q}_n$  (see Theorem 4.14). The coplactic space refers to Jöllenbeck's construction revised in [3].

Now, let  $SP = \bigoplus_{n \ge 0} \mathbf{Z}W_n$ ,  $\Sigma' = \bigoplus_{n \ge 0} \Sigma'(W_n)$  and  $\mathcal{Q} = \bigoplus_{n \ge 0} \mathcal{Q}_n$ . Let  $\theta = \bigoplus_{n \ge 0} \theta_n$  and  $\tilde{\theta} = \bigoplus_{n \ge 0} \tilde{\theta}_n$ . Aguiar and Mahajan have proved that SP

is naturally a Hopf algebra and that  $\Sigma'$  is a Hopf subalgebra [1]. We prove here that Q is also a Hopf subalgebra of  $S\mathcal{P}$  (containing  $\Sigma'$ ) and that  $\theta$  and  $\tilde{\theta}$  are surjective morphisms of Hopf algebras (see Theorem 5.8). This generalizes similar results in symmetric groups ([17] and [3]), which are parts of combinatorial tools used within the framework of the representation theory of type A (see for instance [21]).

In the last section of this paper, we give some explicit computations in  $\Sigma'(W_2)$  (characters, complete set of orthogonal primitive idempotents, Cartan matrix of  $\Sigma'(W_2)...$ ).

In the Appendix, P. Baumann and the second author link the above construction with the Specht construction and symmetric functions (see [14]).

Remark. — It seems interesting to try to construct a subalgebra  $\Sigma'(W)$ of  $\mathbb{Z}W$  containing  $\Sigma(W)$  and a morphism  $\theta' : \Sigma'(W) \to \mathbb{Z}$  Irr W extending  $\theta$  for arbitrary Coxeter group W. But it is in general impossible to do so in a same way as we did for type C (by extending the generating set). Computations using CHEVIE programs [7] show us that it is impossible to work it in type  $D_4$  and that the reasonable choices in  $F_4$  fail (we do not obtain a subalgebra!). However, it is possible to do something similar for type  $G_2$ . More precisely, let (W, S) be of type  $G_2$ . Write  $S = \{s, t\}$  and let  $S' = \{s, t, sts, tstst\}$  and repeat the procedure described above to obtain a sub- $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\Sigma'(W)$  of  $\mathbb{Z}W$  and a morphism  $\theta' : \Sigma'(W) \to \mathbb{Z}$  Irr W. Then  $\Sigma'(W)$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathbb{Z}W$ ,  $\theta'$  is surjective but  $\theta'$  is not a morphism of algebras. We have rank $_{\mathbb{Z}} \Sigma'(W) = 8$  and rank $_{\mathbb{Z}}$  Ker  $\theta' = 2$ .

#### 2. Some reflection subgroups of hyperoctahedral groups

In this article, we denote  $[m,n] = \{i \in \mathbf{Z} \mid m \leq i \leq n\} = \{m, m + 1, \dots, n - 1, n\}$ , for all  $m \leq n \in \mathbf{Z}$ , and sign  $(i) \in \{\pm 1\}$  the sign of  $i \in \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ . If E is a set, we denote by  $\mathfrak{S}(E)$  the group of permutations on the set E. If  $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ , we often denote by  $\overline{m}$  the integer -m.

#### 2.1. The hyperoctahedral group

We begin by making clear some notation and definitions concerning the hyperoctahedral group  $W_n$ . Denote  $1_n$  the identity of  $W_n$  (or 1 if no confusion is possible). We denote by  $\ell_t(w)$  the number of occurrences of t in a reduced decomposition of w and we define  $\ell_s(w) = \ell(w) - \ell_t(w)$ .

It is well-known that  $W_n$  acts on the set  $I_n = [1, n] \cup [\bar{n}, \bar{1}]$  by permutations as follows:  $t = (\bar{1}, 1)$  and  $s_i = (\overline{i+1}, \overline{i})(i, i+1)$  for any  $i \in [1, n-1]$ . Through this action, we have

$$W_n = \{ w \in \mathfrak{S}(I_n) \mid \forall i \in I_n, \ w(\overline{i}) = \overline{w(i)} \}.$$

We often represent  $w \in W_n$  as the word  $w(1)w(2) \dots w(n)$  in examples.

The subgroup  $W_{\bar{n}} = \{w \in W_n \mid w([1,n]) = [1,n]\}$  of  $W_n$  is naturally identified with  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ , the symmetric group of degree n, by restriction of its elements to [1,n]. Note that  $W_{\bar{n}}$  is generated, as a reflection subgroup of  $W_n$ , by  $S_{\bar{n}} = \{s_1, \ldots, s_{n-1}\}$ .

A standard parabolic subgroup of  $W_n$  is a subgroup generated by a subset of  $S_n$  (a parabolic subgroup of  $W_n$  is a subgroup conjugate to some standard parabolic subgroup). Note that  $(W_{\bar{n}}, S_{\bar{n}})$  is a Coxeter group, which is a standard parabolic subgroup of  $W_n$ . If  $m \leq n$ , then  $S_m$  is naturally identified with a subset of  $S_n$  and  $W_m$  will be identified with the standard parabolic subgroup of  $W_n$ .

Now, we set  $T_n = \{t_1, \ldots, t_n\}$ , with  $t_i$  as in the Introduction. As a permutation of  $I_n$ , note that  $t_i = (i, \bar{i})$ . Then the reflection subgroup  $\mathfrak{T}_n$  generated by  $T_n$  is naturally identified with  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$ . Therefore  $W_n = W_{\bar{n}} \ltimes \mathfrak{T}_n$  is just the wreath product of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  by  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . If  $w \in W_n$ , we denote by  $(w_S, w_T)$  the unique pair in  $\mathfrak{S}_n \times \mathfrak{T}_n$  such that  $w = w_S w_T$ . Note that  $\ell_t(w) = \ell_t(w_T)$ . In this article, we will consider reflection subgroups generated by subsets of  $S'_n = S_n \cup T_n$ .

#### 2.2. Root system

Before studying the reflection subgroups generated by subsets of  $S'_n$ , let us recall some basic facts about Weyl groups of type C (see [5]). Let us endow  $\mathbf{R}^n$  with its canonical euclidean structure. Let  $(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$  denote the canonical basis of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ : this is an orthonormal basis. If  $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ , we denote by  $s_\alpha$  the orthogonal reflection such that  $s_\alpha(\alpha) = -\alpha$ . Let

$$\Phi_n^+ = \{2e_i \mid 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n\} \cup \{e_j + \nu e_i \mid \nu \in \{1, -1\} \text{ and } 1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant n\},\$$

 $\Phi_n^- = -\Phi_n^+$  and  $\Phi_n = \Phi_n^+ \cup \Phi_n^-$ . Then  $\Phi_n$  is a root system of type  $C_n$  and  $\Phi_n^+$  is a positive root system of  $\Phi_n$ . By sending t to  $s_{2e_1}$  and  $s_i$  to  $s_{e_{i+1}-e_i}$  (for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ ), we will identify  $W_n$  with the Weyl group of  $\Phi_n$ . Then

$$\Delta_n = \{2e_1, e_2 - e_1, e_3 - e_2, \dots, e_n - e_{n-1}\}\$$

ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

is the basis of  $\Phi_n$  contained in  $\Phi_n^+$  and the subset  $S_n$  of  $W_n$  is naturally identified with the set of simple reflections  $\{s_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta_n\}$ . Therefore, for any  $w \in W_n$  we have

$$\ell(w) = |\Phi_n^+ \cap w^{-1}(\Phi_n^-)|;$$

and  $\ell(ws_{\alpha}) < \ell(w)$  if and only if  $w(\alpha) \in \Phi^-$ , for all  $\alpha \in \Phi^+$ .

Remark 2.1. — If  $i \in [1, n-1]$ , then

$$\ell(ws_i) < \ell(w) \Leftrightarrow w(i) > w(i+1),$$

and, if  $j \in [1, n]$ , then

$$\ell(wt_j) < \ell(w) \Leftrightarrow w(j) < 0.$$

Therefore, we deduce from the strong exchange condition (see [10, \$5.8])

(2.2) 
$$\ell_t(w) = |\{i \in [1, n] \mid w(i) < 0\}|.$$

#### **2.3.** Some closed subsystems of $\Phi_n$

Consider the subsets  $\{s_1, t_1\}$  and  $\{s_1, t_2\}$  of  $S'_n$   $(n \ge 2)$ . It is readily seen that these two sets of reflections generate the same reflection subgroup of  $W_n$ . This lead us to find a parametrization of subgroups generated by a subset of  $S'_n$ .

A signed composition is a sequence  $C = (c_1, \ldots, c_r)$  of non-zero elements of **Z**. The number r is called the *length* of C. We set  $|C| = \sum_{i=1}^{r} |c_i|$ . If |C| = n, we say that C is a signed composition of n and we write  $C \models n$ . We also define  $C^+ = (|c_1|, \ldots, |c_r|) \models n$ ,  $C^- = -C^+$  and  $\overline{C} = -C$ . We denote by Comp(n) the set of signed compositions of n. In particular, any composition is a signed composition (any part is positive). Note that

(2.3) 
$$|\operatorname{Comp}(n)| = 2.3^{n-1}$$

Now, to each  $C = (c_1, \ldots, c_r) \models n$ , we associate a reflection subgroup  $W_C$  of  $W_n$  which is isomorphic to  $W_{c_1} \times \ldots \times W_{c_r}$ . We proceed as follows: for  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , set

$$I_C^{(i)} = \begin{cases} I_{C,+}^{(i)} & \text{if } c_i < 0, \\ I_{C,+}^{(i)} \cup -I_{C,+}^{(i)} & \text{if } c_i > 0, \end{cases}$$
  
where  $I_{C,+}^{(i)} = \left[ |c_1| + \dots + |c_{i-1}| + 1, |c_1| + \dots + |c_i| \right]$ . Then  
 $W_C = \{ w \in W_n \mid \forall \ 1 \le i \le r, \ w(I_C^{(i)}) = I_C^{(i)} \}$ 

is a reflection subgroup generated by

$$S_C = \{ s_p \in S_{\bar{n}} \mid \forall 1 \leq i \leq r, p \neq |c_1| + \dots + |c_i| \}$$
$$\cup \{ t_{|c_1| + \dots + |c_{j-1}| + 1} \in T_n \mid c_j > 0 \} \quad \subset S'_n$$

Therefore,  $W_C \simeq W_{c_1} \times \cdots \times W_{c_r}$ : we denote by  $(w_1, \ldots, w_r) \mapsto w_1 \times \cdots \times w_r$ the natural isomorphism  $W_{c_1} \times \cdots \times W_{c_r} \xrightarrow{\sim} W_C$ .

Example. — The group  $W_{(\overline{2},3,\overline{1},\overline{3},1)} \simeq \mathfrak{S}_2 \times W_3 \times \mathfrak{S}_1 \times \mathfrak{S}_3 \times W_1$  is generated, as a reflection subgroup of  $W_{10}$ , by  $S_{(\overline{2},3,\overline{1},\overline{3},1)} = \{s_1\} \cup \{t_3,s_3,s_4\} \cup \{s_7,s_8\} \cup \{t_{10}\} \subset S'_{10}$ .

The signed composition C is said semi-positive if  $c_i \ge -1$  for every  $i \in [1, r]$ . Note that a composition is a semi-positive composition. We say that C is negative if  $c_i < 0$  for every  $i \in [1, r]$ . We say that C is parabolic if  $c_i < 0$  for  $i \in [2, r]$ . Note that C is parabolic if and only if  $W_C$  is a standard parabolic subgroup.

Now, let  $S'_C = S'_n \cap W_C$ ,  $\Phi_C = \{\alpha \in \Phi_n \mid s_\alpha \in W_C\}$  and  $\Phi_C^+ = \Phi_C \cap \Phi_n^+$ . Then  $W_C$  is the Weyl group of the closed subsystem  $\Phi_C$  of  $\Phi_n$ . Moreover,  $\Phi_C^+$  is a positive root system of  $\Phi_C$  and we denote by  $\Delta_C$  the basis of  $\Phi_C$  contained in  $\Phi_C^+$ . We have  $S_C = \{s_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta_C\}$ . Then  $(W_C, S_C)$  is a Coxeter group.

Let  $\ell_C : W_C \to \mathbf{N}$  denote the length function on  $W_C$  with respect to  $S_C$ . Let  $w_C$  denote the longest element of  $W_C$  with respect to  $\ell_C$ . If C is a composition, we denote by  $\sigma_C$  the longest element of  $\mathfrak{S}_C = W_{\overline{C}}$  with respect to  $\ell_{\overline{C}}$  (which is the restriction of  $\ell$  to  $\mathfrak{S}_C$ ). In other words,  $\sigma_C = w_{\overline{C}}$ . In particular,  $w_n$  (resp.  $\sigma_n$ ) denotes the longest element of  $W_n$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ ).

Write  $T_C = T_n \cap W_C$  and  $\mathfrak{T}_C = \mathfrak{T}_n \cap W_C$ , then observe that

(2.4) 
$$W_C = W_{C^-} \ltimes \mathfrak{T}_C = \mathfrak{S}_{C^+} \ltimes \mathfrak{T}_C.$$

Remarks.

- (1) This class of reflection subgroups contains the standard parabolic subgroups, since  $S_n \subset S'_n$ . But it contains also some other subgroups which are not parabolic (for instance, consider the subgroup generated by  $\{t_1, t_2\}$ ). In other words, it may happen that  $\Delta_C \not\subset \Delta_n$ . In fact,  $\Delta_C \subset \Delta_n$  if and only if  $W_C$  is a standard parabolic subgroup of  $W_n$ .
- (2) If  $W_C$  is not a standard parabolic subgroup of  $W_n$ , then  $\ell_C$  is not the restriction of  $\ell$  to  $W_C$ .

We close this subsection by an easy characterization of the subsets  $S'_C$ :

LEMMA 2.5. — Let X be a subset of  $S'_n$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- $(1) < X > \cap S'_n = X.$
- (2)  $X \cap T_n$  is stable under conjugation by  $\langle X \rangle$ .
- (3)  $X \cap T_n$  is stable under conjugation by  $\langle X \cap S_{\bar{n}} \rangle$ .
- (4) There exists a signed composition C of n such that  $X = S'_C$ .

COROLLARY 2.6. — Let  $w \in W_n$  and let  $C \models n$ . If  ${}^{w}S'_C \subset S'_n$ , then there exists a (unique) signed composition D such that  ${}^{w}S'_C = S'_D$ .

Proof. — Indeed, 
$${}^{w}S'_{C} \cap T_{n} = {}^{w}(S'_{C} \cap T_{n})$$
 and  ${}^{w}S'_{C} \cap S_{\bar{n}} = {}^{w}(S'_{C} \cap S_{\bar{n}})$ .

#### **2.4.** Orbits of closed subsystems of $\Phi_n$

In this subsection, we determine when two subgroups  $W_C$  and  $W_D$  of  $W_n$ are conjugated. A *bipartition* of n is a pair  $\lambda = (\lambda^+, \lambda^-)$  of partitions such that  $|\lambda| := |\lambda^+| + |\lambda^-| = n$ . We write  $\lambda \Vdash n$  to say that  $\lambda$  is a bipartition of n, and the set of bipartitions of n is denoted by  $\operatorname{Bip}(n)$ . It is well-known that the conjugacy classes of  $W_n$  are in bijection with  $\operatorname{Bip}(n)$  (see [8, 14]). We define  $\hat{\lambda}$  as the signed composition of n obtained by concatenation of  $\lambda^+$  and  $-\lambda^-$ . The map  $\operatorname{Bip}(n) \to \operatorname{Comp}(n), \lambda \mapsto \hat{\lambda}$  is injective.

Now, let C be a signed composition of n. We define  $\lambda(C) = (\lambda^+, \lambda^-)$ as the bipartition of n such that  $\lambda^+$  (resp.  $\lambda^-$ ) is obtained from C by reordering in decreasing order the positive parts of C (resp. the absolute value of the negative parts of C). One can easily check that the map

$$\boldsymbol{\lambda} : \operatorname{Comp}(n) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Bip}(n)$$

is surjective (indeed, if  $\lambda \in Bip(n)$ , then  $\lambda(\hat{\lambda}) = \lambda$ ) and that the following proposition holds:

PROPOSITION 2.7. — Let  $C, D \models n$ , then  $W_C$  and  $W_D$  are conjugate in  $W_n$  if and only if  $\lambda(C) = \lambda(D)$ . If  $\Psi$  is a closed subsystem of  $\Phi_n$ , then there exists a unique bipartition  $\lambda$  of n and some  $w \in W_n$  such that  $\Psi = w(\Phi_{\hat{\lambda}})$ .

The above proposition gives a classification of  $W_n$ -orbits of closed subsystems of  $\Phi_n$  in terms of bipartitions. However, this does not give the classification of W-conjugacy classes of reflection subgroups of  $W_n$  (for instance, the subgroup of type  $D_n$  is not in this list).

Let  $C, D \models n$ , then we write  $C \subset D$  if  $W_C \subset W_D$ . Moreover, if  $C, C' \subset D$ and if  $W_C$  and  $W_{C'}$  are conjugate under  $W_D$ , then we write  $C \equiv_D C'$ .

#### 2.5. Distinguished coset representatives

Let  $C \models n$ , then

$$X_C = \{ x \in W_n \mid \forall \ w \in W_C, \ \ell(xw) \ge \ell(x) \}$$

is a distinguished set of minimal coset representatives for  $W_n/W_C$  (see proposition below). It is readily seen that

$$X_C = \{ w \in W_n \mid w(\Phi_C^+) \subset \Phi_n^+ \}$$
  
=  $\{ w \in W_n \mid \forall \ \alpha \in \Delta_C, \ w(\alpha) \in \Phi_n^+ \}.$ 

Finally

$$X_C = \{ w \in W_n \mid \forall r \in S_C, \ \ell(wr) > \ell(w) \}.$$

We need a relative notion: if  $D \models n$  such that  $C \subset D$ , the set  $X_C^D = X_C \cap W_D$  is a distinguished set of minimal coset representatives for  $W_D/W_C$ .

PROPOSITION 2.8. — Let  $C \models n$ , then:

- (a) The map  $X_C \times W_C \to W_n$ ,  $(x, w) \mapsto xw$  is bijective.
- (b) If  $C \subset D$ , then the map  $X_D \times X_C^D \to X_C$ ,  $(x, y) \mapsto xy$  is bijective.
- (c) If  $x \in X_C$  and  $w \in W_C$ , then  $\ell_t(xwx^{-1}) \ge \ell_t(w)$ . Consequently,  $\mathfrak{S}_n \cap {}^xW_C = \mathfrak{S}_n \cap {}^x\mathfrak{S}_{C^+}$ .

Proof. — (a) is stated, in a general case, in [13, Lemma 1.9]. (b) follows easily from (a). Let us now prove (c). Let  $x \in X_C$  and  $w \in W_C$ . Let  $I = \{i \in I_n \mid w(i) < 0\}$  and  $J = \{i \in I_n \mid xwx^{-1}(i) < 0\}$ , then  $\ell_t(w) = |I|$ and  $\ell_t(xwx^{-1}) = |J|$ , by (2.2). Now let  $i \in I$ , then  $t_i \in W_C$ , so  $\ell_t(xt_i) >$  $\ell_t(x)$ . In other words, x(i) > 0. Now, we have  $xwx^{-1}(x(i)) = xw(i)$ . But, w(i) < 0 and  $t_{-w(i)} = wt_iw^{-1} \in W_C$ . Therefore, x(-w(i)) = -xw(i) > 0. This shows that  $x(i) \in J$ . So, the map  $I \to J$ ,  $i \mapsto x(i)$  is well-defined and clearly injective, implying  $|I| \leq |J|$  as desired.

The last assertion of this proposition follows easily from this inequality and from the fact that  $\mathfrak{S}_{C^+} = \{ w \in W_C \mid \ell_t(w) = 0 \}.$ 

PROPOSITION 2.9. — Let  $C \models n$  and  $x \in X_C$  be such that  ${}^{x}S'_{C} \subset S'_{n}$ . Let D be the unique signed composition of n such that  ${}^{x}S'_{C} = S'_{D}$  (see Corollary 2.6). Then  $X_{C} = X_{D}x$ .

*Proof.* — By symmetry, it is sufficient to prove that, if  $w \in X_D$ , then  $wx \in X_C$ . Let  $\alpha \in \Phi_C^+$ . Then, since  $x \in X_C$ , we have  $x(\alpha) \in \Phi_n^+ \cap {}^x\Phi_C = \Phi_D^+$ . So  $w(x(\alpha)) \in \Phi_n^+$  since  $w \in X_D$ . So  $wx \in X_C$ .

#### **2.6.** Maximal element in $X_C$

It turns out that, for every signed composition C of n,  $X_C$  contains a unique element of maximal length (see Proposition 2.12). First, note the following two examples:

(1) if C is parabolic, it is well-known that  $\ell_C$  is the restriction of  $\ell$  and that, for all  $(x, w) \in X_C \times W_C$ , we have

$$\ell(xw) = \ell(x) + \ell(w)$$

In particular,  $w_n w_C$  is the longest element of  $X_C$  (see [8, Lemma 2.2.1]);

(2) let C be a composition of n, then  $W_C$  is not in general a standard parabolic subgroup of  $W_n$ . However, since  $W_C$  contains  $\mathfrak{T}_n$ ,  $X_C$  is contained in  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ . This shows that

$$X_C = X_{\overline{C}}^{\overline{n}} = X_{\overline{C}} \cap \mathfrak{S}_n.$$

In particular,  $X_C$  contains a unique element of maximal length: this is  $\sigma_n \sigma_C$ .

Now, let k and l be two non-zero natural numbers such that k + l = n. Then  $W_{k,l}$  is not a parabolic subgroup of  $W_n$ . However,  $W_{k,\bar{l}}$  is a standard parabolic subgroup of  $W_n$  and  $W_{k,\bar{l}} \subset W_{k,l}$ . So  $X_{k,l} \subset X_{k,\bar{l}}$ . So, if  $x \in X_{k,l}$  and  $w \in W_{k,\bar{l}}$ , then

(2.10) 
$$\ell(xw) = \ell(x) + \ell(w).$$

This applies for instance if  $w \in W_k \subset W_{k,\bar{l}}$ .

Then, we need to introduce a decomposition of  $X_C$  using Proposition 2.8 (b). Write  $C = (c_1, \ldots, c_r) \models n$ . We set

$$X_{C,i} = X_{(|c_1|+\dots+|c_i|,c_{i+1},\dots,c_r)}^{(|c_1|+\dots+|c_i|,c_{i+1},\dots,c_r)}.$$

Then the map

$$\begin{array}{cccc} X_{C,r} \times \dots \times X_{C,2} \times X_{C,1} & \longrightarrow & X_C \\ (x_r, \dots, x_2, x_1) & \longmapsto & x_r \dots x_2 x_1 \end{array}$$

is bijective by Proposition 2.8 (b). Moreover, by (2.10), we have

(2.11) 
$$\ell(x_r \dots x_2 x_1) = \ell(x_r) + \dots + \ell(x_2) + \ell(x_1)$$

for every  $(x_r, \ldots, x_2, x_1) \in X_{C,r} \times \cdots \times X_{C,2} \times X_{C,1}$ . For every  $i \in [1, r]$ ,  $X_{C,i}$  contains a unique element of maximal length (see (1)-(2) above). Let us denote it by  $\eta_{C,i}$ . We set:

$$\eta_C = \eta_{C,r} \dots \eta_{C,2} \eta_{C,1}.$$

Then, by (2.11), we have

PROPOSITION 2.12. — Let  $C \models n$ , then  $\eta_C$  is the unique element of  $X_C$  of maximal length.

#### 2.7. Double cosets representatives

If C and D are two signed compositions of n, we set

$$X_{CD} = X_C^{-1} \cap X_D.$$

PROPOSITION 2.13. — Let C and D be two signed composition of n and let  $d \in X_{CD}$ . Then:

- (a) There exists a unique signed composition E of n such that  $S'_E = S'_C \cap {}^dS'_D$ . It will be denoted by  $C \cap {}^dD$  or  ${}^dD \cap C$ . We have  $(C \cap {}^dD)^- = C^- \cap {}^dD^-$ .
- (b)  $W_C \cap {}^dW_D = W_{C \cap {}^dD}$  and  $W_C \cap {}^dS'_D = S'_C \cap {}^dW_D = S'_{C \cap {}^dD}$ .
- (c) If  $w \in W_{C \cap dD}$ , then  $\ell_t(w) = \ell_t(d^{-1}wd)$ .
- (d) If  $w \in W_C dW_D$ , then there exists a unique pair  $(x, y) \in X_{C \cap {}^d D}^C \times W_D$  such that w = xdy.
- (e) Let  $(x,y) \in X_{C\cap^d D}^C \times W_D$ , then  $\ell(xdy) \ge \ell(x_S) + \ell_t(x) + \ell(d) + \ell(y_S) + \ell_t(y)$ .
- (f) d is the unique element of  $W_C dW_D$  of minimal length.

*Proof.* — (a) follows immediately from Lemma 2.5 (equivalence between (3) and (4)).

(b) It is clear that  $W_E \subset W_C \cap {}^d W_D$ . Let us show the reverse inclusion. Let  $w \in W_C \cap {}^d W_D$ . We will show by induction on  $\ell_t(w)$  that  $w \in W_E$ . If  $\ell_t(w) = 0$ , then we see from Proposition 2.8 (d) that  $w \in \mathfrak{S}_{C^+} \cap {}^d \mathfrak{S}_{D^+} = \mathfrak{S}_{E^+}$  by definition of  $E^+$ .

Assume now that  $\ell_t(w) > 0$  and that, if  $w' \in W_C \cap {}^dW_D$  is such that  $\ell_t(w') < \ell_t(w)$ , then  $w' \in W_E$ . Since  $\ell_t(w) > 0$ , there exists  $i \in [1, n]$  such that w(i) < 0. In particular,  $t_i \in \mathfrak{T}_C$ . By the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 2.8 (d), we have that  $t_i \in {}^dW_D$ . So,  $t_i \in T_C \cap {}^dT_D = T_E$ . Now, let  $w' = wt_i$ . Then  $t_i \in W_E$ ,  $w' \in W_C \cap {}^dW_D$  and  $\ell_t(w') = \ell_t(w) - 1$ . So, by the induction hypothesis,  $w' \in W_E$ , so  $w \in W_E$ .

The other assertions of (b) follow easily.

(c) Let  $w = \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_l$  be a reduced decomposition of w with respect to  $S_C$ . Then  $d^{-1}wd = (d^{-1}\sigma_1 d) \dots (d^{-1}\sigma_l d)$ . But  $d^{-1}\sigma_i d \in d^{-1}(S'_C \cap dS'_D) = S'_{d^{-1}C\cap D}$ , so  $\ell_t(d^{-1}\sigma_i d) = \ell_t(\sigma_i)$ . Since  $\ell_t(w) = \ell_t(\sigma_1) + \dots + \ell_t(\sigma_l)$ , we see that  $\ell_t(w) \ge \ell_t(d^{-1}wd)$ . By symmetry, we obtain the reverse inequality.

(d) Let  $w \in W_C dW_D$ . Let us write w = adb, with  $a \in W_C$  and  $b \in W_D$ . We then write a = xa' with  $x \in X_{C \cap ^dD}^C$  and  $a' \in W_{C \cap ^dD}$ . Then  $d^{-1}a'd \in W_C$   $W_{d^{-1}C\cap D} \subset W_D$ . Write  $y = (d^{-1}a'd)b$ . Then  $(x,y) \in X_{C\cap dD}^C \times W_D$  and w = xdy.

Now let  $(x', y') \in X_{C \cap {}^dD}^C \times W_D$  such that w = x'dy'. Then  $x'^{-1}x = d(yy'^{-1})d^{-1}$ . So  $x'^{-1}x \in W_{C \cap {}^dD}$ , that is  $xW_{C \cap {}^dD} = x'W_{C \cap {}^dD}$ . So x = x' and y = y'.

(e) Let  $(x, y) \in X_{C \cap {}^dD}^C \times W_D$ . We will show by induction on  $\ell_t(x) + \ell_t(y)$  that

$$\ell(xdy) \ge \ell(x_S) + \ell_t(x) + \ell(d) + \ell(y_S) + \ell_t(y).$$

If  $\ell_t(x) = \ell_t(y) = 0$ , then  $x \in X_{C^- \cap {}^d(D^-)}^{C^-}$ ,  $y \in \mathfrak{S}_{D^+}$  and  $d \in X_{C^-,D^-}$ . So, by [8, Proposition 2.1.7], we have  $\ell(xdy) = \ell(x_S) + \ell(d) + \ell(y_S)$ , as desired.

Now, let us assume that  $\ell_t(x) + \ell_t(y) > 0$  and that the result holds for every pair  $(x', y') \in X_{C \cap dD}^C \times W_D$  such that  $\ell_t(x') + \ell_t(y') < \ell_t(x) + \ell_t(y)$ . By symmetry, and using (c), we can assume that  $\ell_t(y) > 0$ . So there exists  $i \in I_n$  such that y(i) < 0. Let  $y' = yt_i$ . Then  $t_i \in T_D$ ,  $\ell(y_S) = \ell(y'_S)$ ,  $\ell_t(y') = \ell_t(y) - 1$ . Therefore, by induction hypothesis, we have

$$\ell(xdy') \ge \ell(x_S) + \ell_t(x) + \ell(d) + \ell(y_S) + \ell_t(y) - 1.$$

It is now enough to show that  $\ell(xdy't_i) > \ell(xdy')$ , that is xdy'(i) > 0. Note that y'(i) > 0 and that  $t_{y'(i)} = y't_iy'^{-1} \in W_D$ . So the result follows from the following lemma:

LEMMA 2.14. — If  $d \in X_{CD}$ , if  $x \in X_{C\cap^d D}^D$  and if  $j \in [1, n]$  is such that  $t_j \in T_D$ , then xd(j) > 0.

Proof. — Since  $t_j \in W_D$  and  $d \in X_D$ , we have d(j) > 0. Two cases may occur. If  $t_{d(j)} \in T_C$ , then  $t_{d(j)} = dt_j d^{-1} \in T_{C \cap ^dD}$ . Therefore, x(d(j)) > 0 since  $x \in X_{C \cap ^dD}^C$ . If  $t_{d(j)} \notin T_C$ , then x(d(j)) > 0 since  $x \in W_C = \mathfrak{S}_{C^+} \ltimes \mathfrak{T}_C$ .

(f) follows immediately from (e).

Remark 2.15. — Let C and D be two signed compositions of n and let  $d \in X_{CD}$ . Then  $d^{-1} \in X_{DC}$  and, by Proposition 2.9, we have that

$$X_{C\cap dD}d = X_{d^{-1}C\cap D}$$

COROLLARY 2.16. — The map  $X_{CD} \to W_C \setminus W_n / W_D$  is bijective.

*Proof.* — The Proposition 2.13 (f) shows that the map is injective. The surjectivity follows from the fact that, if  $w \in W_n$  is an element of minimal length in  $W_C w W_D$ , then  $w \in X_{CD}$ .

COROLLARY 2.17. — If C is parabolic or if D is semi-positive, then

$$X_D = \coprod_{d \in X_{CD}} X_{C \cap {}^d D}^C d$$

Proof. — It follows from Corollary 2.16 that

$$|X_D| = |W_n/W_D| = \sum_{d \in X_{CD}} |W_C dW_D/W_D| = \sum_{d \in X_{CD}} |X_{C\cap {}^dD}^C|,$$

the last equality following from Proposition 2.13 (d). So, it remains to show that, if  $d \in X_{CD}$  and if  $x \in X_{C\cap^{dD}}^{C}$ , then  $xd \in X_{D}$ .

Assume that we have found  $s \in S_D$  such that  $\ell(xds) < \ell(xd)$ . If  $s \in T_D$ , then  $s = t_i$  for some  $i \in I_n$ . But, by Lemma 2.14, xd(i) > 0, so  $\ell(xdt_i) > \ell(xd)$ , contradicting our hypothesis. Therefore,  $s \in S_{D^-}$ , that is  $s = s_i$  for some  $i \in [1, n - 1]$ . If C is parabolic,  $C \cap ^d D$  is also parabolic. Therefore,  $\ell(xds) > \ell(xd)$  which is a contradiction, so D is semi-positive. Therefore, we have that  $t_i$  and  $t_{i+1}$  belong to  $T_D$ . Thus, by Lemma 2.14, we have xd(i) > 0 and xd(i + 1) > 0. Moreover, since  $\ell(xds_i) < \ell(xd)$ , we have

(\*) 
$$0 < xd(i+1) < xd(i).$$

But, since  $d \in X_D$ , we have d(i+1) > d(i). So, by Proposition 2.13 (b), we have that  $ds_i d^{-1} \in S_{C \cap ^d D}$ . Thus  $\ell(x(ds_i d^{-1})) > \ell(x)$  because  $x \in X_{C \cap ^d D}^C$ . In other words, xd(i+1) > xd(i). This contradicts (\*).

If E is a signed composition of n such that  $C \subset E$  and  $D \subset E$ , we set  $X_{CD}^E = X_{CD} \cap W_E$ .

Example. — It is not true in general that  $X_D = \coprod_{d \in X_{CD}} X_{C \cap {}^dD}^C d$ . This is false, if n = k + l with  $k, l \ge 1, C = (\overline{k}, \overline{l})$  and D = (n). See Example 2.25 for precisions.

#### 2.8. A partition of $W_n$

If  $C = (c_1, \ldots, c_r)$  is a signed composition of n, we set

 $A_C = \{s_{|c_1|+\dots+|c_i|} \mid i \in [1,r] \text{ and } c_i < 0 \text{ and } c_{i+1} > 0\}$ 

and

$$\mathcal{A}_C = S'_C \coprod A_C.$$

As example,  $A_{(1,\bar{3},\bar{1},2,\bar{1},1)} = \{s_5, s_8\}$ . Note that  $\mathcal{A}_C = \mathcal{A}_D$  if and only if C = D. If  $w \in W_n$ , then we define the ascent set of w:

$$\mathcal{U}'_n(w) = \{ s \in S'_n \mid \ell(ws) > \ell(w) \}.$$

Finally, following Mantaci-Reutenauer, we associate to each element  $w \in W_n$  a signed composition C(w) as follows. First, let  $C^+(w) = (c_1^+, \ldots, c_r^+)$  denote the biggest composition (for the order  $\subset$ ) of n such that, for every  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , the map  $w: I_{C^+(w),+}^{(i)} \to I_n$  is increasing and has constant sign.

ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

Now, we define  $\nu_i = \operatorname{sign}(w(j))$  for  $j \in I^{(i)}_{C^+(w)}$ . The descent composition of w is  $C(w) = (\nu_1 c_1^+, \ldots, \nu_r c_r^+)$ .

Example. — 
$$C(\underbrace{9.\bar{3}\bar{2}\bar{1}.\bar{4}.58.\bar{6}.7}_{\in W_9}) = (1,\bar{3},\bar{1},2,\bar{1},1) \models 9.$$

The following proposition is easy to check (see Remark 2.1):

PROPOSITION 2.18. — If  $w \in W_n$ , then  $\mathcal{U}'_n(w) = \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{C}(w)}$ .

Remark. — Mantaci and Reutenauer have defined the descent shape of a signed permutation [16]. It is a signed composition defined similarly than descent composition except that the absolute value of the letters in  $u_i$  must be in increasing order. For instance, the descent shape of  $9.\overline{3}.\overline{2}.\overline{1}.\overline{4}.58.\overline{6}.7$  is  $(1,\overline{1},\overline{1},\overline{2},2,\overline{1},1)$ .

Example 2.19. — Let n' be a non-zero natural number, n' < n and let  $c \in \mathbf{Z}$  such that n - n' = |c|. Let  $w \in W_{n'} \subset W_n$  and write  $\mathbf{C}(w) = (c_1, \ldots, c_r) \models n'$ . Then  $\mathbf{C}(\eta_{(n',c)}w) = (c_1, \ldots, c_r, c)$ . Consequently, if  $C \models n$ , an easy induction argument shows that  $\mathbf{C}(\eta_C) = C$ .

We have then defined a surjective map

$$C: W_n \longrightarrow \operatorname{Comp}(n)$$

whose fibers are equal to those of the application  $\mathcal{U}'_n : W_n \to \mathcal{P}(S'_n)$ . The surjectivity follows from Example 2.19. If  $C \models n$ , we define

$$Y_C = \{ w \in W_n \mid \boldsymbol{C}(w) = C \}.$$

Then

$$W_n = \coprod_{C \mid \models n} Y_C$$

Example 2.20. — We have  $Y_n = \{1_n\}, Y_{\bar{n}} = \{\sigma_n w_n\}, Y_{(1,...,1)} = \{\sigma_n\}$ and  $Y_{(\bar{1},...,\bar{1})} = \{w_n\}.$ 

First, note the following elementary facts.

LEMMA 2.21. — Let C and D be two signed compositions of n. Then:

- (a) If  $Y_C \cap X_D \neq \emptyset$ , then  $Y_C \subset X_D$ .
- (b)  $\eta_C \in Y_C$  and  $Y_C \subset X_C$ .

Proof. — (a) If  $w \in W_n$ , then  $w \in X_D$  if and only if  $\mathcal{U}'_n(w)$  contains  $S'_D$ . Since the map  $w \mapsto \mathcal{U}'_n(w)$  is constant on  $Y_C$  (see Proposition 2.18), (a) follows.

(b) By Example 2.19, we have  $\eta_C \in Y_C \cap X_C$ . Therefore, by (a),  $Y_C \subset X_C$ .

We then define a relation  $\leftarrow$  between signed composition of n as follow. If  $C, D \models n$ , we write  $C \leftarrow D$  if  $Y_D \subset X_C$ . We denote by  $\preccurlyeq$  the transitive closure of the relation  $\leftarrow$ . It follows from Lemma 2.21 (a) that

$$(2.22) X_C = \coprod_{C \leftarrow D} Y_D.$$

Example 2.23. — Let  $w \in W_n$ . By Remark 2.1,  $w \in X_{\bar{n}}$  if and only if the sequence  $(w(1), w(2), \ldots, w(n))$  of elements of  $I_n$  is strictly increasing (see Remark 2.1). So there exists a unique  $k \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, n\}$  such that w(i) > 0 if and only if i > k. Note that  $k = \ell_t(w)$ . Let  $i_1 < \cdots < i_k$  be the sequence of elements of  $I_n$  such that  $(w(1), \ldots, w(k)) = (\bar{i}_k, \ldots, \bar{i}_1)$ . Then  $w = r_{i_1}r_{i_2}\ldots r_{i_k}$  where, if  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , we set  $r_i = s_{i-1}\ldots s_2s_1t$ . Note that  $C(w) = (\bar{k}, n - k)$ . Therefore,

$$X_{\bar{n}} = \{ r_{i_1} r_{i_2} \dots r_{i_k} \mid 0 \le k \le n \text{ and } 1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \le n \}.$$

Note that  $\ell(r_{i_1}r_{i_2}...r_{i_k}) = i_1 + i_2 + \cdots + i_k$  and  $\ell_t(r_{i_1}r_{i_2}...r_{i_k}) = k$ . We get

$$X_{\bar{n}} = \coprod_{0 \leqslant k \leqslant n} Y_{(\bar{k}, n-k)},$$

and, for every  $k \in \{0, 1, 2, ..., n\}$ , we have

$$Y_{(\bar{k},n-k)} = \{ r_{i_1} r_{i_2} \dots r_{i_k} \mid 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n \}.$$

This shows that  $(\bar{n}) \leftarrow (\bar{k}, n-k)$ .

PROPOSITION 2.24. — Let C and D be two signed compositions of n. Then:

- (a)  $C \leftarrow C$ .
- (b) If  $C \subset D$ , then  $C \leftarrow D$ .

(c)  $\preccurlyeq$  is an order on  $\operatorname{Comp}(n)$ .

Proof. — (a) follows immediately from Lemma 2.21 (b).

(b) If  $C \subset D$ , then  $X_D \subset X_C$ . But, by Lemma 2.21 (b), we have  $Y_D \subset X_D$ . So  $C \leftarrow D$ .

(c) Let  $a_C = \ell(\eta_C)$ . By (a),  $\preccurlyeq$  is reflexive. By definition, it is transitive. So it is sufficient to show that it is antisymmetric. But it follows from Lemma 2.21 (b) that:

- If  $C \leftarrow D$ , then  $a_D \leq a_C$ .
- If  $C \leftarrow D$  and if  $a_C = a_D$ , then C = D.

The assertion (c) now follows easily from these two remarks.

A precise description of the relation  $\leftarrow$  will be given in Theorem 3.15.

 $\square$ 

Example 2.25. — If  $C = (c_1, \ldots, c_r)$  is a composition of n (not a signed composition), we will prove that

$$X_{\bar{n}} = \coprod_{\substack{0 \leq m_2 \leq c_2 \\ 0 \leq m_3 \leq c_3 \\ \dots \\ 0 \leq m_r \leq c_r}} X_{(\bar{c}_1, m_2, c_2 - m_2, \dots, m_r, c_r - m_r)}^C \times Y_{(\bar{c}_1, \bar{m}_2, c_2 - m_2, \dots, \bar{m}_r, c_r - m_r)}^{(\bar{c}_1, m_2, c_2 - m_2, \dots, m_r, c_r - m_r)} \sigma_{C, m_2, \dots, m_r}^{-1},$$

where  $\sigma_{C,m_2,...,m_r} \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  satisfies

$$\sigma_{C,m_2,...,m_r}(S'_{(c_1,m_2,c_2-m_2,...,m_r,c_r-m_r)}) \subset S'_n$$

and

$$\sigma_{C,m_2,\dots,m_r} \in X_{(c_1,m_2,c_2-m_2,\dots,m_r,c_r-m_r)}.$$

By an easy induction argument, it is sufficient to prove it whenever r = 2. In other words, we want to prove that, if k + l = n with  $k, l \ge 0$ , then

(\*) 
$$X_{\bar{n}} = \prod_{0 \leqslant m \leqslant l} X_{(\bar{k},m,l-m)}^{(\bar{k},\bar{l})} Y_{(\bar{k},\bar{m},l-m)}^{(\bar{k},m,l-m)} \sigma_{k,l,m}^{-1}$$

where  $\sigma_{k,l,m} \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  satisfies  $\sigma_{k,l,m}(S'_{k,m,l-m}) \subset S'_n$  and  $\sigma_{k,l,m} \in X_{(k,m,l-m)}$ . But, if  $0 \leq m \leq l$ , we set

$$\sigma_{k,l,m}(i) = \begin{cases} m+i & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq k, \\ i-k & \text{if } k+1 \leq i \leq k+m, \\ i & \text{if } k+m+1 \leq i \leq n. \end{cases}$$

and one can easily check that (\*) holds. Moreover, since  $S'_{k,m,l-m} = S'_n \setminus \{s_k, s_{k+m}\}$ , we get that  $\sigma_{k,l,m}(S'_{k,m,l-m}) \subset S'_n$  and  $\sigma_{k,l,m} \in X_{(k,m,l-m)}$ .

#### 3. Generalized descent algebra

#### 3.1. Definition

If C and D are two signed compositions of n such that  $C \subset D$ , we set

$$x_C^D = \sum_{w \in X_C^D} w \qquad \in \mathbf{Z} W_D$$

and

$$y_C^D = \sum_{w \in Y_C^D} w \qquad \in \mathbf{Z} W_D.$$

Now, let

$$\Sigma'(W_D) = \bigoplus_{C \subset D} \mathbf{Z} y_C^D \qquad \subset \mathbf{Z} W_D.$$

Note that

$$\Sigma'(W_D) = \bigoplus_{C \subset D} \mathbf{Z} x_C^D$$

by (2.22) and Proposition 2.24. We define

$$\theta_D: \Sigma'(W_D) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z} \operatorname{Irr} W_D$$

as the unique **Z**-linear map such that

$$\theta_D(x_C^D) = \operatorname{Ind}_{W_C}^{W_D} 1_C$$

for every  $C \subset D$ . Here,  $1_C$  is the trivial character of  $W_C$ . We denote by  $\varepsilon_D$  the sign character of  $W_D$ .

Notation. — If D = (n), we set  $x_C^D = x_C$ ,  $y_C^D = y_C$  for simplification. If E is **Z**-module, we denote by  $\mathbf{Q}E$  the **Q**-vector space  $\mathbf{Q} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} E$ . We denote by  $\theta_{D,\mathbf{Q}}$  the extension of  $\theta_D$  to  $\mathbf{Q}\Sigma'(W_D)$  by **Q**-linearity.

Remark. —  $\Sigma'(W_n)$  contains the Solomon descent algebras of  $W_n$  and  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ . Moreover,  $\Sigma'(W_n)$  is precisely the Mantaci-Reutenauer algebra which is, by definition, generated by  $y_D = y_D^{(n)}$ , for all  $D \models n$ .

#### 3.2. First properties of $\theta_D$

By the Mackey formula for the tensor product of two induced characters and by Proposition 2.13, we have that

(3.1) 
$$\theta_n(x_C)\theta_n(x_D) = \sum_{d \in X_{CD}} \theta_n(x_{d^{-1}C\cap D}).$$

Example 3.2. — If C is parabolic or D is semi-positive, then, by Corollary 2.17, we have

$$x_D = \sum_{d \in X_{CD}} x_{C \cap {}^d D}^C d.$$

Therefore, by Proposition 2.8 (b) and Remark 2.15, we get

$$x_C x_D = \sum_{d \in X_{CD}} x_{d^{-1}C \cap D}.$$

So  $x_C x_D \in \Sigma'(W_n)$  and, by (3.1),  $\theta_n(x_C x_D) = \theta_n(x_C)\theta_n(x_D)$ .

ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

146

Before starting the proof of the fact that  $\Sigma'(W_D)$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathbb{Z}W_D$  and that  $\theta_D$  is a morphism of algebras, we need the following result, which will be useful for arguing by induction. If  $C \subset D$ , the transitivity of induction and Proposition 2.8 (b) show that the diagram

(3.3) 
$$\begin{array}{c|c} \Sigma'(W_C) & \xrightarrow{x_C^D} & \Sigma'(W_D) \\ & & & \\ \theta_C & & \\ & & \theta_D \\ & & \\ \mathbf{Z} \operatorname{Irr} W_C & \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Ind}_{W_C}^{W_D}} & \mathbf{Z} \operatorname{Irr} W_D \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Now, let  $p_D : W_D \to \mathfrak{S}_{D^+}$  be the canonical projection. It induces an injective morphism of **Z**-algebras  $p_D^* : \mathbf{Z} \operatorname{Irr} \mathfrak{S}_{D^+} \to \mathbf{Z} \operatorname{Irr} W_D$ . Moreover, the algebra  $\Sigma'(\mathfrak{S}_{D^+})$  coincides with the usual descent algebra in symmetric groups and is contained in  $\Sigma'(W_D)$ . Also, the diagram

(3.4) 
$$\begin{array}{c} \Sigma'(\mathfrak{S}_{D^+}) & \longrightarrow \Sigma'(W_D) \\ \theta_{D^-} & & & & \\ \theta_{D^-} & & & & \\ \varphi_{D^+} & & & & \\ \mathbf{Z} \operatorname{Irr} \mathfrak{S}_{D^+} & & \mathbf{Z} \operatorname{Irr} W_D \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Example 3.5. — We have  $y_{(\bar{1},...,\bar{1})} = w_n$ ,  $y_{\bar{n}} = w_n \sigma_n = \sigma_n w_n$ ,  $y_n = 1$ and  $y_{(1,...,1)} = \sigma_n$ . It is well-known [18] that  $y_{(\bar{1},...,\bar{1})}$  belongs to the classical descent algebra of  $W_n$  and that

(a) 
$$\theta_n(w_n) = \varepsilon_n.$$

On the other hand,

(b) 
$$\theta_n(1_n) = 1_{(n)}$$

Also, by the commutativity of the diagram (3.4) and as above, we have

(c) 
$$\theta_n(\sigma_n) = \gamma_n$$

where  $\gamma_n = p_n^* \varepsilon_{\bar{n}}$ . Finally,  $w_n$  is a **Z**-linear combination of  $x_C$ , where C runs over the parabolic compositions of n. Therefore, by Example 3.2, we have, for every  $x \in \Sigma'(W_n)$ ,

(d) 
$$\theta_n(w_n x) = \theta_n(w_n)\theta_n(x) = \varepsilon_n \theta_n(x).$$

In particular,

(e) 
$$\theta_n(y_{\bar{n}}) = \theta_n(w_n \sigma_n) = \varepsilon_n \gamma_n.$$

So we have obtained the four linear characters of  $W_n$  as images by  $\theta_n$  of explicit elements of  $\Sigma'(W_n)$ .

Let  $\deg_D : \mathbf{Z} \operatorname{Irr} W_D \to \mathbf{Z}$  be the **Z**-linear map sending an irreducible character of  $W_D$  to its degree. It is a morphism of **Z**-algebras. Let  $\operatorname{aug}_D : \mathbf{Z} W_D \to \mathbf{Z}$  denote the augmentation morphism. Then the diagram



is commutative.

#### 3.3. Main result

We are now ready to prove that  $\Sigma'(W_D)$  is a **Z**-subalgebra of  $\mathbf{Z}W_D$  and that  $\theta_D$  is a surjective morphism of algebras.

THEOREM 3.7. — Let D be a signed composition of n, then:

- (a)  $\Sigma'(W_D)$  is a **Z**-subalgebra of  $\mathbf{Z}W_D$ ;
- (b)  $\theta_D$  is a morphism of algebra;
- (c)  $\theta_D$  is surjective and  $\operatorname{Ker} \theta_D = \sum_{\substack{C,C' \subset D \\ C \equiv_D C'}} \mathbf{Z} (x_C^D x_{C'}^D);$
- (d) Ker  $\theta_{D,\mathbf{Q}}$  is the radical of the algebra  $\mathbf{Q}\Sigma'(W_D)$ . Moreover,  $\mathbf{Q}\Sigma'(W_D)$  is a split algebra whose largest semisimple quotient is commutative. In particular, all its simple modules are of dimension 1.

Proof. — We want to prove the theorem by induction on  $|W_D|$ . By taking direct products, we may therefore assume that D = (n) or  $D = (\bar{n})$ . If  $D = (\bar{n})$ , then it is well-known that (a), (b), (c) and (d) hold. So we may assume that D = (n) and that (a), (b), (c) and (d) hold for every signed composition D' of n different from (n).

(a) and (b): Let A and B be two signed compositions of n. We want to prove that  $x_A x_B \in \Sigma'(W_n)$  and that  $\theta_n(x_A x_B) = \theta_n(x_A)\theta_n(x_B)$ . If A is

ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

148

parabolic or B is semi-positive, then this is just Example 3.2. So we may assume that A is not parabolic and B is not semi-positive.

First, note that  $B \subset B^+$  and that  $B^+$  is semi-positive. Therefore, by Proposition 2.8(b) and Example 3.2, we have

$$x_A x_B = x_A x_{B^+} x_B^{B^+} = \sum_{d \in X_{A,B^+}} x_{d^{-1}A \cap B^+} x_B^{B^+}$$
$$= x_{B^+} \sum_{d \in X_{A,B^+}} x_{d^{-1}A \cap B^+}^{B^+} x_B^{B^+}.$$

Assume first that  $B^+ \neq (n)$ . Then, by induction hypothesis,

$$\sum_{d \in X_{A,B^+}} x_{d^{-1}A \cap B^+}^{B^+} x_B^{B^+} \in \Sigma'(\mathfrak{S}_{B^+})$$

and

$$\theta_{B^+} \Big( \sum_{d \in X_{A,B^+}} x_{d^{-1}A \cap B^+}^{B^+} x_B^{B^+} \Big) = \sum_{d \in X_{A,B^+}} \theta_{B^+} (x_{d^{-1}A \cap B^+}^{B^+}) \theta_{B^+} (x_B^{B^+}).$$

Therefore, by (3.3) and (3.4),  $x_A x_B \in x_{B^+} \Sigma'(\mathfrak{S}_{B^+}) \subset \Sigma'(\mathfrak{S}_n) \subset \Sigma'(W_n)$ and, by (3.3) and by the Mackey formula for tensor product, we get

$$\theta_n(x_A x_B) = \operatorname{Ind}_{W_B^+}^{W_n} \left( \sum_{d \in X_{A,B^+}} \theta_{B^+}(x_{d^{-1}A \cap B^+}^{B^+}) \theta_{B^+}(x_B^{B^+}) \right)$$

$$= \theta_n(x_A) \operatorname{Ind}_{W_B^+}^{W_n} \theta_{B^+}(x_B^{B^+})$$

$$= \theta_n(x_A) \theta_n(x_B),$$

as desired.

Therefore, it remains to consider the case where  $B^+ = (n)$ . In particular, B = (n) or  $(\bar{n})$ . Since B is not semi-positive, we have  $B = (\bar{n})$ . By Example 2.25, we have

$$x_{\bar{n}} = x_{A^-}^{A^+} + \sum_{D \subset A^+} a_D x_D^{A^+}(\sigma_D^{-1} - 1)$$

where  $a_D \in \mathbf{Z}$  and  $\sigma_D(S'_D) \subset S'_n$  and  $\sigma_D \in X_D$  for every  $D \subset A^+$ . Therefore,

$$x_A x_B = x_A x_{\bar{n}} = x_{A^+} \Big( x_A^{A^+} x_{A^-}^{A^+} + \sum_{D \subset A^+} a_D x_A^{A^+} x_D^{A^+} (\sigma_D^{-1} - 1) \Big).$$

Now,  $W_A$  is a standard parabolic subgroup of  $W_{A^+}$ . So, by Example 3.2, we have

$$x_A x_B = \sum_{d \in X_{A,A^-}} x_{d^{-1}A \cap (A^-)} + \sum_{D \subset A^+} \left( a_D \sum_{d \in X_{A,D}^{A^+}} x_{d^{-1}A \cap D} (\sigma_D^{-1} - 1) \right).$$

Therefore, since  $\sigma_D(S'_D) \subset S'_n$  and  $\sigma_D \in X_D$ , we have that  $x_{d^{-1}A\cap D}\sigma_D^{-1} = x_{\sigma_D(d^{-1}A\cap D)}$ . So  $x_A x_B \in \Sigma'(W_n)$  and  $\theta_n(x_A x_B) = \theta_n(x_A)\theta_n(x_B)$  by the Mackey formula for tensor product of induced characters. This concludes the proof of (a) and (b).

(c) First, let us show that  $\theta_n$  is surjective. Using the induction hypothesis, the commutativity of the diagram (3.3), and the classical description of irreducible characters of  $W_n$ , we are reduced to prove that, for every  $\chi \in$  $\operatorname{Irr} \mathfrak{S}_n$ ,  $p_n^*(\chi)$  and  $p_n^*(\chi)\varepsilon_n$  lie in the image of  $\theta_n$ . But it is well-known that  $\theta_{\bar{n}}$  is surjective. So the result follows from the commutativity of the diagram (3.4) and from Example 3.5 (d).

Now, let

$$I = \sum_{\substack{C,C' \mid \models n \\ C \equiv_n C'}} \mathbf{Z}(x_C - x_{C'}).$$

Then it is clear that  $I \subset \operatorname{Ker} \theta_n$ . Let  $J = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \operatorname{Bip}(n)} \mathbb{Z} x_{\hat{\lambda}}$ . Then  $\Sigma'(W_n) = I \oplus J$  and the map  $\theta_n : J \to \mathbb{Z} \operatorname{Irr} W_n$  is surjective. Since J and  $\mathbb{Z} \operatorname{Irr} W_n$  have the same rank (equal to  $|\operatorname{Bip}(n)|$ ), we get that  $J \cap \operatorname{Ker} \theta_n = 0$ . So  $I = \operatorname{Ker} \theta_n$ .

(d) Let  $R = \text{Rad}(\mathbf{Q}\Sigma'(W_n))$  and  $K = \text{Ker}\,\theta_{n,\mathbf{Q}}$ . Since  $\text{Im}(\theta_{n,\mathbf{Q}}) = \mathbf{Q}$  Irr  $W_n$  is a semisimple algebra, we get that  $R \subset K$ .

Now, let  $\chi : \mathbf{Q}W_n \to \mathbf{Q}$  be the character of the  $\mathbf{Q}W_n$ -module  $\mathbf{Q}W_n$  (the regular representation). Then,  $\chi(w) = 0$  for every  $w \neq 1$ . Let  $\chi'$  denote the restriction of  $\chi$  to  $\Sigma'(W_n)$ . We have  $\chi'(x_C) = \chi(1)$  for every  $C \models n$ . Therefore,  $\chi'(x) = 0$  for every  $x \in K$  by (c). We fix now  $x \in K$ . Then, for every  $y \in \mathbf{Q}\Sigma'(W_n)$ , we have  $\chi'(xy) = 0$  because  $xy \in K$  by (b). Since the  $\mathbf{Q}\Sigma'(W_n)$ -module  $\mathbf{Q}W_n$  is faithful, this implies that  $x \in R$ . So  $K \subset R$ .  $\Box$ 

Remark. —  $\Sigma'(W_C) \simeq \Sigma'(W_{c_1}) \otimes \Sigma'(W_{c_2}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \Sigma'(W_{c_r}).$ 

#### 3.4. Further properties of $\theta_D$

Let  $\tau_D : \mathbf{Z}W_D \to \mathbf{Z}$  be the unique linear map such that  $\tau_D(w) = 0$  if  $w \neq 1$  and  $\tau_D(1) = 1$ . Then  $\tau_D$  is the canonical symmetrizing form on  $\mathbf{Z}W_D$ : in particular, the map  $\mathbf{Z}W_D \times \mathbf{Z}W_D \to \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $(x, y) \mapsto \tau_D(xy)$  is a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form on  $\mathbf{Z}W_D$ . We denote by  $\langle ., . \rangle_D$  the scalar product on  $\mathbf{Z}$  Irr  $W_D$  such that Irr  $W_D$  is an orthonormal basis. The following property is a kind of "isometry property" for the morphism  $\theta_D$ .

PROPOSITION 3.8. — If  $x, y \in \Sigma'(W_D)$ , then

$$\tau_D(xy) = \langle \theta_D(x), \theta_D(y) \rangle_D$$

Proof. — Let C and C' be two signed compositions of n such that C,  $C' \subset D$ . Then  $\tau_D(x_C^D x_{C'}^D) = |X_{CC'}^D|$  by definition of  $\tau_D$ . Moreover, since  $\theta_D(x_C)$  and  $\theta_D(x_{C'})$  take only rational values, we have

$$\langle \theta_D(x_C^D), \theta_D(x_{C'}^D) \rangle_D = \langle \theta_D(x_C^D) \theta_D(x_{C'}^D), 1_{W_D} \rangle_D.$$

But, by (3.1) and by Frobenius reciprocity, we have

$$\langle \theta_D(x_C^D)\theta_D(x_{C'}^D), 1_{W_D} \rangle_D = |X_{CC'}^D|.$$

So the proposition follows now from the fact that  $(x_C^D)_{C \subset D}$  generates  $\Sigma'(W_D)$ .

COROLLARY 3.9. — We have

$$\operatorname{Ker} \theta_D = \{ x \in \Sigma'(W_D) \mid \forall \ y \in \Sigma'(W_D), \ \tau_D(xy) = 0 \}.$$

*Proof.* — Since  $\langle ., . \rangle_D$  is non-degenerate on  $\mathbb{Z}$  Irr  $W_D$ , this follows from Proposition 3.8.

Write  $D = (d_1, \ldots, d_r)$  and let  $\operatorname{Bip}(D)$  denote the set of r-tuples  $(\lambda_{(1)}, \ldots, \lambda_{(r)})$  of bipartitions  $\lambda_{(i)} = (\lambda_{(i)}^+, \lambda_{(i)}^-)$  such that  $\lambda_{(i)}^- = \emptyset$  if  $d_i < 0$  and  $|\lambda_{(i)}| = |d_i|$  for every  $i \in [1, r]$ . If  $\lambda \in \operatorname{Bip}(D)$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{C}_{\lambda}^D$  the conjugacy class in  $W_D$  of a Coxeter element of  $W_{\hat{\lambda}}$  (with respect to  $S_{\hat{\lambda}}$ ). Let  $f_{\lambda}^D$  denote the characteristic function of  $\mathcal{C}_{\lambda}^D$ . Then  $f_{\lambda}^D$  is a primitive idempotent of  $\mathbf{Q}\operatorname{Irr} W_D$ . Moreover,  $(f_{\lambda}^D)_{\lambda \in \operatorname{Bip}(D)}$  is a complete family of orthogonal primitive idempotents of  $\mathbf{Q}\operatorname{Irr} W_D$ . Since  $\theta_D$  is surjective, there exists a family of idempotents  $(E_{\lambda}^D)_{\lambda \in \operatorname{Bip}(D)}$  of  $\mathbf{Q}\Sigma'(W_D)$  such that

(1) 
$$\forall \lambda \in \operatorname{Bip}(D), \ \theta_D(E^D_\lambda) = f^D_\lambda.$$
  
(2)  $\forall \lambda, \mu \in \operatorname{Bip}(D), \ \lambda \neq \mu \Rightarrow E^D_\lambda E^D_\mu = E^D_\mu E^D_\lambda = 0.$   
(3)  $\sum_{\lambda \in \operatorname{Bip}(D)} E^D_\lambda = 1.$ 

PROPOSITION 3.10. — If  $x \in \Sigma'(W_D)$ , then

$$\theta_D(x) = |W_D| \sum_{\lambda \in \Vdash D} \frac{\tau_D(xE_\lambda^D)}{|\mathcal{C}_\lambda^D|} f_\lambda^D \in \mathbf{Z} \operatorname{Irr} W_D.$$

*Proof.* — If  $f \in \mathbf{Q}$  Irr  $W_D$ , then

$$f = |W_D| \sum_{\lambda \in \operatorname{Bip}(D)} \frac{\langle f, f_\lambda^D \rangle_D}{|\mathcal{C}_\lambda^D|} f_\lambda^D \in \mathbf{Z} \operatorname{Irr} W_D.$$

If  $f = \theta_D(x)$  with  $x \in \Sigma'(W_D)$ , then we get the desired formula just by applying Proposition 3.8 and the property (1) above.

#### 3.5. Character table

Since all irreducible characters of  $W_D$  have rational values, the algebra  $\mathbf{Q} \operatorname{Irr} W_D$  may be identified with the  $\mathbf{Q}$ -algebra of central functions  $W_D \to \mathbf{Q}$ . If  $\lambda \in \operatorname{Bip}(D)$ , we denote by  $\operatorname{ev}_{\lambda}^D : \mathbf{Q} \operatorname{Irr} W_D \to \mathbf{Q}, \ \chi \mapsto \chi(c_{\lambda}^D)$ , where  $c_{\lambda}^D$  is some element of  $\mathcal{C}_{\lambda}^D$  (for instance, a Coxeter element of  $W_{\hat{\lambda}}$ ). Then  $\operatorname{ev}_{\lambda}^D$  is a morphism of algebras: it is an irreducible representation of  $\mathbf{Q} \operatorname{Irr} W_D$ . Moreover,  $\{\operatorname{ev}_{\lambda}^D \mid \lambda \in \operatorname{Bip}(D)\}$  is a complete set of representatives of isomorphy classes of irreducible representations of  $\mathbf{Q} \operatorname{Irr} W_D$ . Now, let  $\mathbf{Q}_{\lambda}^D$  denote the  $\mathbf{Q}\Sigma'(W_D)$ -module whose underlying vector space is  $\mathbf{Q}$  and on which an element  $x \in \mathbf{Q}\Sigma'(W_D)$  acts by multiplication by  $\pi_{\lambda}^D(x) = (\operatorname{ev}_{\lambda}^D \circ \theta_D)(x)$ .

Then, by Theorem 3.7, we get:

PROPOSITION 3.11. —  $\{\mathbf{Q}_{\lambda}^{D} \mid \lambda \in \operatorname{Bip}(D)\}\$  is a complete set of isomorphy classes of simple  $\mathbf{Q}\Sigma'(W_{D})$ -modules. We have

$$\operatorname{Irr}(\mathbf{Q}\Sigma'(W_D)) = \{\pi_{\lambda}^D \mid \lambda \in \operatorname{Bip}(D)\}.$$

The character table of  $\mathbf{Q}\Sigma'(W_D)$  is the square matrix whose rows and the columns are indexed by  $\operatorname{Bip}(D)$  and whose  $(\lambda, \mu)$ -entry is the value of the irreducible character  $\pi_{\lambda}^D(x_{\hat{\mu}}^D)$ . Note that

$$\pi^D_{\lambda}(x^D_{\hat{\mu}}) = \left( \operatorname{Ind}_{W_{\hat{\mu}}}^{W_D} 1_{\hat{\mu}} \right) (c^D_{\lambda}).$$

Notation. — If D = (n), we denote  $\mathcal{C}_{\lambda}^{D}$ ,  $f_{\lambda}^{D}$ ,  $E_{\lambda}^{D}$ ,  $c_{\lambda}^{D}$ ,  $\mathrm{ev}_{\lambda}^{D}$ ,  $\mathbf{Q}_{\lambda}^{D}$  and  $\pi_{\lambda}^{D}$  by  $\mathcal{C}_{\lambda}$ ,  $f_{\lambda}$ ,  $E_{\lambda}$ ,  $c_{\lambda}$ ,  $\mathrm{ev}_{\lambda}$ ,  $\mathbf{Q}_{\lambda}$  and  $\pi_{\lambda}$  respectively.

Now, if  $\lambda, \mu \in \operatorname{Bip}(D)$ , we write  $\lambda \subset \mu$  if there exists some  $w \in W_D$  such that  $W_{\hat{\lambda}} \subset {}^{w}W_{\hat{\mu}}$ . By Proposition 2.7,  $\subset$  is a partial order on  $\operatorname{Bip}(D)$ . For this partial order, the character table of  $\mathbf{Q}\Sigma'(W_D)$  is triangular :

PROPOSITION 3.12. — If  $\pi^D_{\lambda}(x^D_{\hat{\mu}}) \neq 0$ , then  $\lambda \subset \mu$ .

Proof. — We may, and we will, assume that D = (n). If  $\pi_{\lambda}(x_{\hat{\mu}}) \neq 0$ , then there exists  $w \in W_n$  such that  $wc_{\lambda}w^{-1} \in W_{\hat{\mu}}$ . Therefore, there exists  $\nu \in \operatorname{Bip}(\hat{\mu})$  and  $w' \in W_{\hat{\mu}}$  such that  $w'wc_{\lambda}w^{-1}w'^{-1}$  is a Coxeter element of  $W_{\hat{\nu}}$ . Let C denote the unique signed composition of n such that  $W_{\hat{\nu}} = W_C$ and let  $\lambda' = \lambda(C)$ . Then  $w'wc_{\lambda}w^{-1}w'^{-1}$  is conjugate to  $c_{\lambda'}$ . Therefore,  $\lambda = \lambda'$ . This completes the proof of the proposition.

In the last section of this paper, we will give the character table of  $\Sigma'(W_2)$ .

#### 3.6. Combinatorial description

In  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ , the refinement of compositions is useful to construct  $X_C$  from  $Y_D$  without considering subsets of  $S'_{\overline{n}}$ . The aim of this subsection is to describe such a procedure in our case. Let us start with an example. Consider  $C = (\bar{2}, 1)$ , then the subsets of  $S'_3$  containing  $S_C = \{s_1, t_3\}$  are  $\{s_1, s_2, t_3\} = \mathcal{A}_{(\bar{2},1)}; \{s_1, t_2, t_3\} = \mathcal{A}_{(\bar{1},1,1)}; \{s_1, t_1, t_2, t_3\} = \mathcal{A}_{(2,1)}, \{s_1, s_2, t_2, t_3\} = \mathcal{A}_{(\bar{1},2)}$  and  $S'_3 = \mathcal{A}_{(3)}$ . Observe that (1, 2) (which corresponds to  $\{s_2, t_1, t_2, t_3\} \neq S_C$ ) is not obtained. Here, we define a procedure which give  $(\bar{2}, 1), (\bar{1}, 1, 1), (\bar{1}, 2)$  and (3) from  $(\bar{2}, 1)$ , without obtaining (1, 2).

Let  $C = (c_1, \ldots, c_k) \models n$ , we write:

- $C \xleftarrow{B} D$  if  $D = (a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, \dots, a_k, b_k) \models n$  is such that for all  $i \in [1, k]$  we have  $|a_i| + |b_i| = |c_i|$ ;  $a_i = c_i$  (hence  $b_i = 0$ ) if  $c_i > 0$ ;  $a_i \leq 0 \leq b_i$  if  $c_i < 0$  (remove the 0 from the list  $(a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, \dots, a_k, b_k)$ ). That is, D is obtained from C by breaking negative parts operations.
- C ← D if C is finer than D ⊨ n, that is, D can be obtained from C by summing consecutive parts of C having the same sign (refinement operations).

Example 3.13. — Let  $C = (1, \overline{2}, \overline{1})$ , then

$$\begin{split} \left\{ D \models 4 \mid C \xleftarrow{B} D \right\} \\ &= \left\{ (1, \bar{2}, \bar{1}), (1, \bar{1}, 1, \bar{1}), (1, \bar{1}, 1, 1), (1, 2, \bar{1}), (1, \bar{2}, 1), (1, 2, 1) \right\}. \end{split}$$

Remark 3.14. — Let  $C, D \models n$ , then we have  $C \leftarrow D$  if and only if  $S_C \subset \mathcal{A}_D$ . We deduce easily from definitions, Lemma 2.21 and Example 2.23 the following properties for any  $i \in [1, k - 1]$ :

• if sign  $c_i = \operatorname{sign} c_{i+1}$ , then

$$C = (c_1, \ldots, c_i, c_{i+1}, \ldots, c_k) \xleftarrow{R} (c_1, \ldots, c_i + c_{i+1}, \ldots, c_k) = D,$$

and this means that  $\mathcal{A}_D = \mathcal{A}_C \uplus \{ s_{|c_1|+\dots+|c_i|} \};$ 

• if  $c_i, c_{i+1} < 0$ , then

$$C = (c_1, \dots, c_i, c_{i+1}, \dots, c_k) \xleftarrow{B} (c_1, \dots, c_i + 1, 1, c_{i+1}, \dots, c_k) = D$$

(remove the 0 from the list), and this means that

$$\mathcal{A}_D = \mathcal{A}_C \uplus \left\{ t_{|c_1| + \dots + |c_i|} \right\};$$

• if  $c_i < 0$  and  $c_{i+1} > 0$ , then

$$C = (c_1, \dots, c_i, c_{i+1}, \dots, c_k) \stackrel{B}{\leftarrow} (c_1, \dots, c_i + 1, 1, c_{i+1}, \dots, c_k) = D$$

(remove the 0 from the list), and this means that

 $\mathcal{A}_D \uplus \left\{ s_{|c_1|+\dots+|c_i|} \right\} = \mathcal{A}_C \uplus \left\{ t_{|c_1|+\dots+|c_i|} \right\}.$ 

Moreover, as  $s_{|c_1|+\cdots+|c_i|} \notin S_C$ , we have  $S_C \subset \mathcal{A}_D$ , that is,  $C \leftarrow D$ ;

• finally, if  $c_i < 0$ , then  $C \xleftarrow{B} (c_1, \ldots, c_i + 1, 1, c_{i+1}, \ldots, c_k) = D$  and

$$C \stackrel{B}{\longleftarrow} (c_1, \dots, c_i + 2, 2, c_{i+1}, \dots, c_k) = D$$

(remove the 0 from the list), and this means that

$$\mathcal{A}_{D'} = \mathcal{A}_D \uplus \big\{ t_{|c_1| + \dots + |c_i|} \big\}.$$

Hence  $S_C \subset \mathcal{A}_D \subset \mathcal{A}_{D'}$ .

In all these cases, we have  $C \leftarrow D$ .

THEOREM 3.15. — Let  $C, D \Vdash n$ , then  $C \leftarrow D$  if and only if there is  $E \models n$  such that  $C \xleftarrow{B} E \xleftarrow{R} D$ . Moreover, E is uniquely determined.

Proof. — Suppose that E exists, then it is easy to check (using Remark 3.14 and induction) that  $S_C \subset \mathcal{A}_E \subset \mathcal{A}_D$ , which implies  $C \leftarrow D$ .

Now, suppose that  $C \leftarrow D$ . As  $S_C \subset \mathcal{A}_D$ , it is easy to construct a unique  $E \models n$  such that  $\mathcal{A}_E \cap T_n = \mathcal{A}_D \cap T_n$  and  $C \xleftarrow{B} E$  (hence  $C \leftarrow B$ ). It remains to show that  $E \xleftarrow{R} D$ , that is, to show that  $\mathcal{A}_E \cap S_{\overline{n}} \subset \mathcal{A}_D \cap S_{\overline{n}}$ . Let  $s_j \in \mathcal{A}_E \cap S_{\overline{n}}$ , then either  $j \in [|c_1| + \cdots + |c_{i-1}| + 1, \ldots, |c_1| + \cdots + |c_i| - 1]$ hence  $s_j \in S_C \subset \mathcal{A}_D$ ; or  $j = |c_1| + \cdots + |c_i|$  and  $c_i < 0$  and  $c_{i+1} > 0$  by definition. But refinement operations do not act on parts having not the same sign, that is,  $s_j \in \mathcal{A}_D$ .

Example 3.16. — Consider the signed composition  $C = (1, \overline{2}, \overline{1})$ . Then we obtain from Theorem 3.15 and Example 3.13

$$\begin{split} X_{(1,\bar{2},\bar{1})} = & Y_{(1,\bar{2},\bar{1})} \cup Y_{(1,\bar{3})} \cup Y_{(1,\bar{1},1,\bar{1})} \cup Y_{(1,\bar{1},1,1)} \cup Y_{(1,\bar{1},2)} \cup Y_{(1,2,\bar{1})} \cup Y_{(3,\bar{1})} \\ & \cup Y_{(1,\bar{2},1)} \cup Y_{(1,2,1)} \cup Y_{(3,1)} \cup Y_{(1,3)} \cup Y_{(4)}. \end{split}$$

#### 4. Coplactic space

#### 4.1. Robinson-Schensted correspondence for $W_D$

In [20], the author defined a bijection between  $W_n$  and a certain set of bitableaux, which sounds like a Robinson-Schensted correspondence. Let

us recall here some of his results. A bitableau is a pair  $T = (T^+, T^-)$  of tableaux. The shape of T is the bipartition  $(\lambda^+, \lambda^-)$ , where  $\lambda^+$  is the shape of  $T^+$  and  $\lambda^-$  is the shape of  $T^-$ : it is denoted by sh T. We note  $|T| = |\operatorname{sh} T|$ . The bitableau T is said to be standard if the set of numbers in  $T^+$  and  $T^-$  is [1, m], where m = |T|, and if the fillings of  $T^+$  and  $T^-$  are increasing in rows and in column.

Let  $D \models n$ . Write  $D = (d_1, \ldots, d_r)$  and denote by  $\mathcal{SBT}(D)$  the set of *r*-tuples  $T = (T_1, \ldots, T_r)$  of bitableaux  $T_i = (T_i^+, T_i^-)$  such that  $|T_i| = |d_i|, T_i^- = \emptyset$  if  $d_i < 0, T_i^+$  and  $T_i^-$  are standard and the fillings of  $T_i^+$ and  $T_i^-$  are exactly the numbers in  $I_{D,+}^{(i)} = [|d_1| + \cdots + |d_{i-1}| + 1, |d_1| + \cdots + |d_i|]$ . The shape of T, denoted by sh T, is the *r*-tuple of bipartitions (sh  $T_1, \ldots, \text{sh } T_r$ ). If  $T \in \mathcal{SBT}(D)$ , then sh  $T \in \text{Bip}(D)$ . If  $\lambda \in \text{Bip}(D)$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{SBT}_{\lambda}^D$  the set of elements  $T \in \mathcal{SBT}(D)$  such that sh  $T = \lambda$ . In [20], the author defined a bijection (which we call generalized Robinson-Schensted correspondence)

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_D : W_D &\longrightarrow \{ (P,Q) \in \mathcal{SBT}(D) \times \mathcal{SBT}(D) \mid \operatorname{sh} P = \operatorname{sh} Q \} \\ w &\longmapsto (\boldsymbol{P}_D(w), \boldsymbol{Q}_D(w)). \end{aligned}$$

Note that, in [20] (see also [4, Section 3]), the bijection has been defined only for D = (n). It is not difficult to deduce from this the bijection  $\pi_D$ for general D. To this bijection is associated a partition of  $W_n$  as follows: if  $Q \in SBT(D)$ , we set

$$Z_Q^D = \{ w \in W_D \mid \boldsymbol{Q}_D(w) = Q \}.$$

Then

$$W_D = \coprod_{Q \in \mathcal{SBT}(D)} Z_Q^D$$

#### 4.2. Properties

First, note that the bijection  $\pi_D$  satisfies the following property: if  $w \in W_n$ , then

(4.1) 
$$\pi_D(w^{-1}) = (\boldsymbol{Q}_D(w), \boldsymbol{P}_D(w)).$$

In particular, if Q and Q' are two elements of SBT(D), then

(4.2) 
$$|Z_Q^D \cap (Z_{Q'}^D)^{-1}| = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if sh } Q = \text{sh } Q', \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Remark. —  $\pi_{\bar{n}}$  is the usual Robinson-Schensted correspondence. For simplification, we denote by  $Z_Q = Z_Q^{(n)}$  if  $Q \in SBT(n)$ .

In [4, Section 3], the authors give another way to define the equivalence relation associated to this partition which looks like coplactic equivalence or dual-Knuth equivalence. If  $w \in W_D$ , let  $\mathcal{D}'_D(w)$  denote the set  $\{s \in S'_D \mid \ell(ws) < \ell(w)\}$ . If  $w, w' \in W_D$ , we write  $w \smile_D w'$  if  $w'w^{-1} \in S_{D^-} \subset S_{\bar{n}} = \{s_1, \ldots, s_{n-1}\}$  and  $\mathcal{D}'_D(w^{-1}) \not\subset \mathcal{D}'_D(w'^{-1})$  and  $\mathcal{D}'_D(w'^{-1}) \not\subset \mathcal{D}'_D(w'^{-1})$ . Note that the relation  $\smile_D$  is symmetric. We denote by  $\sim_D$  the reflexive and transitive closure of  $\smile_D$ . It is an equivalence relation, called the coplactic equivalence relation. The equivalence classes for this relation are called the coplactic classes of  $W_D$ . We denote by  $\operatorname{Cop}(W_D)$  the set of coplactic classes for the relation  $\sim_D$ .

By [4, Proposition 3.8], we have, for every  $w, w' \in W_D$ ,

(4.3) 
$$w \sim_D w' \iff \boldsymbol{Q}_D(w) = \boldsymbol{Q}_D(w').$$

So  $\operatorname{Cop}(W_D) = \{Z_Q^D \mid Q \in \mathcal{SBT}(D)\}.$ 

Remark 4.4. — The relation  $\smile_D$  has a useful combinatorial interpretation (see [4, Proof of Proposition 3.8]):  $w \smile_D s_i w$  ( $s_i \in S_{D^-}$ ) if and only if:

- either sign  $(w(i)) \neq$  sign (w(i+1));
- or sign (w(i)) = sign(w(i+1)), then  $s_i w$  is obtained from w by a classical dual-Knuth transformation; that is,  $w^{-1}(i-1)$  or  $w^{-1}(i+2)$  lie between  $w^{-1}(i)$  and  $w^{-1}(i+1)$ .

PROPOSITION 4.5. — Let  $w, w' \in W_D$ , then

$$w \sim_D w' \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}'_D(w) = \mathcal{D}'_D(w').$$

Proof. — We may, and we will, assume that D = (n). If  $T_0$  is a Young tableau, let  $\mathcal{D}(T_0) = \{s_p \in S_{\bar{n}} \mid p+1 \text{ lies in a row above the row containing } p\}$ . Let  ${}^tT_0$  denote the transposed tableau of T. If  $T = (T^+, T^-)$  is a standard bitableau, we set

 $\mathcal{D}'(T) = \left\{ t_p \, | \, p \in T^- \right\} \uplus \left\{ s_p \, | \, p \in T^+ \text{ and } p+1 \in T^- \right\} \uplus \mathcal{D}(T^+) \uplus \mathcal{D}(^tT^-).$ Then it is easy to check that  $\mathcal{D}'_n(w) = \mathcal{D}'(\boldsymbol{Q}(w))$ . This completes the proof of the proposition.  $\Box$ 

Example 4.6. — Let

$$T = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \boxed{1 & 7} \\ 6 & 9 \\ 8 \end{array}\right), \quad \boxed{2 & 3 & 5} \\ 4 \\ \end{array}\right) \in \mathcal{SBT}(9).$$

ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

Then

$$\mathcal{D}'(T) = \{s_1, s_3, s_6, s_8, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5\}.$$

Remark 4.7. — Using Proposition 4.5, we may assign a signed composition  $C(Q) \models n$  to any standard bitableau  $Q \in SBT(n)$  by setting C(Q) = C(w) for any  $w \in W_n$  such that Q(w) = Q. One can determine C(Q) directly from Q thanks to the following procedure, which is a combinatorial translation of the proof of Proposition 4.5. First one looks for maximal subwords  $j j + 1 j + 2 \dots k$  of  $1 \ 2 \ 3 \dots n$  such that

- either the numbers j, j+1, j+2, ..., k can be found in this order in  $Q^+$  when one goes from left to right (changes of rows are allowed)
- or they can be found in this order in  $Q^-$  when one goes from top to bottom (changes of column are allowed).

The word  $1 \ 2 \ 3 \dots n$  is then the concatenation of these maximal subwords, and the signed composition C(Q) is the sequence of the lengths of these subwords, adorned with a minus sign if the letters of the subword can be found in  $Q^-$ . As an example, consider  $Q = (Q^+, Q^-)$  with

$$Q^{+} = \frac{\boxed{1 \ 2 \ 6 \ 7 \ 8 \ 13}}{\underbrace{9 \ 11 \ 12}_{10}} \quad \text{and} \quad Q^{-} = \frac{\boxed{3 \ 14}}{\underbrace{4 \ 5}_{15}}$$

The partition of  $1 \ 2 \dots 14 \ 15$  in maximal subwords is  $1 \ 2 \ | \ 3 \ 4 \ 5 \ | \ 6 \ 7 \ 8 \ | \ 9 \ |$ 10 11 12 13 | 14 15, from what we can deduce that  $C(Q) = (2, \overline{3}, 3, 1, 4, \overline{2})$ .

Therefore, we have by definitions

$$X_C = \coprod_{C \leftarrow C(Q)} Z_Q.$$

PROPOSITION 4.8. — Let  $C, D \models n$  such that  $C \subset D$ . Let  $w, w' \in W_C$ and  $x, x' \in X_C^D$ , then:

- (a) If  $w \sim_C w'$ , then  $wx^{-1} \sim_D w'x^{-1}$ .
- (b) If  $xw \sim_D x'w'$ , then  $w \sim_C w'$ .
- (c) If  $w \sim_C w'$ , then  $w_C w \sim_C w_C w'$  and  $w w_C \sim_C w' w_C$ .

Proof. — (c) is clear. Let us now prove (a). We may assume that  $w \sim_C w'$ . But  $\mathcal{D}_C(w^{-1}) \subset \mathcal{D}_D(xw^{-1})$  and  $\mathcal{D}_C(w'^{-1}) \subset \mathcal{D}_D(xw'^{-1})$ . So  $wx^{-1} \sim_D w'x^{-1}$ .

We now prove (b). If  $W_C$  is a standard parabolic subgroup of  $W_D$ , and using the fact that coplactic classes are left cells for a particular choice of parameters [4, Theorem 7.7], then (b) follows from [6]. Therefore, by taking

direct products and by arguing by induction on  $|X_C^D|$ , we may now assume that D = (n) and C = (k, l) with  $k, l \ge 1$  and k + l = n.

Let us start by proving (a). We may assume that  $w \smile_C w'$ . But  $\mathcal{D}_C(w^{-1}) \subset \mathcal{D}_D(xw^{-1})$  and  $\mathcal{D}_C(w'^{-1}) \subset \mathcal{D}_D(xw'^{-1})$ . So  $wx^{-1} \smile_D w'x^{-1}$ . Let us now prove (b). We may assume that  $xw \smile_D x'w'$ . Let  $Q = \mathbf{Q}_C(w) = \mathbf{Q}_C(w')$ . From Remark 4.4, we have two cases: either x'w' is obtained from xw by a dual-Knuth relation, or  $x'w' = s_ixw$  and sign  $(xw(i)) \neq$ sign (xw(i+1)). In the first case, observe that, for any  $i \in [1, k-1]$  and  $i \in [k+1, k+l-1], xw(k) < xw(k+1)$  if and only if w(k) < w(k+1), since  $x \in X_{(k,l)} = X_{(\bar{k},\bar{l})}^{(\bar{n})} \subset W_{\bar{n}}$ . Then we conclude by Remark 4.4 (which is exactly the result of Lascoux and Schützenberger on the shuffle of plactic classes [12]).

In the second case, observe that, for any  $k \in [1, n]$ ,

(\*) 
$$\operatorname{sign}(w(k)) = \operatorname{sign}(xw(k)) = \operatorname{sign}(s_i xw(k))$$

since  $X_{(k,l)} = X_{(\bar{k},\bar{l})}^{(\bar{n})} \subset W_{\bar{n}}$  and  $s_i \in S_{\bar{n}}$ . If  $s_i x = x'$ , then w = w' and the result follows. If  $s_i x = x s_j$ , with  $s_j \in S_{(\bar{k},\bar{l})}$  (by Deodhar's Lemma), then x' = x. Therefore  $w' = s_j w$ , by ( $\star$ ) and Remark 4.4. So  $w \smile_C w'$ .

#### 4.3. Coplactic space

Let  $D \models n$ . If  $Q \in SBT(D)$ , we set

$$z_Q^D = \sum_{w \in Z_Q^D} w \qquad \in \mathbf{Z} W_D.$$

Now, let

$$\mathcal{Q}_D = \bigoplus_{Q \in \mathcal{SBT}(D)} \mathbf{Z} z_Q^D \qquad \subset \mathbf{Z} W_D$$

and

$$\mathcal{Q}_D^{\perp} = \bigoplus_{\substack{Q,Q' \in \mathcal{SBT}(D) \\ \operatorname{sh} Q = \operatorname{sh} Q'}} \mathbf{Z}(z_Q^D - z_{Q'}^D) \qquad \subset \mathcal{Q}_D.$$

Then, by Proposition 4.5, we have

(4.9) 
$$\Sigma'(W_D) \subset \mathcal{Q}_D.$$

The next proposition justifies the notation  $\mathcal{Q}_D^{\perp}$ :

PROPOSITION 4.10. — 
$$\mathcal{Q}_D^{\perp} = \{x \in \mathcal{Q}_D \mid \forall y \in \mathcal{Q}_D, \tau_D(xy) = 0\}.$$

ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

Proof. — Let  $\mathcal{Q}'_D = \{x \in \mathcal{Q}_D \mid \forall y \in \mathcal{Q}_D, \tau_D(xy) = 0\}$ . Let Q and Q' be elements of  $\mathcal{SBT}(D)$ . Then, by (4.2), we have

(4.11) 
$$\tau_D(z_Q^D z_{Q'}^D) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if sh } Q = \text{sh } Q', \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This shows in particular that  $\mathcal{Q}_D^{\perp} \subset \mathcal{Q}_D'$ .

Let us now prove that  $\mathcal{Q}'_D \subset \mathcal{Q}_D^{\perp}$ . Now, since  $\mathcal{Q}_D/\mathcal{Q}_D^{\perp}$  is torsion free, it is sufficient to prove that  $\dim_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q} \mathcal{Q}'_D \leq \dim_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q} \mathcal{Q}_D^{\perp}$ . But, by construction, we have  $\dim_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q} \mathcal{Q}_D - \dim_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q} \mathcal{Q}_D^{\perp} = |\operatorname{Bip}(D)|$ . Moreover, by Proposition 3.8, we have  $\dim_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q} \mathcal{Q}_D - \dim_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q} \mathcal{Q}'_D \geq |\operatorname{Irr} W_D| = |\operatorname{Bip}(D)|$ .

The next lemma is a generalization to our case of a result of Blessenohl and Schocker concerning the symmetric group [3].

PROPOSITION 4.12. — We have  $\mathcal{Q}_D = \Sigma'(W_D) + \mathcal{Q}_D^{\perp}$  and  $\Sigma'(W_D) \cap \mathcal{Q}_D^{\perp} = \operatorname{Ker} \theta_D$ .

Proof. — Let us first prove that  $\mathcal{Q}_D = \Sigma'(W_D) + \mathcal{Q}_D^{\perp}$ . For this, we may, and we will, assume that D = (n). We first need to introduce an order on bipartitions of n. We denote by  $\leq_{s1}$  the lexicographic order on Bip(n) induced by the following order on  $I_n$ :

$$\bar{1} <_{\mathrm{sl}} \bar{2} <_{\mathrm{sl}} \cdots <_{\mathrm{sl}} \bar{n} <_{\mathrm{sl}} 1 <_{\mathrm{sl}} 2 <_{\mathrm{sl}} \cdots <_{\mathrm{sl}} n.$$

If  $\lambda$  is a bipartition of n, we denote by  $Q_{\lambda} = Q(\eta_{\hat{\lambda}})$ . If  $\lambda = (\lambda^+, \lambda^-)$ , then it is easy to check that sh  $Q_{\lambda} = (\lambda^+, {}^t\lambda^-) = \lambda^*$ , where  ${}^t\lambda^-$  is the transpose of the partition  $\lambda$ , and, using Remark 4.7, that  $Q_{\lambda}$  is obtained by numbered  $Q_{\lambda}^+$  (resp.  ${}^tQ_{\lambda}^-$ ) the first column first, then the second one and so on. Now, let  $Q \in SBT(n)$ . Then:

LEMMA 4.13. — Assume that  $Z_Q \subset X_{\hat{\lambda}}$ , then  $\lambda \leq_{\text{sl}} (\text{sh } Q)^*$ . Moreover, if  $\text{sh } Q = \lambda^*$ , then  $Q = Q_{\lambda}$ .

*Proof.* — First, we easily check (using Remark 4.7), that  $\lambda(C(Q)) \leq_{\text{sl}} (\text{sh } Q)^*$  with equality if and only if  $Q = Q_{\lambda}$ .

Then, observe (using Theorem 3.15), that  $\lambda \leq_{\text{sl}} \lambda(C(Q))$  with equality if and only if  $C(Q) = \hat{\lambda}$ . This conclude the proof.

We are now ready to prove by descending induction on  $(\operatorname{sh} Q)^*$  that  $z_Q \in \Sigma'(W_n) + \mathcal{Q}_n^{\perp}$ . If  $(\operatorname{sh} Q)^* = (n, \emptyset)$ , then  $Z_Q = \{1\} = Y_n$ . So  $z_Q = y_n \in \Sigma'(W_n)$ .

Now, assume that  $(\operatorname{sh} Q)^* <_{\operatorname{sl}} (n, \emptyset)$  and that  $z_{Q'} \in \Sigma'(W_n) + \mathcal{Q}_n^{\perp}$  for every  $Q' \in \mathcal{SBT}(n)$  such that  $(\operatorname{sh} Q)^* <_{\operatorname{sl}} (\operatorname{sh} Q')^*$ . Let  $\lambda = (\operatorname{sh} Q)^*$ . Then  $z_Q = z_{Q_\lambda} + (z_Q - z_{Q_\lambda}) \in z_{Q_\lambda} + \mathcal{Q}_n^{\perp}$ . So it is sufficient to prove that  $z_{Q_{\lambda}} \in \Sigma'(W_n) + \mathcal{Q}_n^{\perp}$ . But, by Lemma 4.13  $x_{\hat{\lambda}} - z_{Q_{\lambda}}$  is a sum of  $z_{Q'}$  with  $\lambda <_{\text{lex}} (\text{sh } Q)^*$ . Hence, by the induction hypothesis, we have  $x_{\lambda} - z_{Q_{\hat{\lambda}}} \in \Sigma'(W_n) + \mathcal{Q}_n^{\perp}$ , as desired.

Now, let us prove that  $\Sigma'(W_D) \cap \mathcal{Q}_D^{\perp} = \operatorname{Ker} \theta_D$ . The natural map  $\Sigma'(W_D) \to \mathcal{Q}_D/\mathcal{Q}_D^{\perp}$  is surjective, so  $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}} \Sigma'(W_D) \cap \mathcal{Q}_D^{\perp} = \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}} \operatorname{Ker} \theta_D$ . Since the **Z**-modules  $\Sigma'(W_D)/(\Sigma'(W_D) \cap \mathcal{Q}_D^{\perp})$  and  $\Sigma'(W_D)/\operatorname{Ker} \theta_D$  are torsion free, it is sufficient to prove that  $\Sigma'(W_D) \cap \mathcal{Q}_D^{\perp}$  is contained in  $\operatorname{Ker} \theta_D$ . But this follows from Proposition 4.10 and Corollary 3.9.

Using Proposition 4.12, we can easily extend the linear map  $\theta_D$  to a linear map  $\tilde{\theta}_D : \mathcal{Q}_D \to \mathbf{Z} \operatorname{Irr} W_D$ . If  $x \in \mathcal{Q}_D$ , write x = a + b with  $a \in \Sigma'(W_D)$  and  $b \in \mathcal{Q}_D^{\perp}$  and set

$$\tilde{\theta}_D(x) = \theta_D(a).$$

Then Proposition 4.12 shows that  $\tilde{\theta}_D$  is well-defined (that is,  $\theta_D(a)$  does not depend on the choice of a and b).

THEOREM 4.14. — Let  $D \models n$ . Then:

- (a)  $\tilde{\theta}_D$  is an extension of  $\theta_D$  to  $\mathcal{Q}_D$ ;
- (b) Ker  $\tilde{\theta}_D = \mathcal{Q}_D^{\perp}$ ;
- (c) if x and y are two elements of  $\mathcal{Q}_D$ , then  $\tau_D(xy) = \langle \tilde{\theta}_D(x), \tilde{\theta}_D(y) \rangle_D$ ;
- (d) the diagram



is commutative; (e) if  $x \in Q_D$ , then

$$\tilde{\theta}_D(x) = |W_D| \sum_{\lambda \in \operatorname{Bip}(D)} \frac{\tau_D(x E_\lambda^D)}{|\mathcal{C}_\lambda^D|} f_\lambda^D.$$

Proof. — (a) and (b) are easy. (c) follows from Proposition 3.8 and Proposition 4.10. (d) follows from the commutativity of the diagram (3.6) and from the fact that  $\operatorname{aug}_D(\mathcal{Q}_D^{\perp}) = 0$  (indeed, if Q and Q' are two elements of  $\mathcal{SBT}(D)$  of the same shape, then  $|Z_Q^D| = |Z_{Q'}^D|$ ). Using Proposition 4.12, it is sufficient to prove (e) for  $x \in \Sigma'(W_D)$  or  $x \in \mathcal{Q}_D^{\perp}$ . If  $x \in \Sigma'(W_D)$ , this follows from Proposition 3.10. If  $x \in \mathcal{Q}_D^{\perp}$ , this follows from Proposition 4.10. Remark. — In the theorem, the case  $D = (\bar{n})$  is precisely the symmetric group case.

COROLLARY 4.15. — If  $\tilde{\theta}$  is an extension of  $\theta_D$  to the  $\mathcal{Q}_D$  such that, for all x and y in  $\mathcal{Q}_D$ ,  $\tau_D(xy) = \langle \tilde{\theta}(x), \tilde{\theta}(y) \rangle_D$ , then  $\tilde{\theta} = \tilde{\theta}_D$ .

Proof. — Assume that  $\tilde{\theta}$  is an extension of  $\theta_D$  to  $\mathcal{Q}_D$  such that  $\tau_D(xy) = \langle \tilde{\theta}(x), \tilde{\theta}(y) \rangle_D$  for all x and y in  $\mathcal{Q}_D$ . Then, if  $x \in \mathcal{Q}_D^{\perp}$  and  $\chi \in \mathbb{Z} \operatorname{Irr} W_D$ , then there exists  $y \in \mathcal{Q}_D$  such that  $\tilde{\theta}_D(y) = \chi$ . So

$$\langle \chi, \theta(x) \rangle_D = \langle \theta(y), \theta(x) \rangle_D = \tau_D(xy) = 0$$

by hypothesis and by Proposition 4.10. Since  $\langle .,. \rangle_D$  is a perfect pairing on **Z** Irr  $W_D$ , we get that  $\tilde{\theta}(x) = 0$ . So  $\tilde{\theta}$  coincides with  $\tilde{\theta}_D$  on  $\Sigma'(W_D)$  and on  $\mathcal{Q}_D^{\perp}$ , so  $\tilde{\theta} = \tilde{\theta}_D$  by Proposition 4.12.

Let  $\lambda \in \operatorname{Bip}(D)$ . Let  $Q \in \mathcal{SBT}(D)$  be of shape  $\lambda$ . Now, let

$$\xi_{\lambda} = \hat{\theta}_D(z_Q).$$

Then  $\xi_{\lambda}$  depends only on  $\lambda$  and not on the choice of Q. Moreover,  $\xi_{\lambda} \in \mathbb{Z} \operatorname{Irr} W_D$ ,  $\deg_D \xi_{\lambda} = |Z_Q| > 0$  (see Theorem 4.14 (d)) and, by Theorem 4.14 (c) and (4.11), we have  $\langle \xi_{\lambda}, \xi_{\lambda} \rangle_D = 1$ . This shows that  $\xi_{\lambda} \in \operatorname{Irr} W_D$ . Moreover, if  $\lambda \neq \mu$ , it also follows from Theorem 4.14 (c) and (4.11) that  $\langle \xi_{\lambda}, \xi_{\mu} \rangle_D = 0$ . So we have proved the following proposition:

PROPOSITION 4.16. — The map  $\operatorname{Bip}(D) \to \operatorname{Irr} W_D$ ,  $\lambda \mapsto \xi_{\lambda}$  is well-defined and bijective.

Remark 4.17. — If  $T = (T^+, T^-) \in \mathcal{SBT}(n)$ , we denote by  $T^{\vee}$  the standard bitableau  $(T^-, T^+)$ . If  $\lambda = (\lambda^+, \lambda^-) \in \operatorname{Bip}(n)$ , we set  $\lambda^{\vee} = (\lambda^-, \lambda^+) \in \operatorname{Bip}(n)$ . In particular, sh  $T^{\vee} = (\operatorname{sh} T)^{\vee}$ .

Now, let  $w \in W_n$ . Then  $\pi_n(w_n w) = (\mathbf{P}(w)^{\vee}, \mathbf{Q}(w)^{\vee})$ . Therefore, if  $Q \in S\mathcal{BT}(n)$  then  $w_n Z_Q = Z_{Q^{\vee}}$ . This shows in particular that  $w_n \mathcal{Q}_n = \mathcal{Q}_n$  and that  $w_n \mathcal{Q}_n^{\perp} = \mathcal{Q}_n^{\perp}$ . Moreover,

(4.18) 
$$\tilde{\theta}_n(w_n z) = \varepsilon_n \tilde{\theta}_n(z)$$

for all  $z \in \mathcal{Q}_n$ . Indeed, this equality is true if  $z \in \Sigma'(W_n)$  by Theorem 3.7 and it is obviously true if  $z \in \mathcal{Q}_n^{\perp}$ . So we can conclude using Proposition 4.12. In particular, if  $\lambda \in \operatorname{Bip}(n)$ , then

(4.19) 
$$\xi_{\lambda^{\vee}} = \varepsilon_n \xi_{\lambda}.$$

Remark 4.20. — Let  $Q \in SBT(n)$  be such that  $Q^- = \emptyset$ . Then  $z_Q \in Q_{\bar{n}}$ . Therefore,  $Q_{\bar{n}} \subset Q_n$ . Moreover,  $Q_{\bar{n}}^{\perp} = Q_n^{\perp} \cap Q_{\bar{n}}$ . Therefore, it follows from the commutativity of Diagram (3.4) that the diagram



is commutative. In particular, if  $\lambda = (\lambda^+, \emptyset)$  is the shape of Q, and if we denote by  $\chi_{\lambda^+}^{\bar{n}}$  the irreducible character of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  associated to  $\lambda^+$  (apply Proposition 4.16 with  $D = (\bar{n})$ ), we have

(4.22) 
$$\xi_{\lambda} = p_n^* \chi_{\lambda^+}^{\bar{n}}.$$

#### 4.4. Induction

We first start by an easy consequence of Proposition 4.8.

LEMMA 4.23. — Let  $C, D \models n$  be such that  $C \subset D$ . Let  $x \in \mathcal{Q}_C$ . Then (a)  $x_C^D x \in \mathcal{Q}_D$ . (b) If  $x \in \mathcal{Q}_C^{\perp}$ , then  $x_C^D x \in \mathcal{Q}_D^{\perp}$ .

Proof. — (a) By linearity, we may assume that  $x = z_Q^C$  with  $Q \in SBT(C)$ . Then, by Proposition 4.8 (a), we have that  $X_C^D Z_Q^C$  is a union of coplactic classes. So  $x_C^D x \in Q_D$ .

(b) By linearity, we may assume that  $x = z_Q^C - z_{Q'}^C$  where  $Q, Q' \in SBT(C)$  and sh(Q) = sh(Q'). We denote by  $\psi : Z_Q^C \to Z_{Q'}^C$  the unique bijection such that  $\mathbf{P}_C(\psi(w)) = \mathbf{P}_C(w)$  for every  $w \in Z_Q^C$ .

Then  $x_C^D x = x_C^D \cdot \sum_{w \in Z_Q^C} (w - \psi(w))$ . But, if  $a \in X_C^D$  and  $w \in Z_Q^C$ , then  $\boldsymbol{P}_D(aw) = \boldsymbol{P}_D(a\psi(w))$  by Proposition 4.8 (b) and (4.1). We set  $\psi'(aw) = a\psi(w)$ , then the map  $\psi' : X_C^D \cdot Z_Q^C \to X_C^D \cdot Z_Q^C$  is bijective and satisfies  $\operatorname{sh} \boldsymbol{Q}_D(\psi'(w)) = \operatorname{sh} \boldsymbol{Q}_D(w)$  for every  $w \in X_C^D \cdot Z_Q^C$ .

Now, let  $\lambda \in \operatorname{Bip}(D)$  and let  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{E}'_{\lambda}$ ) be the set of  $w \in X_C^D Z_Q^C$ (resp.  $w \in X_C^D Z_{Q'}^C$ ) such that sh  $Q_D(w) = \lambda$ . Then  $\psi'$  induces a bijection between  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}$  and  $\mathcal{E}'_{\lambda}$ . Write  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda} = \coprod_{i=1}^r Z_{Q_i}^D$  and  $\mathcal{E}'_{\lambda} = \coprod_{i=1}^{r'} Z_{Q'_i}^D$ , using (a). Then, since  $|Z_{Q_1}^D| = \cdots = |Z_{Q_r}^D| = |Z_{Q'_1}^D| = \cdots = |Z_{Q_{r'}}^D|$  and  $|\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}| = |\mathcal{E}'_{\lambda}|$ , we have r = r'. This shows that  $x_C^D x \in \mathcal{Q}_D^{\perp}$ .

ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

COROLLARY 4.24. — Let C,  $D \models n$  be such that  $C \subset D$ . Then the diagram



is commutative.

*Proof.* — This follows immediately from Proposition 4.23 and from the commutativity of the diagram (3.3).

Now, if  $\lambda \in \operatorname{Bip}(n)$ , then we denote by  $\lambda^*$  the conjugate bipartition and by  $\chi_{\lambda}$  the irreducible character of  $W_n$  associated to  $\lambda$  via Clifford theory (see [8, §5.5]). The link between the two parametrizations (the  $\xi$ 's and the  $\chi$ 's) is given by the following result:

COROLLARY 4.25. — If  $\lambda$  is a bipartition of n, then  $\xi_{\lambda} = \chi_{\lambda^*}$ .

Proof. — Write  $\lambda = (\lambda^+, \lambda^-)$ ,  $k = |\lambda^+|$  and  $l = |\lambda^-|$ . Let  $Q^+$  be a standard tableau of shape  $\lambda^+$  filled with  $\{l + 1, l + 2, \dots, n\}$  and let  $Q^-$  be a standard tableau of shape  $\lambda^-$  filled with  $\{1, 2, \dots, l\}$ . Then, by [4, Proposition 4.8],

$$Z_Q = X_{l,k}(w_l Z_{Q^-} \times Z_{Q^+}).$$

Therefore, by Corollary 4.24, we have

 $\xi_{\lambda} = \operatorname{Ind}_{W_{l,k}}^{W_n}(\tilde{\theta}_l(w_l Z_{Q^-}^l) \boxtimes \tilde{\theta}_k(Z_{Q^+}^k)).$ 

Here,  $\boxtimes$  denotes the (external) tensor product of characters. So, by (4.22) and by Remark 4.17, we have

$$\xi_{\lambda} = \operatorname{Ind}_{W_{k,l}}^{W_n} \left( p_k^* \chi_{\lambda^+}^{\bar{k}} \boxtimes \varepsilon_l(p_l^* \chi_{\lambda^-}^{\bar{l}}) \right).$$

The result now follows from  $[8, \S5.5]$ .

#### 5. Related Hopf algebras

#### 5.1. Hopf algebra of signed permutations

Consider the graded **Z**-module

$$\mathcal{SP} = \bigoplus_{n \ge 0} \mathbf{Z} W_n,$$

TOME 56 (2006), FASCICULE 1

where  $W_0 = 1$ . In [1], Aguiar and Mahajan have shown that SP has a structure of Hopf algebra which is similar to the structure of the Malvenuto-Reutenauer Hopf algebra on permutations [15]. Moreover, they have shown that

$$\Sigma' = \bigoplus_{n \ge 0} \Sigma'(W_n)$$

is a Hopf subalgebra of SP. We revise here the definition of the product and the coproduct on SP with our point of view.

Notation. — If C is a signed composition, then we denote by  $x_C$  the element of SP lying in  $\mathbb{Z}W_{|C|}$  corresponding to the  $x_C$  defined in §3. Similarly, if Q is a standard bitableau, then  $z_Q \in \mathbb{Z}W_{|\operatorname{sh} Q|}$  is viewed as an element of SP.

Let  $(u, v) \in W_n \times W_m$ , we denote  $u \times v$  the corresponding element of  $W_{n,m} \simeq W_n \times W_m$ . If  $w \in W_{n,m}$ , we denote by  $(w'_{(n)}, w''_{(m)})$  the corresponding element of  $W_n \times W_m$ . We now define

$$u * v = x_{n,m}(u \times v) \in \mathbf{Z}W_{n+m}$$

We extend \* by linearity to a bilinear map  $SP \times SP \to SP$ .

Now, let  $w \in W_n$ . Then, for each  $i \in [0, n]$ , we denote by  $\pi_i(w)$  the unique element of  $W_{i,n-i}$  such that  $w \in \pi_i(w) X_{i,n-i}^{-1}$ . We set

$$\Delta(w) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \pi_i(w)'_{(i)} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \pi_i(w)''_{(n-i)} \in \mathcal{SP} \otimes \mathcal{SP}.$$

We extend  $\Delta$  by linearity to a map  $\Delta : SP \to SP \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} SP$ .

Remark 5.1. — Combinatorially, we see this product as follows: let  $w = w_1 \dots w_n$  be a word of length n in the alphabet  $I_n$ , the standardsigned permutation is the unique element sts  $(w) \in W_n$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{sts}(w)(i) < \operatorname{sts}(w)(j) \Leftrightarrow (w_i < w_j) & \text{or} \quad (w_i = w_j \text{ and } i < j) \\ \operatorname{and} & \operatorname{sign}(\operatorname{sts}(w)(i)) = \operatorname{sign}(w_i). \end{cases}$$

Then

$$u * v = \sum_{w,w'} ww'$$

where ww' is the concatenation of w and w'; and the sum is taken over all words w, w' on the alphabet  $I_n$  such that  $\operatorname{sts}(w) = u$ ,  $\operatorname{sts}(w') = v$  and  $\operatorname{alph}(u) \uplus \operatorname{alph}(v) = [1, n]$  (where  $\operatorname{alph}(u) = \operatorname{the set}$  of absolute values of the letters in u). For instance,  $\overline{12} \times 2\overline{1} = \overline{1243}$  and

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x_{(2,2)} &=& y_{(2,2)} + y_{(4)} \\ &=& 1234 + 1324 + 1423 + 2314 + 2413 + 3412. \end{array}$$

ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

Hence  $\bar{1}2*2\bar{1} = \bar{1}24\bar{3} + \bar{1}34\bar{2} + \bar{1}43\bar{2} + \bar{2}34\bar{1} + \bar{2}43\bar{1} + \bar{3}42\bar{1}$ .

Remark 5.2. — For  $w \in W_n$  seen as a word on the alphabet  $I_n$  and  $i < j \in [1, n]$ , we denote w|[i, j] the subword obtained by taking only the digits such that their absolute values are in [i, j]. Then we see combinatorially the coproduct as

$$\Delta(w) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} w | [1,i] \otimes \operatorname{sts} (w | [i+1,n]).$$

As example, consider  $w = \overline{2}31\overline{4}$ , then we have the following decompositions:

$$w^{-1} = 3\overline{1}2\overline{4} = 3124(1 \times \overline{1}2\overline{3}) = 1324(2\overline{1} \times 1\overline{2}) = 1234(3\overline{1}2 \times \overline{1}).$$

Hence

$$w = \bar{2}31\bar{4} = (1 \times \bar{1}2\bar{3})2314 = (\bar{2}1 \times 1\bar{2})1324 = (\bar{2}31 \times \bar{1})1234.$$

Thus

$$\Delta(\bar{2}31\bar{4}) = \emptyset \otimes \bar{2}31\bar{4} + 1 \otimes \bar{1}2\bar{3} + \bar{2}1 \otimes 1\bar{2} + \bar{2}31 \otimes \bar{1} + \bar{2}31\bar{4} \otimes \emptyset.$$

Example 5.3. — Let C and D be two signed composition. We denote by  $C \sqcup D$  the signed composition obtained by concatenation of C and D. Then

$$x_C * x_D = x_{C \sqcup D}.$$

Example 5.4. — We have

$$\Delta(x_n) = \sum_{i=0}^n x_i \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} x_{n-i}$$

$$\Delta(x_{\bar{n}}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} x_{\bar{i}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} x_{\overline{n-i}}.$$

and

We state here a result of Aguiar and Mahajan [1], with our basis consisting of the  $x_C$ .

THEOREM 5.5. — The graded vector space SP, with the product \* and the coproduct  $\Delta$  is a connected graded Hopf algebra; and  $\Sigma'$  is a Hopf subalgebra of SP which is freely generated by elements  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}}$  as algebra.

If  $x, y \in S\mathcal{P}$ , we define the product  $xy \in S\mathcal{P}$  as follows: if  $x \in \mathbf{Z}W_n$  and  $y \in \mathbf{Z}W_m$ , then xy = 0 if  $m \neq n$  and xy coincides with the usual product xy in  $\mathbf{Z}W_n$  if m = n. Let  $\tau : S\mathcal{P} \to \mathbf{Z}$  be the unique linear map which

coincides with  $\tau_n$  on  $\mathbb{Z}W_n$ . The the map  $\mathcal{SP} \times \mathcal{SP} \to \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $(x, y) \mapsto \tau(xy)$  is a scalar product on  $\mathcal{SP}$ . If  $x, y \in \mathcal{SP}$ , we set

$$\tau_{\otimes}(x \otimes y) = \tau(x)\tau(y).$$

The following proposition is easily checked from definitions:

PROPOSITION 5.6. — SP is self-dual for  $\tau$ , that is,

$$\tau_{\otimes}\big((u\otimes v)\Delta(w)\big) = \tau\big((u*v)w\big)$$

for all  $u, v, w \in SP$ .

#### 5.2. The Hopf algebra of characters

We give here a short recall of a result of Geissinger [9]. Consider the graded  $\mathbf{Z}$ -module

$$\mathcal{CHAR} = \bigoplus_{n \ge 0} \mathbf{Z} \operatorname{Irr} W_n.$$

If k and l are two natural numbers, we denote by  $\iota_{k,l}$  the canonical isomorphism

 $\iota_{k,l}: \mathbf{Z} \operatorname{Irr} W_k \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z} \operatorname{Irr} W_l \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Z} \operatorname{Irr} W_{k,l}.$ 

Let  $(\chi, \psi) \in \mathbf{Z} \operatorname{Irr} W_k \times \mathbf{Z} \operatorname{Irr} W_l$ . We define

$$\chi \bullet \psi = \operatorname{Ind}_{W_{k,l}}^{W_{k+l}} \iota_{k,l} (\chi \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \psi) \in \mathbf{Z} \operatorname{Irr} W_{k+l}.$$

Now, let  $\chi \in \mathcal{CL}_{\mathbf{Q}}W_n$ . We define

$$\operatorname{Res}(\chi) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \iota_{i,n-i}^{-1} \operatorname{Res}_{W_{i,n-i}}^{W_n} \chi \quad \in \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} \mathbf{Z} \operatorname{Irr} W_i \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z} \operatorname{Irr} W_{n-i} \\ \subset \mathcal{CHAR} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{CHAR}.$$

We denote  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  the unique scalar product on  $\mathcal{CHAR}$  which coincides with  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_n$  on  $\mathbb{Z} \operatorname{Irr} W_n$  and such that  $\mathbb{Z} \operatorname{Irr} W_n$  and  $\mathbb{Z} \operatorname{Irr} W_m$  are orthogonal if  $m \neq n$ . We now define  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\otimes}$  on  $\mathcal{CHAR} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{CHAR}$  as follows: if  $\chi, \chi', \psi, \psi' \in \mathcal{CHAR}$ , we set

$$\langle \chi \otimes \psi, \chi' \otimes \psi' \rangle_{\otimes} = \langle \chi, \chi' \rangle \langle \psi, \psi' \rangle.$$

Geissinger [9] has shown that CHAR with product • and coproduct Res is a connected graded Hopf algebra. Moreover, for any  $\chi, \psi, \zeta \in CHAR$ , the reciprocity law of Frobenius can be viewed as

(5.7) 
$$\langle \chi \otimes \psi, \operatorname{Res} \zeta \rangle_{\otimes} = \langle \chi \bullet \psi, \zeta \rangle.$$

ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

#### 5.3. The coplactic algebra and a Hopf epimorphism

Let us intoduce

$$\mathcal{Q} = \bigoplus_{n \geqslant 0} \mathcal{Q}_n$$

and

$$\mathcal{CHAR} = \bigoplus_{n \ge 0} \mathbf{Z} \operatorname{Irr} W_n.$$

We define  $\theta: \Sigma' \to \mathcal{CHAR}$  and  $\tilde{\theta}: \mathcal{Q} \to \mathcal{CHAR}$  by

$$\theta = \underset{n \ge 0}{\oplus} \theta_n$$
 and  $\tilde{\theta} = \underset{n \ge 0}{\oplus} \tilde{\theta}_n$ .

The first part of the following theorem shows that Q is a generalization of the Poirier-Reutenauer Hopf algebra of tableaux [17] to our case (see also [3]), and the second part shows that Jöllenbeck's construction generalizes to our case.

THEOREM 5.8. —  $\mathcal{Q}$  is a Hopf subalgebra of  $S\mathcal{P}$  containing  $\Sigma'$ . Moreover,  $\theta : \Sigma' \to C\mathcal{HAR}$  and  $\tilde{\theta} : \mathcal{Q} \to C\mathcal{HAR}$  are surjective Hopf algebra homomorphisms.

Proof. — The fact that Q is a subalgebra of  $S\mathcal{P}$  follows from Proposition 4.23. To prove that it is a subcoalgebra, we proceed as in the proof of the result of Poirier and Reutenauer [17], using Remark 4.4: let Z be a coplactic class in  $W_n$ ,  $i \in [0, n]$  and  $w \in Z$ . Write  $w = \pi_i(w)x$ , where  $x^{-1} \in X_{i,n-i}$ . Let  $u \in W_i$  such that  $u \smile_{(i)} \pi_i(w)'_{(i)}$ . As sign  $(x^{-1}w^{-1}(k)) =$ sign  $(w^{-1}(k))$  and  $x^{-1}(l) < x^{-1}(l+1)$ , for all  $l \in [1, i-1]$  and for all  $l \in [i+1, n-1]$ , we easily check that  $(u \times \pi_i(w)''_{(n-i)})x \smile_{(n)} w$ , using Remark 4.4. Let  $v \in W_{n-i}$  such that  $v \smile_{(n-i)} \pi_i(w)''_{(n-i)}$ , then  $(u \times v)x \smile_{(n)} w$  as above. Therefore

$$\Delta\left(\sum_{w\in Z} w\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{Z_i, Z_{n-i}} \left(\sum_{u\in Z_i} u\right) \otimes \left(\sum_{v\in Z_{n-i}} v\right),$$

where  $Z_i$  (resp.  $Z_{n-i}$ ) are coplactic classes in  $W_i$  (resp.  $W_{n-i}$ ).

We now need to prove that  $\theta$  is an homomorphism of Hopf algebras. We first need a lemma concerning the symmetric bilinear form  $\beta : (\mathcal{Q} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{Q}) \times (\mathcal{Q} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{Q}) \to \mathbf{Z}, (x, y) \mapsto \tau_{\otimes}(xy)$ . Let  $\tilde{\theta}_{\otimes} = \tilde{\theta} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \tilde{\theta} : \mathcal{Q} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{Q} \to \mathcal{CHAR} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{CHAR}$ . Then:

LEMMA 5.9. — Ker  $\tilde{\theta}_{\otimes} = \mathcal{Q} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \operatorname{Ker} \tilde{\theta} + \operatorname{Ker} \tilde{\theta} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{Q}$  is the kernel of  $\beta$ .

*Proof.* — By Theorem 4.14 (c), we have

$$\beta(x,y) = \langle \, \tilde{\theta}_{\otimes}(x), \tilde{\theta}_{\otimes}(y) \, \rangle_{\otimes}$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{Q} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{Q}$ . This proves the lemma.

Proposition 5.6 and Lemma 5.9 show that Ker  $\theta$  is an ideal and a coideal of Q. Since  $Q = \Sigma' + \text{Ker }\tilde{\theta}$ , it is sufficient to prove that  $\theta$  is a bialgebra homomorphism. First, it is clear that  $\tilde{\theta}(x_C * x_D) = (x_{C \sqcup D})$ . So  $\tilde{\theta}$  is an algebra homomorphism. Using this last proporty and Theorem 5.5, it is sufficient to prove that  $\tilde{\theta}_{\otimes}(\Delta(x_n)) = \text{Res}(\tilde{\theta}(x_n))$  and  $\tilde{\theta}_{\otimes}(\Delta(x_n)) = \text{Res}(\tilde{\theta}(x_n))$  But this follows easily from Example 5.4.

#### 6. The case n = 2

In this Section, we will give a complete description of the algebra  $\Sigma'(W_2)$ . For simplification, we set  $s = s_1$ . Note that  $t_1 = t$  and  $t_2 = sts$ . In other words,  $S'_2 = \{s, t, sts\}$ . Table I gives the correspondence between reduced decomposition of elements of  $W_2$  and permutations of  $I_2$  (if  $w \in W_2$ , we only give the couple (w(1), w(2)) since it determines w as a permutation of  $I_2$ ). It also gives the value of  $\mathcal{U}'_2(w)$  and C(w). Table II gives representatives of the conjugacy classes of  $W_2$ . Table III gives, for each signed composition C of 2, the subgroup  $W_C$  of  $W_2$ , the set  $S_C$ , the elements  $x_C$  and  $y_C$  of  $\mathbb{Z}W_2$  and also gives the value of  $\mathcal{A}_C$ . Table IV provides the decomposition of the induced characters  $\operatorname{Ind}_{W_\lambda}^{W_2} 1_{\lambda} = \theta_2(x_{\lambda})$  as a combination of the  $\xi_{\mu}$ , for  $\lambda \Vdash 2$ . Table V gives the character table of  $\mathbb{Q}\Sigma'(W_2)$  (see Subsection 3.5). We give in Table VI a complete set of orthogonal primitive idempotents of  $\mathbb{Q}\Sigma'(W_2)$ . Table VII gives the Cartan matrix of  $\Sigma'(W_2)$ . As usual, the dots in the tables represent the number 0. Note that

$$w_2 = stst = tsts.$$

We conclude the Section by a description of the algebra  $\mathbf{Q}\Sigma'(W_2)$  as a product of classical indecomposable algebras.

Convention. — For avoiding the use of too many parenthesis, we have denoted by  $\xi_{\hat{\lambda}}$ ,  $\pi_{\hat{\lambda}}$  or  $E_{\hat{\lambda}}$  the objects  $\xi_{\lambda}$ ,  $\pi_{\lambda}$  or  $E_{\lambda}$  respectively. For instance,  $\xi_{1,\bar{1}} = \xi_{((1),(1))}$  and  $\pi_2 = \pi_{((2),\emptyset)}$  and  $E_{\bar{1},\bar{1}} = E_{(\emptyset,(1,1))}$ .

w	(w(1), w(2))	$\mathcal{U}_2'(w)$	$oldsymbol{C}(w)$
1	(1, 2)	$\{s, t, sts\}$	(2)
s	(2, 1)	$\{t, sts\}$	(1, 1)
t	$(\bar{1},2)$	$\{s, sts\}$	$(\bar{1},1)$
st	$(\bar{2},1)$	$\{s, sts\}$	$(\bar{1}, 1)$
ts	$(2, \overline{1})$	$\{t\}$	$(1, \overline{1})$
sts	$(1, \overline{2})$	$\{t\}$	$(1, \overline{1})$
tst	$(\bar{2},\bar{1})$	$\{s\}$	$(\overline{2})$
$w_2$	$(\bar{1}, \bar{2})$	Ø	$(\bar{1},\bar{1})$

Table 6.1. Elements.

Table 6.2. Conjugacy classes.

$\hat{\lambda}$	$c_{\lambda}$	$ \mathcal{C}_{\lambda} $
(2)	st	2
(1,1)	$w_2$	1
$(1,\overline{1})$	t	2
$(\overline{2})$	s	2
$(\bar{1},\bar{1})$	1	1

Table 6.3. Bases of  $\Sigma'(W_2)$ .

С	$W_C$	$S_C$	$x_C$	$y_C$	$\mathcal{A}_C$
(2)	$W_2$	$\{s,t\}$	1	1	$\{s, t, sts\}$
(1, 1)	$W_1 \times W_1$	$\{t, sts\}$	1+s	s	$\{t, sts\}$
$(\bar{1}, 1)$	$\mathfrak{S}_1  imes W_1$	$\{sts\}$	1+s+t+st	t + st	$\{t\}$
$(1,\overline{1})$	$W_1 \times \mathfrak{S}_1$	$\{t\}$	1+s+ts+sts	ts + sts	$\{s, sts\}$
$(\bar{2})$	$\mathfrak{S}_2$	$\{s\}$	1+t+st+tst	tst	$\{s, sts\}$
$(\bar{1},\bar{1})$	1	Ø	$\sum_{w \in W_2} w$	$w_2$	Ø

	$\xi_2$	$\xi_{1,1}$	$\xi_{1,ar{1}}$	$\xi_{\bar{2}}$	$\xi_{ar{1},ar{1}}$		$x_2$	<i>x</i> <sub>1,1</sub>	$x_{1,\bar{1}}$	$x_{\bar{2}}$	$x_{\bar{1},\bar{1}}$
$\theta_2(x_2)$	1					$\pi_2$	1				
$\theta_2(x_{1,1})$	1	1				$\pi_{1,1}$	1	2			
$\theta_2(x_{1,\bar{1}})$	1	1	1			$\pi_{1,\bar{1}}$	1	2	2		
$\theta_2(x_{\bar{2}})$	1		1	1		$\pi_{\bar{2}}$	1			2	
$\theta_2(x_{\bar{1},\bar{1}})$	1	1	2	1	1	$\pi_{\bar{1},\bar{1}}$	1	2	4	4	8

Table 6.4. Decomposition of induced characters.

Table 6.5. Character table of  $\Sigma'(W_2)$ .

Remark. — Using these tables, one can check that  $\theta_2(x_{\bar{2}})(x_{1,1}) = 6 \neq 4 = \theta_2(x_{1,1})(x_{\bar{2}})$ . In other words, the symmetry property (see [2]) does not hold in our case.

Table 6.6. A complete set of orthogonal primitive idempotents.

$$\begin{split} E_2 &= x_2 - \frac{1}{2}x_{\bar{2}} - \frac{1}{4}x_{1,\bar{1}} + \frac{1}{4}x_{\bar{1},1} - \frac{1}{2}x_{1,1} + \frac{1}{4}x_{\bar{1},\bar{1}} \\ E_{1,1} &= \frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1,1} - \frac{1}{2}x_{1,\bar{1}} - \frac{1}{2}x_{\bar{1},1} + \frac{1}{4}x_{\bar{1},\bar{1}}\right) \\ E_{1,\bar{1}} &= \frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1,\bar{1}} - \frac{1}{2}x_{\bar{1},\bar{1}}\right) \\ E_{\bar{2}} &= \frac{1}{2}\left(x_{\bar{2}} - \frac{1}{2}x_{\bar{1},\bar{1}}\right) \\ E_{\bar{1},\bar{1}} &= \frac{1}{8}x_{\bar{1},\bar{1}} \end{split}$$

We will now give the Cartan matrix of  $\Sigma'(W_2)$ . If  $\mu \in Bip(2)$ , we denote by  $\Pi_{\mu}$  the character of the projective cover  $\mathbf{Q}\Sigma'(W_2)E_{\mu}$  of  $\mathbf{Q}_{\mu}$ . Write

$$\Pi_{\mu} = \sum_{\mu \in \operatorname{Bip}(2)} \gamma_{\lambda \mu} \pi_{\lambda}.$$

Then  $(\gamma_{\lambda\mu})_{\lambda,\mu\in\operatorname{Bip}(2)}$  is the Cartan matrix of  $\Sigma'(W_2)$ . It is given in the following table:

$\hat{\lambda} \setminus \hat{\mu}$	(2)	(1, 1)	$(1,\overline{1})$	$(\overline{2})$	$(\bar{1},\bar{1})$
(2)	1	•			
(1, 1)		1			
$(1,\overline{1})$			1	1	
$(\overline{2})$				1	
$(\bar{1},\bar{1})$		•			1

Table 6.7. Cartan matrix of  $\Sigma'(W_2)$ .

Let  $E_0 = E_{1,\bar{1}} + E_{\bar{2}}$ . Then  $(E_2, E_{1,1}, E_0, E_{\bar{2}})$  is a complete set of central primitive idempotents (they are of course orthogonal). Therefore, write  $A_{\omega} = \mathbf{Q}\Sigma'(W_2)E_{\omega}$ , for  $\omega \in \{2, (1, 1), 0, \bar{2}\}$ . Then

$$\mathbf{Q}\Sigma'(W_2) = A_2 \oplus A_{1,1} \oplus A_{\bar{2}} \oplus A_0,$$

as a sum of algebras. Morever,  $A_2 \simeq \mathbf{Q}$ ,  $A_{1,1} \simeq \mathbf{Q}$ ,  $A_{\bar{2}} \simeq \mathbf{Q}$ . On the other hand,

$$A_0 = \mathbf{Q} E_{1,\bar{1}} \oplus \mathbf{Q} E_{\bar{2}} \oplus \mathbf{Q}(x_{1,\bar{1}} - x_{\bar{1},1}),$$

as a vector space. Now, let B be the algebra

$$B = \{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c \in \mathbf{Q} \}.$$

Then the **Q**-linear map  $\sigma: A_0 \to B$  such that

$$\sigma(E_{1,\bar{1}}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma(E_{\bar{2}}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\sigma(x_{1,\bar{1}} - x_{\bar{1},1}) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1\\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)$$

is an isomorphism of algebras. Therefore, we have an isomorphism of algebras

$$\mathbf{Q}\Sigma'(W_2)\simeq\mathbf{Q}\oplus\mathbf{Q}\oplus\mathbf{Q}\oplus B.$$

#### A. Appendix: Comparison with Specht's construction by Pierre BAUMANN & Christophe HOHLWEG

The present text is an appendix to the article Generalized descent algebra and construction of irreducible characters of hyperoctahedral groups, by Cédric Bonnafé and the second present author. Our aim here is to relate two constructions of the irreducible characters of the hyperoctahedral groups: the one given in that article, and Specht's one [19]. Meant as a sequel to Bonnafé and Hohlweg's article, this text uses the same notations and references.

We first recall briefly Specht's construction, using Macdonald's book as a reference [14, I, Appendix B].

#### Specht's construction

Let G be a finite group, let  $G_*$  be the set of conjugacy classes in G and let  $G^*$  be the set of irreducible characters of G. Given a conjugacy class  $c \in G_*$ , we denote by  $\zeta_c$  the order of the centralizer of an element of c. We denote the value of a character  $\gamma$  of G at any element of a conjugacy class  $c \in G_*$  by  $\gamma(c)$ .

We denote the wreath product  $G \wr \mathfrak{S}_n$  by  $G_n$ . This wreath product is the semidirect product  $G^n \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_n$  for the action of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  on  $G^n$  given by

$$\sigma \cdot (g_1,\ldots,g_n) = (g_{\sigma^{-1}(1)},\ldots,g_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}),$$

where  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  and  $(g_1, \ldots, g_n) \in G^n$ , so that we can always represent an element in  $G_n$  as a product  $(g_1, \ldots, g_n) \sigma$ .

Given a complex representation V of G, we construct a complex representation  $\eta_n(V)$  of  $G_n$  on the space  $V^{\otimes n}$  by letting a product  $(g_1, \ldots, g_n) \sigma$ act on a pure tensor  $v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n \in V^{\otimes n}$  in the following way:

$$((g_1,\ldots,g_n)\ \sigma)\cdot(v_1\otimes\cdots\otimes v_n)=(g_1\cdot v_{\sigma^{-1}(1)})\otimes\cdots\otimes(g_n\cdot v_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}).$$

The character of  $\eta_n(V)$  does not depend on V but only of its character; if  $\rho$  denotes the latter, then we will denote the former by  $\eta_n(\rho)$ .

We let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the set of all partitions, and we set  $\mathcal{P}_G = \mathcal{P}^{G^*}$ . Given an element  $\lambda = (\lambda_{\gamma})_{\gamma \in G^*}$  in  $\mathcal{P}_G$ , we denote by  $|\lambda|$  the sum  $\sum_{\gamma} |\lambda_{\gamma}|$ .

Now let  $\Lambda_{\mathbf{C}}$  be the (free) ring of symmetric polynomials with complex coefficients. As is well-known,  $\Lambda_{\mathbf{C}}$  is generated over  $\mathbf{C}$  by a countable family of algebraically independent elements: one can choose for generators the family  $(h_n)_{n\geq 1}$  of complete symmetric functions or the family  $(p_n)_{n\geq 1}$  of

power sums. On the other hand, the family of Schur functions  $(s_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}}$  is a basis of the vector space  $\Lambda_{\mathbf{C}}$ . Following Macdonald, we denote by  $\Lambda_{\mathbf{C}}(G)$  the ring of polynomials over  $\mathbf{C}$  in the family of variables  $(p_n(c))_{n \geq 1, c \in G_*}$ . Setting

$$p_n(\gamma) = \sum_{c \in G_*} \zeta_c^{-1} \gamma(c) p_r(c)$$

for any  $\gamma \in G^*$ , one deduces from the invertibility of the character table of Gthat  $\Lambda_{\mathbf{C}}(G)$  is also the ring of polynomials in the variables  $(p_n(\gamma))_{n \ge 1, \gamma \in G^*}$ . Each symmetric polynomial  $P \in \Lambda_{\mathbf{C}}$  can be uniquely expressed as a polynomial with complex coefficients in the power sums  $p_n$ ; given  $\gamma \in G^*$ , we denote by  $P(\gamma)$  the element of  $\Lambda_{\mathbf{C}}(G)$  obtained by replacing the variables  $p_n$  by the variables  $p_n(\gamma)$  in the expression of P. Given an element  $\lambda = (\lambda_{\gamma})_{\gamma \in G^*}$  in  $\mathcal{P}_G$ , we set

$$s_{\lambda} = \prod_{\gamma \in G^*} s_{\lambda_{\gamma}}(\gamma).$$

The set of complex irreducible characters of  $G_n$  is a basis of the algebra of complex-valued class functions of  $G_n$ , so that we can denote this latter by  $\mathbb{C}\operatorname{Irr}(G_n)$ . The direct sum

$$R(G) = \bigoplus_{n \ge 0} \mathbf{C} \operatorname{Irr}(G_n)$$

can be endowed with the structure of a commutative and cocommutative **N**-graded Hopf algebra, where the product is given by the induction functors  $\operatorname{Ind}_{G_m \times G_n}^{G_{m+n}}$  and the coproduct is afforded by the restriction functors  $\operatorname{Res}_{G_m \times G_n}^{G_{m+n}}$  [14, I, Appendix B, 4 and I, 7, Example 26]. Since  $\Lambda_{\mathbf{C}}(G)$  is a free commutative algebra, there is a unique homomorphism of **C**-algebras

$$\operatorname{ch}^{-1}: \Lambda_{\mathbf{C}}(G) \to R(G)$$

with the following property: for each  $n \ge 1$  and each  $c \in G_*$ , ch<sup>-1</sup> maps the variable  $p_n(c)$  to the characteristic function of the conjugacy class of  $G_n$  consisting of the products  $(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) \sigma$ , where the permutation  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  is a *n*-cycle and the product  $g_1g_2 \cdots g_n$  belongs to the conjugacy class *c*. It turns out that ch<sup>-1</sup> is an isomorphism of Hopf algebra, whose inverse will be denoted by ch. Then, using arguments of orthogonality and integrality, it can be shown [14, I, Appendix B, 9] that the image under ch of the irreducible characters of  $G_n$  are the elements  $s_\lambda$ , where  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_G$  is such that  $|\lambda| = n$ .

Later on, we will need to know the image under ch of characters  $\eta_n(\rho)$ . We do the computation now.

LEMMA A.1. — Let  $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_s$  be the irreducible characters of G, let  $c_1, \ldots, c_s$  be non-negative integers, and set  $\rho = c_1\gamma_1 + \cdots + c_s\gamma_s$ . Then

$$\sum_{n \ge 0} \operatorname{ch}(\eta_n(\rho)) = \prod_{i=1}^s \left(\sum_{n \ge 0} h_n(\gamma_i)\right)^{c_i}$$

*Proof.* — The proof given in [14, I, Appendix B, 8] for the case where  $\rho$  is irreducible can be easily adapted. Indeed in the computation that follows Equation (8.2) in that reference, the steps that lead to the equality

$$\sum_{n \ge 0} \operatorname{ch}(\eta_n(\gamma)) = \exp\left(\sum_{r \ge 1} \frac{1}{r} \sum_{c \in G_*} \zeta_c^{-1} \gamma(c) p_r(c)\right)$$

are valid even if the character  $\gamma$  is reducible. Applying this formula to the character  $\rho$ , we get

$$\sum_{n \ge 0} \operatorname{ch}(\eta_n(\rho)) = \exp\left(\sum_{r \ge 1} \frac{1}{r} \sum_{c \in G_*} \zeta_c^{-1} \rho(c) p_r(c)\right)$$
$$= \prod_{i=1}^s \left[ \exp\left(\sum_{r \ge 1} \frac{1}{r} \sum_{c \in G_*} \zeta_c^{-1} \gamma_i(c) p_r(c)\right) \right]^{c_i}$$
$$= \prod_{i=1}^s \left[ \exp\left(\sum_{r \ge 1} \frac{1}{r} p_r(\gamma_i)\right) \right]^{c_i}$$
$$= \prod_{i=1}^s \left[ \sum_{n \ge 0} h_n(\gamma_i) \right]^{c_i},$$

the last step in the computation coming from Newton's formulas.

#### 

#### The comparison result

Having now recalled Specht's construction of the irreducible characters for the wreath product  $G \wr \mathfrak{S}_n$  of an arbitrary finite group G by the symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ , we specialize to the case where G is the group  $W = \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$  with two elements. The notation  $W_n$  for the wreath product  $W \wr \mathfrak{S}_n$  then agrees with its use by Bonnafé and Hohlweg. The Hopf algebra R(W) is identical to the complexified Hopf algebra  $\mathcal{CHAR} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{C}$  with its product  $\bullet$ . The set  $W^*$  of irreducible characters of W has two elements, namely the trivial character  $\tau$  and the signature  $\varepsilon$ . One can view an element  $\lambda = (\lambda_{\tau}, \lambda_{\varepsilon})$  of  $\mathcal{P}_W$  as a bipartition  $(\lambda^+, \lambda^-)$  by setting  $\lambda^+ = \lambda_{\tau}$  and  $\lambda^- = \lambda_{\varepsilon}$ . As a final piece of notation, we set  $\lambda^* = (\lambda^+, (\lambda^-)')$  for any bipartition  $\lambda = (\lambda^+, \lambda^-)$ , where  $(\lambda -)'$  is the conjugate of the partition  $\lambda^-$ .

ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

Generalizing Poirier and Reutenauer's work [17] for symmetric groups to the case of  $W_n$ , we define a linear map

$$f: \mathcal{Q} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{C} \longrightarrow \Lambda_{\mathbf{C}}(W)$$

by setting  $f(z_Q^{(n)}) = s_{(\operatorname{sh} Q)^*}$  for any bitableau  $Q \in SBT(n)$ . With all these notations, our result can be stated as follows:

THEOREM A.2. — The following diagram of N-graded Hopf algebras



is commutative. In particular  $ch(\xi_{\lambda}) = s_{\lambda^*}$ , for any bipartition  $\lambda$ , so that Bonnafé and Hohlweg's construction is equivalent to Specht's one, up to a relabelling.

Some further notation and a bijection will be needed for the proof. We present them now.

#### Some notation and a bijection

We call quasicomposition a sequence  $E = (e_1, e_2, e_3, ...)$  of non-negative integers, all of whose terms but a finite number vanish. The size |E| of E is the sum  $e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + \cdots$  of the terms. Given a partition  $\mu$  and a quasicomposition E, we denote by Tab  $(\mu, E)$  the set of all semistandard tableau of shape  $\mu$  and weight E, that is the set of all fillings of the Ferrers diagram of shape  $\mu$  with positive integers, in such a way that the numbers are weakly increasing from left to right in the rows, strictly increasing from top to bottom in the columns, and that there is  $e_1$  times the number 1,  $e_2$ times the number 2, and so on [14, p. 5]. The set Tab  $(\mu, E)$  is of course empty unless  $|\mu| = |E|$ . Given any quasicomposition  $E = (e_1, e_2, e_3, \ldots)$ , the formula

$$h_{e_1} h_{e_2} h_{e_3} \dots = \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}} |\text{Tab}(\mu, E)| s_{\mu}$$

holds in  $\Lambda_{\mathbf{C}}$  (see [14, I, (6.4)] for a proof).

Now we fix a positive integer n and a signed composition  $C = (c_1, \ldots, c_\ell)$  of it. Let  $\ell$  be the length of C. We define Comp(C) as the set of all quasicompositions  $D = (d_1, \ldots, d_\ell)$  such that  $d_i = 0$  if  $c_i > 0$  and

 $0 \leq d_i \leq -c_i$  if  $c_i < 0$ . Given such a D, we further define two quasicompositions  $T_{C,D} = (t_1, \ldots, t_\ell)$  and  $E_{C,D} = (e_1, \ldots, e_\ell)$  by

$$t_i = \begin{cases} c_i & \text{if } c_i > 0, \\ d_i & \text{if } c_i < 0; \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad e_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } c_i > 0, \\ -c_i - d_i & \text{if } c_i < 0. \end{cases}$$

The signed composition obtained by omitting the zeros in the list

 $(-e_1, t_1, -e_2, t_2, \ldots, -e_\ell, t_\ell)$ 

will be denoted by  $B_{C,D}$ . For instance, for  $C = (2, \overline{2}, \overline{3}, 1, \overline{1}, 2, 2, \overline{2}) \models 15$ , we can choose D = (0, 0, 2, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0), and then  $T_{C,D} = (2, 0, 2, 1, 1, 2, 2, 0)$ ,  $E_{C,D} = (0, 2, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 2)$  and  $B_{C,D} = (2, \overline{2}, \overline{1}, 2, 1, 1, 2, 2, \overline{2})$ .

Finally, given a bipartition  $\lambda = (\lambda^+, \lambda^-)$  and a signed composition C with  $|\lambda| = |C|$ , we define  $Bitab(\lambda, C)$  as the set of all standard bitableaux Q such that  $sh(Q) = \lambda^*$  and  $C \leftarrow \mathbf{C}(Q)$  (see Remark 4.7).

One of the keys to the proof of Theorem A.2 is the following combinatorial result.

PROPOSITION A.3. — Given a bipartition  $\lambda$  and a signed composition C with  $|\lambda| = |C|$ , the sets  $Bitab(\lambda, C)$  and

$$\coprod_{D \in \operatorname{Comp}(C)} \operatorname{Tab}\left(\lambda^+, T_{C,D}\right) \times \operatorname{Tab}\left(\lambda^-, E_{C,D}\right)$$

have the same cardinality.

Proof. — Let n be a positive integer, C be a signed composition of n, and  $\lambda = (\lambda^+, \lambda^-)$  be a bipartition with  $|\lambda| = n$ . We construct mutually inverse bijections between  $Bitab(\lambda, C)$  and

$$\coprod_{D \in \operatorname{Comp}(C)} \operatorname{Tab}\left(\lambda^+, T_{C,D}\right) \times \operatorname{Tab}\left(\lambda^-, E_{C,D}\right)$$

as follows.

First let (R, S) be in the second set, so that  $R \in \text{Tab}(\lambda^+, T_{C,D})$  and  $S \in \text{Tab}(\lambda^-, E_{C,D})$  for some  $D \in \text{Comp}(C)$ . We put a total order on the boxes in R and S by requiring that:

- A box is smaller than another one if the label written in it is smaller than the one in the other.
- Given two boxes with the same label in it, a box in S is smaller than a box in R.
- For boxes containing the same label and located in the same tableau (*R* or *S*), boxes located south-west are smaller than boxes located north-east.

176

Then we enumerate in increasing order the boxes in R and S. Filling now each box of R and S by its rank of appearance in the enumeration, we construct a standard bitableau  $\tilde{Q}$  of shape  $\lambda$ . We then define Q as the bitableau obtained from  $\tilde{Q}$  by transposing  $\tilde{Q}^-$ , so that Q has shape  $\lambda^*$ . Comparing this construction with the combinatorial rule in Remark 4.7 that computes C(Q), we easily check that the signed composition  $B_{C,D}$  can be obtained from  $\mathbf{C}(Q)$  by refinement of the parts, so that  $C \xleftarrow{B} B_{C,D} \xleftarrow{R} \mathbf{C}(Q)$ , which implies  $Q \in \text{Bitab}(\lambda, C)$ .

In the other direction, let Q be a given element in  $\operatorname{Bitab}(\lambda, C)$ . From Theorem 3.15, there exists a unique signed composition B such that  $C \stackrel{B}{\leftarrow} B \stackrel{R}{\leftarrow} \mathbf{C}(Q)$ , and we can find a (unique) element  $D \in \operatorname{Comp}(C)$  so that  $B = B_{C,D}$ . Now we transpose  $Q^-$  and get a bitableau  $\tilde{Q}$ . We construct a list  $L = (l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_n)$  of positive integers by placing first  $|c_1|$ times the number 1, then  $|c_2|$  times the number 2, and so on. Then we substitute  $l_1$  to 1,  $l_2$  to 2, and so on, in the boxes of  $\tilde{Q}$ , and obtain in this way a pair (R, S) of tableaux of shapes  $\lambda^+$  and  $\lambda^-$  respectively. The fact that  $B_{C,D} \stackrel{R}{\leftarrow} \mathbf{C}(Q)$  implies that this construction yields two semistandard tableaux R and S with weights  $T_{C,D}$  and  $E_{C,D}$  respectively, that is to say

$$(R, S) \in \operatorname{Tab}(\lambda^+, T_{C,D}) \times \operatorname{Tab}(\lambda^-, E_{C,D}).$$

It is a routine task to check that the two above constructions yield mutually inverse bijections between

$$\coprod_{D \in \operatorname{Comp}(C)} \operatorname{Tab} \left( \lambda^+, T_{C,D} \right) \times \operatorname{Tab} \left( \lambda^-, E_{C,D} \right)$$

and Bitab $(\lambda, C)$ .

We end this paragraph by an example that illustrates the constructions needed in the proof above. We take n = 15 and choose the same signed composition C as in the previous example, namely

$$C = (2, 2, 3, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2).$$

We choose  $\lambda^+ = 631$  and  $\lambda^- = 41$ , so that  $\lambda^* = (631, 2111)$ . Starting from the pair (R, S) with

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 7 \\ 5 & 6 & 7 \\ \hline 6 \\ \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } S = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 3 & 8 \\ \hline 8 \\ \end{bmatrix},$$
  
t  $\tilde{Q} = (\tilde{Q}^+, \tilde{Q}^-)$  where

we construct  $\tilde{Q} = (\tilde{Q}^+, \tilde{Q}^-)$  where

 $\square$ 

whence  $Q = (Q^+, Q^-)$  with

$$Q^{+} = \tilde{Q}^{+} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 13 \\ 9 & 11 & 12 & \\ 10 & & & \\ \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \qquad Q^{-} = {}^{t} \tilde{Q}^{-} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 14 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 15 & \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

Since  $\mathbf{C}(Q) = (2, \overline{3}, 3, 1, 4, \overline{2})$ , it holds that  $C \xleftarrow{B} B \xleftarrow{R} \mathbf{C}(Q)$  with

 $B = (2, \overline{2}, \overline{1}, 2, 1, 1, 2, 2, \overline{2}),$ 

which implies  $C \leftarrow \mathbf{C}(Q)$ .

In the other direction, we start from the bitableau Q. We observe that the signed composition B such that  $C \xleftarrow{B} B \xleftarrow{R} \mathbf{C}(Q)$  is  $B_{C,D}$ , where D is given by D = (0, 0, 2, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0). Now we write down the list

L = (1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 4, 5, 6, 6, 7, 7, 8, 8)

from C. Transposing the negative tableau  $Q^-$ , we write down  $\tilde{Q}$  and substitute the elements of L to the numbers in the boxes of  $\tilde{Q}$ . We recover our original pair (R, S). We easily verify that R has weight

$$T_{C,D} = (2, 0, 2, 1, 1, 2, 2, 0)$$

and that S has weight

$$E_{C,D} = (0, 2, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 2)$$

#### Proof of Theorem A.2

1. — We first compute the image by ch of the induced character  $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}^{W_n} 1$ of  $W_n$ , where n is a positive integer. To do that, we construct the complex representation  $\eta_n(V)$  of  $W_n$ , where V is the left regular representation of  $W = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . Denoting by  $\mathbb{C}_1$  the trivial representation of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ , we then observe that the isomorphism of vector spaces  $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}^{W_n} \mathbb{C}_1 \cong \eta_n(V)$  given by the sequence of natural identifications

$$\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}^{W_n} \mathbf{C}_1 \cong \mathbf{C} W_n \otimes_{\mathbf{C}\mathfrak{S}_n} \mathbf{C}_1 \cong \mathbf{C} [W^n] \cong (\mathbf{C} W)^{\otimes n} = V^{\otimes n} = \eta_n(V)$$

is compatible with the action of  $W_n$ . Since V has  $\tau + \varepsilon$  for character, it follows that  $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}^{W_n} 1 = \eta_n(\tau + \varepsilon)$ . Lemma A.1 now implies that

$$\sum_{n \ge 0} \operatorname{ch}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}^{W_n} 1\right) = \left(\sum_{n \ge 0} h_n(\tau)\right) \left(\sum_{n \ge 0} h_n(\varepsilon)\right).$$

On the other side, it is easy to check that  $\eta_n(\tau)$  is the trivial character of  $W_n$ . Therefore ch maps the trivial character  $\operatorname{Ind}_{W_n}^{W_n} 1$  of  $W_n$  to  $h_n(\tau)$ . To

ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

comply with the philosophy used by Bonnafé and Hohlweg, we write for any positive integer  $\boldsymbol{n}$ 

$$\varphi_{\pm n} = \operatorname{ch}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{\pm n}}^{W_n} 1\right) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{ch}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{W_n}^{W_n} 1\right) = h_n(\tau) & \text{for '+' sign}, \\ \operatorname{ch}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}^{W_n} 1\right) = \sum_{k=0}^n h_k(\tau) h_{n-k}(\varepsilon) & \text{for '-' sign}. \end{cases}$$

2. — We now prove the equality  $f \circ i = ch \circ \theta$ . Given any signed composition  $C = (c_1, \ldots, c_\ell)$ , there holds  $x_C = x_{c_1} \cdots x_{c_\ell}$ . Since  $\theta$  is a morphism of Hopf algebras, we can write

$$\operatorname{Ind}_{W_C}^{W_{|C|}} 1_C = \theta(x_C) = \theta(x_{c_1}) \cdots \theta(x_{c_\ell}) = \operatorname{Ind}_{W_{c_1}}^{W_{|c_1|}} 1 \bullet \cdots \bullet \operatorname{Ind}_{W_{c_\ell}}^{W_{|c_\ell|}} 1,$$

and taking its image under ch,

$$\operatorname{ch} \operatorname{Ind}_{W_C}^{W_{|C|}} = \operatorname{ch} \circ \theta(x_C) = \varphi_{c_1} \cdots \varphi_{c_\ell}.$$

The formula

$$\varphi_{-n} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} h_k(\tau) h_{n-k}(\varepsilon),$$

valid for any positive integer n, makes possible to continue the computation:

$$\operatorname{ch} \circ \theta(x_C) = \sum_{D \in \operatorname{Comp}(C)} h_{t_1}(\tau) \cdots h_{t_\ell}(\tau) \ h_{e_1}(\varepsilon) \cdots h_{e_\ell}(\varepsilon),$$

where the quasicompositions  $(t_1, \ldots, t_\ell)$  and  $(e_1, \ldots, e_\ell)$  appearing in the sum are  $T_{C,D}$  and  $E_{C,D}$  respectively. We thus get, using Proposition A.3 and the decomposition of  $X_C$  given at the end of Remark 4.7:

$$\operatorname{ch} \circ \theta(x_{C}) = \sum_{D \in \operatorname{Comp}(C)} \left( \sum_{\lambda^{+} \in \mathcal{P}} \left| \operatorname{Tab} \left( \lambda^{+}, T_{C,D} \right) \middle| s_{\lambda^{+}}(\tau) \right) \right. \\ \times \left( \sum_{\lambda^{-} \in \mathcal{P}} \left| \operatorname{Tab} \left( \lambda^{-}, E_{C,D} \right) \middle| s_{\lambda^{-}}(\varepsilon) \right) \right. \\ = \sum_{(\lambda^{+}, \lambda^{-}) \in \mathcal{P}_{W}} \left( \sum_{D \in \operatorname{Comp}(C)} \left| \operatorname{Tab} \left( \lambda^{+}, T_{C,D} \right) \times \operatorname{Tab} \left( \lambda^{-}, E_{C,D} \right) \middle| \right) \right. \\ \times s_{\lambda^{+}}(\tau) s_{\lambda^{-}}(\varepsilon) \\ = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{W}} \left| \operatorname{Bitab}(\lambda, C) \middle| s_{\lambda} \right.$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{Q \text{ std. bitableau}\\ C \leftarrow \boldsymbol{C}(Q)}} s_{(\operatorname{sh} Q)}$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{Q \text{ std. bitableau}\\ C \leftarrow C(Q)}} f(z_Q^{(|C|)})$$
$$= f \circ i(x_C).$$

Since the elements  $x_C$  generate  $\Sigma' \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{C}$  as a vector space, it follows that  $\operatorname{ch} \circ \theta = f \circ i$ .

3. — Step 2 implies that the maps f and  $\operatorname{ch} \circ \tilde{\theta}$  coincide on the image of i in  $\mathcal{Q} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{C}$ , because  $\theta = \tilde{\theta} \circ i$ . On the other hand, f and  $\operatorname{ch} \circ \tilde{\theta}$  have the same kernel, namely the graded subspace of  $\mathcal{Q}$  whose degree n component is the span  $\mathcal{Q}_n^{\perp}$  of the elements  $z_Q^{(n)} - z_{Q'}^{(n)}$ , where Q and Q' are standard bitableaux with the same shape (see Theorem 4.14). Moreover this kernel and the image of i together span  $\mathcal{Q} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{C}$ , because  $\theta$  is surjective (see Proposition 4.12). It follows that  $f = \operatorname{ch} \circ \tilde{\theta}$ , which completes the proof of Theorem A.2.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] M. AGUIAR & S. MAHAJAN, "The Hopf algebra of signed permutations", in preparation.
- [2] D. BLESSENOHL, C. HOHLWEG & M. SCHOCKER, "A symmetry of the descent algebra of a finite Coxeter group", Adv. in Math. 193 (2005), p. 416-437.
- [3] D. BLESSENOHL & M. SCHOCKER, Noncommutative character theory of symmetric groups I, Imperial College press, London, 2005.
- [4] C. BONNAFÉ & L. IANCU, "Left cells in type  $B_n$  with unequal parameters", Represent. Theory 7 (2003), p. 587-609.
- [5] N. BOURBAKI, "Groupes et algèbres de Lie", chap. 4-6, Hermann, 1968.
- [6] M. GECK, "On the induction of Kazhdan-Lusztig cells", Bull. London Math. Soc. 35 (2003), no. 5, p. 608-614.
- [7] M. GECK, G. HISS, F. LÜBECK, G. MALLE & G. PFEIFFER, "CHEVIE a system for computing and processing generic character tables", Applicable Algebra in Eng. Comm. and Comp. 7 (1996), p. 175-210.
- [8] M. GECK & G. PFEIFFER, Characters of finite Coxeter groups and Iwahori-Hecke algebras, London Math. Soc. Mon. New Series, vol. 21, LMS, 2000.
- [9] L. GEISSINGER, "Hopf algebras of symmetric functions and class functions", in Comb. Represent. Groupe symétrique, Acte Table Ronde C.N.R.S (Strasbourg, 1976), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 579, 1977, p. 168-181.
- [10] J. HUMPHREYS, Reflection groups and Coxeter groups, vol. 29, Cambridge university press, 1990.
- [11] A. JŐLLENBECK, "Nichtkommutative Charaktertheorie der symmetrischen Gruppen", Bayreuth. Math. Schr. 56 (1999), p. 1-41.
- [12] A. LASCOUX & M. P. SCHÜTZENBERGER, "Le monoïde plaxique", in Noncommutative structures in algebra and geometric combinatorics (Naples, 1978), Quad. "Ricerca Sci.", vol. 109, CNR, Rome, 1981, p. 129-156.
- [13] G. LUSZTIG, Characters of reductive groups over a finite field, Annals of Math. Studies, vol. 107, Princeton University Press, 1984.

- [14] I. G. MACDONALD, Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials, 2nd ed., Oxford mathematical monographs, Oxford science publications, The Clarendon press, Oxford university press, 1995 (with contributions by A. Zelevinsky).
- [15] C. MALVENUTO & C. REUTENAUER, "Duality between quasi-symmetric functions ans Solomon descent algebra", J. Algebra 177 (1995), p. 967-982.
- [16] R. MANTACI & C. REUTENAUER, "A generalization of Solomon's algebra for hyperoctahedral groups and other wreath products", *Comm. Algebra* 23 (1995), no. 1, p. 27-56.
- [17] S. POIRIER & C. REUTENAUER, "Algèbres de Hopf de tableaux", Ann. Sci. Math., Québec 19 (1996), p. 79-90.
- [18] L. SOLOMON, "A Mackey formula in the group ring of a Coxeter group", J. Algebra 41 (1976), p. 255-268.
- [19] W. SPECHT, "Eine Verallgemeinerung der symmetrischen Gruppe", Schriften Math. Seminar Berlin 1 (1932), p. 1-32.
- [20] R. P. STANLEY, "Some aspects of groups acting on finite posets", J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 32 (1982), p. 132-161.
- [21] J. Y. THIBON, "Lectures on noncommutative symmetric functions", in Interaction of Combinatorics and Representation Theory, MSJ Memoirs, vol. 11, Math. Soc. of Japan, 2001, p. 39-94.

Manuscrit reçu le 7 octobre 2004, accepté le 3 février 2005.

Cédric BONNAFÉ Université de Franche-Comté Département de Mathématiques 16 route de Gray 25000 Besançon (France) bonnafe@descartes.univ-fcomte.fr

Christophe HOHLWEG The Fields Institute 222 College Street Toronto, Ontario M5T 3J1 (Canada) chohlweg@fields.utoronto.ca

Pierre BAUMANN Université Louis Pasteur et CNRS Institut de recherche mathématique avancée 7 rue René Descartes 67084 Strasbourg Cedex (France) baumann@math.u-strasbg.fr