



# ANNALES

DE

# L'INSTITUT FOURIER

Alexander POLISHCHUK & Arkady VAINTROB

**Matrix factorizations and singularity categories for stacks**

Tome 61, n° 7 (2011), p. 2609-2642.

[http://aif.cedram.org/item?id=AIF\\_2011\\_\\_61\\_7\\_2609\\_0](http://aif.cedram.org/item?id=AIF_2011__61_7_2609_0)

© Association des Annales de l'institut Fourier, 2011, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Annales de l'institut Fourier » (<http://aif.cedram.org/>), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://aif.cedram.org/legal/>). Toute reproduction en tout ou partie de cet article sous quelque forme que ce soit pour tout usage autre que l'utilisation à fin strictement personnelle du copiste est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

cedram

*Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du*  
*Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques*  
<http://www.cedram.org/>

# MATRIX FACTORIZATIONS AND SINGULARITY CATEGORIES FOR STACKS

by Alexander POLISHCHUK & Arkady VAINTROB

---

ABSTRACT. — We study matrix factorizations of a potential  $W$  which is a section of a line bundle on an algebraic stack. We relate the corresponding derived category (the category of D-branes of type B in the Landau-Ginzburg model with potential  $W$ ) with the singularity category of the zero locus of  $W$  generalizing a theorem of Orlov. We use this result to construct push-forward functors for matrix factorizations with relatively proper support.

RÉSUMÉ. — On étudie les factorisations matricielles d'un potentiel  $W$  qui est une section d'un fibré en droites sur un champ algébrique. On établit une relation entre la catégorie dérivée correspondante (la catégorie des D-branes de type B dans le modèle de Landau-Ginzburg avec potentiel  $W$ ) et la catégorie des singularités du lieu des zéros de  $W$  généralisant un théorème d'Orlov. On utilise ce résultat pour construire des foncteurs image directe pour les factorisations matricielles à supports relativement propres.

## Introduction

Matrix factorizations arose in the work of Eisenbud [10] in connection with the study of maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules. Since then they became a standard tool and an object of study in commutative algebra (see e.g. [6, 37]). Recall that a matrix factorization of an element  $W$  of a commutative ring  $R$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded finitely generated projective  $R$ -module  $E = E_0 \oplus E_1$  equipped with an odd endomorphism  $\delta : E \rightarrow E$  such that  $\delta^2 = W \cdot \text{id}$ . Matrix factorizations of a fixed element  $W$  (called a *potential*) form a triangulated category  $\text{HMF}(W)$  with morphisms defined as “chain maps” up to homotopy.

Following the suggestion of Kontsevich, matrix factorizations were used by physicists to describe D-branes of type B in Landau-Ginzburg models

---

*Keywords:* matrix factorizations, singularity category, algebraic stack.

*Math. classification:* 14A20, 14J17, 18E30.

(see [19, 20]). They found applications in various approaches to mirror symmetry and in the study of sigma model/Landau-Ginzburg correspondence (see [21, 9, 34, 4, 14]). Mathematical foundations of this circle of ideas were laid down in a series of papers by Orlov [25, 26, 27].

The fundamental result of Orlov [25, Thm. 3.9] states that the triangulated category  $\mathrm{HMF}(W)$  is equivalent to the so-called *singularity category*  $D_{\mathrm{Sg}}(X_0)$  of the affine hypersurface  $X_0 = \mathrm{Spec}(R/(W)) \subset X = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$  (assuming that  $X$  is smooth and  $W$  is not a zero divisor). Here  $D_{\mathrm{Sg}}(X_0)$  is defined as the quotient of the bounded derived category  $D^b(X_0)$  of coherent sheaves on  $X_0$  by the triangulated subcategory  $\mathrm{Per}(X_0)$  of perfect complexes. One should think of a triangulated category  $D_{\mathrm{Sg}}(X_0)$  as a “measure” of singularity of  $X_0$  (in the case when  $X_0$  is smooth one has  $D_{\mathrm{Sg}}(X_0) = 0$ ).

Kontsevich (see [23]) suggested to view categories of matrix factorizations as examples of noncommutative spaces. In this context it is natural to consider analogs of these categories for a potential  $W$  on a non-necessarily affine scheme  $X$ . In this case simply using the homotopy category  $\mathrm{HMF}(W)$  does not give the right notion because one has to take into account the presence of higher cohomology of coherent sheaves on  $X$ . One way to do this is to use a dg-version of the functor  $R\mathrm{Hom}$  (see [21, Sec. 3.2], [33]). Another (proposed by Orlov, see [21, Sec. 3.2]) is to replace the homotopy category of matrix factorizations by an appropriate localization. This is the path we follow in this paper. We define the derived category of matrix factorizations  $\mathrm{DMF}(X, W)$  as the quotient of the homotopy category  $\mathrm{HMF}(X, W)$  by the triangulated subcategory formed by matrix factorizations that are locally contractible. This definition of  $\mathrm{DMF}(X, W)$  is different from the one proposed by Orlov (see Remark 3.20) but is equivalent to it under appropriate assumptions on  $X$ .

In examples coming from physics it is often necessary to consider categories of matrix factorizations on orbifolds. To include this case we consider a more general situation where  $X$  is an algebraic stack and  $W$  is a section of a line bundle over  $X$ . Our main result (see Theorem 3.14) is a generalization of Orlov’s theorem to this case. Namely, we show that for a potential  $W$  on a smooth algebraic stack  $X$  satisfying some technical assumptions the derived category  $\mathrm{DMF}(X, W)$  of matrix factorizations is equivalent to the singularity category of the zero locus of  $W$ .

The second goal of the paper is to define and study push-forward functors for matrix factorizations as a preparation to developing an analog of the theory of Fourier-Mukai transforms. The naive definition of the push-forward functor with respect to a smooth affine morphism leads to matrix

factorizations of possibly infinite rank (we call them *quasi-matrix factorizations*). On the other hand, using the equivalence with the singularity category and the notion of support for matrix factorizations which we develop in Section 5, we define a push-forward functor for the derived category of matrix factorizations with relatively proper support. To check that these two types of functors are compatible we prove some partial analogs of Orlov's equivalence for quasi-matrix factorizations.

In the paper [28] we use the results of this paper to provide an algebraic analog of the theory developed by Fan, Jarvis and Ruan in [12, 11]. For each quasihomogeneous polynomial  $W$  with an isolated singularity they construct a cohomological field theory which is a Landau-Ginzburg counterpart of the topological sigma model producing Gromov-Witten invariants. The main technical ingredient of the Fan-Jarvis-Ruan theory is a collection of cohomology classes on the moduli space of stable curves with additional data (called  $W$ -curves). The construction given in [11] uses sophisticated analytic tools. We construct in [28] similar classes using categories of matrix factorizations and functors between them introduced in this paper. The starting point of our approach is the identification made in our previous paper [29] of the orbifold Milnor ring of  $W$  with its residue pairing (which is equal to the state space of the Fan-Jarvis-Ruan theory) with the Hochschild homology of the dg-category of equivariant matrix factorizations of  $W$  equipped with the canonical metric.

Now let us describe the structure of the paper. In Section 1 we give basic definitions and constructions for matrix factorizations on stacks.

The new feature here is that we allow the potential  $W$  to be a section of a non-trivial line bundle  $L$  on  $X$ . In this setup we have a natural definition of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded dg-categories of matrix factorizations, making our matrix factorizations similar to graded matrix factorizations considered in [26, 18]. The  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded dg-categories of matrix factorizations (considered originally in [25]) can be considered only in the case when  $L$  is trivial. In Section 2 we show how our formalism can be used to work with equivariant matrix factorizations. Our main result, Theorem 3.14, generalizing Orlov's equivalence [25, Thm. 3.9] between singularity categories and derived categories of matrix factorizations to the non-affine case is proved in Section 3. As in the affine situation, there is a natural functor from the homotopy category  $\mathrm{HMF}(W)$  to the singularity category  $D_{\mathrm{Sg}}(X_0)$  of the zero locus of  $W$ . The main difficulty in the non-affine case is to prove the surjectivity of the induced functor from the derived category  $\mathrm{DMF}(W)$  to  $D_{\mathrm{Sg}}(X_0)$ . This is achieved by combining Orlov's description of morphisms

in  $D_{\text{Sg}}(X_0)$  (see [25, Prop. 1.21]) with the standard 2-periodic resolution associated with a matrix factorization. In Section 4 we prove some partial analogs of this equivalence for quasi-matrix factorizations — factorizations of possibly infinite rank which will be used in the study of push-forward functors. In Section 5 we introduce the notion of support for matrix factorizations. By definition, the support of  $(E, \delta)$  is the subset of the zero locus of  $W$  consisting of points  $x$  such that the 2-periodic complex defined by the pull-back of  $(E, \delta)$  to  $x$  has nonzero cohomology. We prove that this corresponds to the natural notion of support for objects of the singularity category. More precisely, we have to work with the idempotent completion of this category (following [27]). Finally, in Section 6 we study push-forward functors for categories of matrix factorizations. We define the push-forward of matrix factorizations with relatively proper support for a representable morphism of smooth stacks (satisfying additional technical assumption), taking values in the idempotent completion of the derived category of matrix factorizations on the base. We prove that for a smooth affine morphism with geometrically integral fibers this push-forward agrees with the obvious notion of a push-forward for quasi-matrix factorizations.

*Acknowledgments.* We would like to thank Maxim Kontsevich, Dmitry Orlov and Tony Pantev for helpful discussions. Part of this work was done during our stay at the IHES and we are grateful to it for hospitality and for stimulating atmosphere. The first author was partially supported by the NSF grant DMS-1001364.

*Notations and conventions.* We work with schemes and stacks over a ground field  $k$ . All stacks we are dealing with are assumed to be algebraic, Noetherian and semi-separated. For such a stack  $X$  we denote by  $\text{Coh}(X)$ , (resp.,  $\text{Qcoh}(X)$ ; resp.,  $D^b(X)$ ) the category of coherent sheaves (resp., quasicohherent sheaves; resp., bounded derived category of coherent sheaves) on  $X$ . By [2, Cor. 2.11],  $D^b(X)$  is equivalent to the full subcategory of the bounded derived category of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules consisting of complexes with coherent cohomology. We call a stack  $X$  Gorenstein if there exists a presentation  $U \rightarrow X$ , where  $U$  is a Gorenstein scheme. This implies that the structure sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_X$  is a dualizing object in  $D^b(X)$ . By vector bundles we mean locally free sheaves of finite rank.

### 1. Matrix factorizations of a section of a line bundle

Let  $X$  be an algebraic stack,  $L$  a line bundle on  $X$ , and  $W \in H^0(X, L)$  a section (called a *potential*).

DEFINITION 1.1. — A *matrix factorization*  $\bar{E} = (E_\bullet, \delta_\bullet)$  of  $W$  on  $X$  consists of a pair of vector bundles (i.e., locally free sheaves of finite rank)  $E_0, E_1$  on  $X$  together with homomorphisms

$$\delta_1 : E_1 \rightarrow E_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_0 : E_0 \rightarrow E_1 \otimes L,$$

such that  $\delta_0 \delta_1 = W \cdot \text{id}$  and  $(\delta_1 \otimes \text{id}) \delta_0 = W \cdot \text{id}$ .

We will often assume that the potential  $W$  is not a zero divisor, i.e., the morphism  $W : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow L$  is injective.

It is convenient to introduce formal expressions  $V \otimes L^{n/2}$ , where  $V$  is a vector bundle  $X$ , which we will call *half-twisted bundles*. They have a natural tensor product

$$(V_1 \otimes L^{n_1/2}) \otimes (V_2 \otimes L^{n_2/2}) = V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes L^{(n_1+n_2)/2}.$$

The space of morphisms between half-twisted bundles  $V_1 \otimes L^{n_1/2}$  and  $V_2 \otimes L^{n_2/2}$  is defined only when  $n_2 - n_1$  is even and given by

$$\text{Hom}(V_1 \otimes L^{n_1/2}, V_2 \otimes L^{n_2/2}) = \text{Hom}_X(V_1, V_2 \otimes L^{(n_2-n_1)/2}).$$

We will also use  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded half-twisted bundles  $V = (V_0 \otimes L^{m/2}) \oplus (V_1 \otimes L^{n/2})$  and we define their “half-twists” by

$$V(L^{1/2}) := V_0 \otimes L^{m/2} \oplus V_1 \otimes L^{(n+1)/2}$$

and

$$V(L^{-1/2}) := V_0 \otimes L^{m/2} \oplus V_1 \otimes L^{(n-1)/2}.$$

With a matrix factorization  $\bar{E} = (E_\bullet, \delta_\bullet)$  we associate a  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded half-twisted bundle

$$E(L^{1/2}) = E_0 \oplus (E_1 \otimes L^{1/2}).$$

The differential  $\delta$  can be viewed as an odd morphism

$$\delta : E(L^{1/2}) \rightarrow E(L^{1/2}) \otimes L^{1/2}$$

such that  $\delta^2 = W$ .

DEFINITION 1.2. — We define the dg-category  $\text{MF}(X, W)$  of matrix factorizations of  $W$  as follows. For matrix factorizations  $\bar{E}$  and  $\bar{F}$  the set of morphisms  $\mathcal{H}om_{\text{MF}}(\bar{E}, \bar{F})$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded complex

$$\mathcal{H}om_{\text{MF}}(\bar{E}, \bar{F})^i = \text{Hom}_{i \bmod 2}(E(L^{1/2}), F(L^{1/2}) \otimes L^{i/2}),$$

where  $\text{Hom}_{\bar{0}}$  (resp.,  $\text{Hom}_{\bar{1}}$ ) denote the even (resp., odd) morphisms between the  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded half-twisted bundles. Explicitly,

$$\mathcal{H}om_{\text{MF}}(\bar{E}, \bar{F})^{2n} = \text{Hom}(E_0, F_0 \otimes L^n) \oplus \text{Hom}(E_1, F_1 \otimes L^n),$$

$$\mathcal{H}om_{\text{MF}}(\bar{E}, \bar{F})^{2n+1} = \text{Hom}(E_0, F_1 \otimes L^{n+1}) \oplus \text{Hom}(E_1, F_0 \otimes L^n).$$

The differential on  $\mathcal{H}om_{\text{MF}}(\bar{E}, \bar{F})$  is given by

$$df = \delta_F \circ f - (-1)^{|f|} f \circ \delta_E. \tag{1.1}$$

We denote by  $\text{HMF}(X, W) = H^0\text{MF}(X, W)$  the corresponding homotopy category. In other words, the objects of  $\text{HMF}(X, W)$  are matrix factorizations of  $W$ , morphisms are the spaces  $H^0\mathcal{H}om_{\text{MF}}(\bar{E}, \bar{F})$ . We will usually omit  $X$  from the notation. As in the affine case considered in [25], the category  $\text{HMF}(W)$  has a triangulated structure.

DEFINITION 1.3. — We define the translation functor on  $\text{HMF}(W)$  by

$$\bar{E}[1] = (E[1], \delta[1]), \quad \text{where } E[1]_0 = E_1 \otimes L, E[1]_1 = E_0, \delta[1]_i = -\delta_{i+1}.$$

The mapping cone of a closed morphism of matrix factorizations  $f : \bar{E} \rightarrow \bar{F}$  is defined as  $C(f) = F \oplus E[1]$  with the differential given by the same formula as for the category of complexes. We have canonical closed morphisms of matrix factorizations  $\bar{F} \rightarrow C(f)$  and  $C(f) \rightarrow \bar{E}[1]$ . We define the class of exact triangles as those isomorphic to some triangle of the form

$$\bar{E} \xrightarrow{f} \bar{F} \rightarrow C(f) \rightarrow \bar{E}[1]. \tag{1.2}$$

The standard proof that the homotopy category of complexes is triangulated can be adapted to show that we obtain a triangulated structure on  $\text{HMF}(W)$  in this way.

Note that for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  one has

$$E[n](L^{1/2})_i = E(L^{1/2})_{i+n} \otimes L^{n/2}$$

with  $\delta[n]_i = (-1)^n \delta_{i+n}$ .

Sometimes it is convenient to work with matrix factorizations of possibly infinite rank.

DEFINITION 1.4. — A quasi-matrix factorization  $\bar{E} = (E_0 \oplus E_1, \delta)$  of  $W \in H^0(X, L)$  consists of a pair of locally free sheaves (not necessarily of finite rank)  $E_0$  and  $E_1$  equipped with the differentials  $\delta_0, \delta_1$  as above. As before, quasi-matrix factorizations form a dg-category  $\text{MF}^\infty(X, W)$ , and the corresponding homotopy category  $\text{HMF}^\infty(X, W)$  is triangulated.

Matrix factorizations can be used to produce infinite (2-periodic up to a twist) complexes of vector bundles on the zero locus  $X_0 = W^{-1}(0)$ . Namely, with a quasi-matrix factorization  $\bar{E} = (E_\bullet, \delta_\bullet)$  we associate a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded complex  $\text{com}(\bar{E})$  of vector bundles on  $X_0$

$$\dots \rightarrow (E_0 \otimes L^{-1})|_{X_0} \xrightarrow{\bar{\delta}_0} E_1|_{X_0} \xrightarrow{\bar{\delta}_1} E_0|_{X_0} \xrightarrow{\bar{\delta}_0} (E_1 \otimes L)|_{X_0} \rightarrow \dots \quad (1.3)$$

where  $\bar{\delta}_i$  is induced by  $\delta_i$ , and  $E_0|_{X_0}$  is placed in degree 0. Note that we can also present  $\text{com}(\bar{E})$  using half-twisted bundles:

$$\text{com}(\bar{E})^n = E(L^{1/2})_n \otimes L^{n/2}|_{X_0}.$$

This construction extends to a dg-functor

$$\text{com} : \text{MF}^\infty(W) \rightarrow \text{Com}(X_0)$$

that induces an exact functor  $\text{com} : \text{HMF}^\infty(W) \rightarrow H^0 \text{Com}(X_0)$  between the corresponding homotopy categories.

LEMMA 1.5. — *If  $W$  is not a zero divisor, then for any quasi-matrix factorization  $\bar{E}$  of  $W$  the complex  $\text{com}(\bar{E})$  is exact.*

*Proof.* — For a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$  let us denote by  $W\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}$  the image of the map  $\mathcal{F} \otimes L^{-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  induced by  $W$ . The kernel of the map  $\bar{\delta}_1 : E_1/W E_1 \rightarrow E_0/W E_0$  can be identified with  $\delta_1^{-1}(W E_0)/W E_1$ . But  $W E_0 = \delta_1 \delta_0 E_0$  and  $\delta_1$  is injective, so we obtain  $\delta_1^{-1}(W E_0) = \delta_0 E_0$ . Now  $\delta_0$  induces an isomorphism

$$E_0/\delta_1 E_1 \simeq \delta_0(E_0 \otimes L^{-1})/W E_1 \simeq \ker(\bar{\delta}_1).$$

But

$$E_0/\delta_1 E_1 \simeq \text{coker}(\delta_1) \simeq \text{coker}(\bar{\delta}_1 : E_1/W E_1 \rightarrow E_0/W E_0),$$

which finishes the proof. □

For a morphism of stacks  $f : X' \rightarrow X$ , a line bundle  $L$  over  $X$  and a section  $W \in H^0(X, L)$  we have natural pull-back functors of matrix factorizations: a dg-functor

$$f^* : \text{MF}(X, W) \rightarrow \text{MF}(X', f^*W),$$

where  $f^*W$  is the induced section of  $f^*L$  on  $X'$ , and the induced exact functor

$$f^* : \text{HMF}(X, W) \rightarrow \text{HMF}(X', f^*W).$$



## 2. Equivariant matrix factorizations

Here we define equivariant matrix factorizations and show that they can be viewed as a particular case of the construction of the previous section. For the general background on group actions on stacks see e.g. [32].

Let  $\Gamma$  be an affine algebraic group acting on a stack  $X$ . Let  $W$  be a regular function on  $X$ , semi-invariant with respect to  $\Gamma$ , i.e., we have a character  $\chi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$  such that

$$W(\gamma \cdot x) = \chi(\gamma)W(x)$$

for  $\gamma \in \Gamma, x \in X$ .

DEFINITION 2.1. — *A  $\Gamma$ -equivariant matrix factorization of  $W$  with respect to the character  $\chi$  is a pair of  $\Gamma$ -equivariant vector bundles  $(E_0, E_1)$  on  $X$  together with  $\Gamma$ -invariant homomorphisms*

$$\delta_1 : E_1 \rightarrow E_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_0 : E_0 \rightarrow E_1 \otimes \chi,$$

such that  $\delta_0 \delta_1 = W \cdot \text{id}$  and  $\delta_1 \delta_0 = W \cdot \text{id}$ .

$\Gamma$ -equivariant matrix factorizations form a dg-category  $\text{MF}_{\Gamma, \chi}(X, W)$  with morphisms given by

$$\mathcal{H}om_{\text{MF}_{\Gamma}}(\bar{E}, \bar{F})^{2n} = \text{Hom}(E_0, F_0 \otimes \chi^n)^{\Gamma} \oplus \text{Hom}(E_1, F_1 \otimes \chi^n)^{\Gamma},$$

$$\mathcal{H}om_{\text{MF}_{\Gamma}}(\bar{E}, \bar{F})^{2n+1} = \text{Hom}(E_0, F_1 \otimes \chi^{n+1})^{\Gamma} \oplus \text{Hom}(E_1, F_0 \otimes \chi^n)^{\Gamma}$$

and the differential defined by (1.1). The corresponding homotopy category  $\text{HMF}_{\Gamma, \chi}(X, W)$  has a triangulated structure defined as in Definition (1.3). We will usually omit  $X$  and  $\chi$  from the notation.

Note that closed morphisms of degree zero between  $\Gamma$ -equivariant matrix factorizations  $\bar{E}$  and  $\bar{F}$  are given by pairs of  $\Gamma$ -invariant morphisms  $(E_0 \rightarrow F_0, E_1 \rightarrow F_1)$  commuting with  $\delta$ .

Equivariant matrix factorizations of  $W$  can be described as matrix factorizations on the stack  $Y = X/\Gamma$  with respect to the line bundle  $L_{\chi^{-1}}$  which corresponds to the  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -torsor defined as the push-out of the  $\Gamma$ -torsor  $U \rightarrow X$  under  $\chi^{-1}$ . Note that  $W$  descends to a global section  $\bar{W} \in H^0(Y, L_{\chi^{-1}})$ . Viewing vector bundles on  $Y$  as  $\Gamma$ -equivariant vector bundles on  $X$  we immediately obtain the following result.

PROPOSITION 2.2. — *The dg-categories (resp., triangulated categories)  $\text{MF}_{\Gamma, \chi}(X, W)$  and  $\text{MF}(Y, \bar{W})$  (resp.,  $\text{HMF}_{\Gamma, \chi}(X, W)$  and  $\text{HMF}(Y, \bar{W})$ ) are equivalent.*

Conversely, if  $W$  is a section of a line bundle  $L$  on a stack  $X$ , then matrix factorizations of  $W$  can be viewed as  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -equivariant matrix factorizations on the  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -torsor  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  associated with  $L^{-1}$ . Indeed,  $W$  can be viewed as a function  $\tilde{W}$  on  $\tilde{X}$ , such that  $\tilde{W}(\lambda \cdot \tilde{x}) = \lambda \cdot \tilde{W}(\tilde{x})$  for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{G}_m$ . In other words,  $\tilde{W}$  is semi-invariant with respect to  $\mathbb{G}_m$  and the character  $\text{id} : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ . Proposition 2.2 applied to the action of  $\mathbb{G}_m$  on  $\tilde{X}$  implies that the dg-categories  $\text{MF}(X, W)$  and  $\text{MF}_{\mathbb{G}_m, \text{id}}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{W})$  are equivalent.

Let  $X$  (resp.,  $X'$ ) be a stack with an action of a group  $\Gamma$  (resp.,  $\Gamma'$ ). Assume that we have a homomorphism  $\pi : \Gamma' \rightarrow \Gamma$  and a  $\Gamma'$ -equivariant morphism  $f : X' \rightarrow X$ . Given a character  $\chi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$  and a function  $W$  on  $X$  such that  $W(\gamma \cdot x) = \chi(\gamma)W(x)$ , let  $f^*W$  be the pull-back of  $W$  to  $X'$ . Then  $f^*W$  is semi-invariant with respect to the character  $\chi' = \chi \circ \pi$  of  $\Gamma'$ . In this situation we have a natural pull-back dg-functor

$$\text{MF}_{\Gamma, \chi}(X, W) \rightarrow \text{MF}_{\Gamma', \chi'}(X', f^*W). \tag{2.1}$$

### 3. Connection with categories of singularities

In this section we define the derived category  $\text{DMF}(X, W)$  of matrix factorizations of a potential  $W \in H^0(X, L)$  on a stack  $X$  as a suitable localization of  $\text{HMF}(X, W)$ . Our main result is Theorem 3.14 establishing equivalence of  $\text{DMF}(X, W)$  with the singularity category of  $X_0$ , the zero locus of  $W$ . This is a generalization of [25, Thm. 3.9].

DEFINITION 3.1. — *Let  $X$  be an algebraic stack.*

*We say that  $X$*

(i) *has the resolution property (RP) if for every coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$  there exists a vector bundle  $V$  on  $X$  and a surjection  $V \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ .*

(ii) *has finite cohomological dimension (FCD) if there exists an integer  $N$  such that for every quasicohherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$  one has  $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$  for  $i > N$ . We call the minimal  $N$  with this property the cohomological dimension of  $X$ .*

(iii) *is an FCD RP-stack if it has both properties (i) and (ii).*

(iv) *is a nice quotient stack if  $X = U/\Gamma$ , where  $U$  is a Noetherian scheme and  $\Gamma$  is a reductive linear algebraic group, such that  $U$  has an ample family of  $\Gamma$ -equivariant line bundles.*

Note that by [35], if  $X$  is a nice quotient stack then it has the resolution property. Such a stack also has finite cohomological dimension, as the following lemma shows.

LEMMA 3.2. — *Let  $X = U/\Gamma$  be a quotient stack, where  $U$  is a scheme and  $\Gamma$  is a reductive group, and let  $\pi : U \rightarrow X$  be the natural projection.*

(i) *For any quasicoherent sheaves  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  on  $X$  one has natural isomorphisms*

$$\mathrm{Ext}_X^i(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \simeq \mathrm{Ext}_U^i(\pi^*\mathcal{F}, \pi^*\mathcal{G})^\Gamma.$$

(ii) *Assume that  $U$  can be covered with  $N$  affine open subsets. If  $\mathcal{P}$  is a locally free sheaf on  $X$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a quasicoherent sheaf on  $X$  then*

$$\mathrm{Ext}_X^i(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{F}) = 0 \quad \text{for } i > N.$$

*In particular,  $X$  has cohomological dimension  $\leq N$ .*

*Proof.* — (i) For  $i = 0$  this follows from the definition of the morphisms in the category of  $\Gamma$ -equivariant sheaves on  $U$ . The general case follows from the exactness of the functor of  $\Gamma$ -invariants.

(ii) By part (i), it suffices to prove a similar fact on the scheme  $U$ , which follows from the proof of [25, Lem. 1.12].  $\square$

Remark 3.3. — By [24, Prop. 5.1] if  $X$  is a separated DM stack of finite type over  $k$  that has quasi-projective coarse moduli space then  $X$  has RP and only if it is a quotient stack. Moreover, in this case  $X$  is a nice quotient stack. For example, this is true for every smooth separated DM stack of finite type over a field of characteristic zero with quasi-projective coarse moduli space (see [24, Thm. 4.4]).

The condition of finite cohomological dimension is stable under passing to open and closed substacks. More generally, we have the following simple observation.

LEMMA 3.4. — *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a representable morphism of Noetherian stacks. If  $Y$  has finite cohomological dimension then so does  $X$ .*

*Proof.* — It suffices to prove that  $Rf_*$  has finite cohomological dimension. But this can be checked by replacing  $Y$  with its presentation, so we can assume  $Y$  to be a scheme. Now the assertion follows from the assumption that our stacks are Noetherian.  $\square$

Recall (see [17]) that the full subcategory  $\mathrm{Per}(X) \in D^b(X)$  of *perfect complexes* consists of objects, locally isomorphic in the derived category to a bounded complex of vector bundles.

LEMMA 3.5. — *Let  $X$  be a stack with the resolution property.*

(i) *For every complex  $C^\bullet \in D^b(X)$  there exists a bounded above complex of vector bundles  $P^\bullet$  and a quasi-isomorphism  $P^\bullet \rightarrow C^\bullet$ .*

(ii) Any object of  $\text{Per}(X)$  is isomorphic in  $D^b(X)$  to a bounded complex of vector bundles.

(iii) For every object  $C^\bullet \in \text{Per}(X)$  there exists a bounded complex of vector bundles  $P^\bullet$  and a quasi-isomorphism  $P^\bullet \rightarrow C^\bullet$ .

*Proof.* — (i) and (ii) follow from the resolution property as in [16, Lem. 2.2.8] using [16, Prop. 1.2]. As is explained in [25, Lem. 1.6], (iii) follows from (i) (one can also apply of [17, Prop. 2.2]).  $\square$

DEFINITION 3.6. — Let  $X$  be a stack. The quotient category

$$D_{\text{Sg}}(X) := D^b(X)/\text{Per}(X)$$

is called the singularity category of  $X$ . We denote by  $\overline{D_{\text{Sg}}(X)}$  its idempotent completion.

The fact that being a perfect complex is a local property immediately implies the following result.

LEMMA 3.7. — An object  $A \in D_{\text{Sg}}(X)$  (resp., a morphism  $f : A \rightarrow B$  in  $D_{\text{Sg}}(X)$ ) is zero (resp., an isomorphism) if and only if it is zero (resp., an isomorphism) locally, i.e., for some open covering  $(U_i)$  of  $X$  in flat topology the restrictions  $A|_{U_i}$  are zero (resp., the restrictions  $f|_{U_i}$  are isomorphisms in  $D_{\text{Sg}}(U_i)$ ).

The singularity category  $D_{\text{Sg}}(X)$  admits a natural quasicohherent analog. Namely, we consider the full subcategory  $\text{Lfr}(X) \subset D^b(\text{Qcoh}(X))$  of objects that can be represented by bounded complexes of locally free sheaves, and consider the quotient

$$D'_{\text{Sg}}(X) := D^b(\text{Qcoh}(X))/\text{Lfr}(X). \tag{3.1}$$

Note that if  $X$  has a resolution property then by Lemma 3.5(ii), we have  $\text{Lfr}(X) \cap D^b(X) = \text{Per}(X)$ .

PROPOSITION 3.8. — Let  $X$  be a nice quotient stack (see Definition 3.1). Then the natural functor  $D_{\text{Sg}}(X) \rightarrow D'_{\text{Sg}}(X)$  is fully faithful.

*Proof.* — We can repeat the argument of [25, Prop. 1.13] using Lemma 3.2(ii) and Lemma 3.5.  $\square$

DEFINITION 3.9. — A coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on a Gorenstein stack  $X$  of finite Krull dimension is called maximal Cohen-Macaulay (MCM) if  $\text{R}\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is a sheaf.

LEMMA 3.10. — Let  $X$  be a Gorenstein stack of finite cohomological dimension and finite Krull dimension. Then there exists an integer  $N$  such

that for any coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  and any locally free sheaf  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $X$  one has  $\text{Ext}^i(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P}) = 0$  for  $i > N$ .

*Proof.* — The proof is similar to that of [25, Lem. 1.18]. Namely, first, one checks that  $\mathcal{E}xt^i(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P}) = 0$  for  $i > n$ , where  $n$  is the Krull dimension of  $X$ , using the fact that  $X$  is Gorenstein. Next, since the sheaves  $\mathcal{E}xt^i(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P})$  are quasicohherent, the result follows from the local to global spectral sequence and the assumption that  $X$  has finite cohomological dimension.  $\square$

LEMMA 3.11. — *Let  $X$  be a Gorenstein stack of finite Krull dimension with the resolution property.*

(i) *A coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$  is MCM if and only if it admits an (infinite) right resolution by vector bundles.*

(ii) *A MCM sheaf, which is perfect as a complex, is locally free.*

(iii) *Every object of  $D_{\text{Sg}}(X)$  is isomorphic to a MCM sheaf.*

(iv) *Assume in addition that  $X$  has finite cohomological dimension  $N$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a MCM sheaf,  $\mathcal{G}$  a quasicohherent sheaf on  $X$ . Then for any morphism  $f : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  in  $D'_{\text{Sg}}(X)$ , any integer  $n \geq N$  and any exact sequence of coherent sheaves on  $X$*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}' \rightarrow G_n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow 0, \quad (3.2)$$

where  $G_i$  are locally free and  $\mathcal{G}'$  is a quasicohherent sheaf on  $X$ , there exists an exact sequence of coherent sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow F_n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0, \quad (3.3)$$

where  $F_i$  are vector bundles on  $X$ , and a morphism  $f' : \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'$  of quasicohherent sheaves making the following diagram in  $D'_{\text{Sg}}(X)$  is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}'[n] \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow f'[n] \\ \mathcal{G} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}'[n] \end{array}$$

(here the horizontal arrows are isomorphisms in  $D'_{\text{Sg}}(X)$  induced by the exact sequences (3.2), (3.3)).

*Proof.* — (i) See [25, Lem. 1.19].

(ii) This is proved in the same way as [25, Lem. 1.20]. If  $\mathcal{F}$  is such a sheaf then by Lemma 3.5(iii), there exists a bounded complex of vector bundles  $P^\bullet$  and a quasi-isomorphism  $P^\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^\vee = \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_X)$  (since  $\mathcal{F}^\vee$

is perfect). Dualizing we obtain a bounded right resolution for  $\mathcal{F}$ , so  $\mathcal{F}$  is locally free.

(iii) One can repeat the proof of [25, Prop. 1.23] using Lemma 3.5(i) instead of [25, Lem. 1.4].

(iv) We can view  $f$  as a morphism  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'[n]$  in  $D'_{\text{Sg}}(X)$ . We can apply the same argument as in [25, Prop. 1.21] to prove that  $f$  can be realized by a morphism  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'[n]$  in  $D^b(\text{Qcoh}(X))$ . Note that because  $\mathcal{G}'$  is only quasi-coherent, and  $D_{\text{Sg}}(X)$  is replaced by  $D'_{\text{Sg}}(X)$ , we have to use Lemma 3.10 at the relevant place in this argument. Finally, as is well known, a morphism  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'[n]$  in  $D^b(\text{Qcoh}(X))$  can be realized by a morphism  $H_n(F_\bullet) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'$  for appropriate resolution of  $F_\bullet$  of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Furthermore, since  $X$  has the resolution property, we can assume that  $F_i$  are vector bundles.  $\square$

Now let  $X$  be a stack, and let  $W \in H^0(X, L)$  be a potential, where  $L$  is a line bundle on  $X$ . Assume that  $W$  is not a zero divisor. Let  $X_0 = W^{-1}(0)$  be the zero locus of  $W$ . As in [25], we consider the natural functor

$$\mathfrak{C} : \text{HMF}(X, W) \rightarrow D_{\text{Sg}}(X_0). \tag{3.4}$$

that associates with a matrix factorization  $(E_\bullet, \delta)$  the cokernel of  $\delta_1 : E_1 \rightarrow E_0$ .

LEMMA 3.12. — *The functor  $\mathfrak{C}$  is exact.*

*Proof.* — For a matrix factorization

$$\bar{F} = (F_0 \oplus F_1, \delta)$$

consider

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathfrak{C}(\bar{F}) = \text{coker}(F_1 \xrightarrow{\delta_1} F_0) = \text{coker}(F_1|_{X_0} \xrightarrow{\bar{\delta}_1} F_0|_{X_0}) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\mathcal{F}' = \mathfrak{C}(\bar{F}[1]) = \text{coker}(F_0 \xrightarrow{\delta_0} F_1 \otimes L) = \text{coker}(F_0|_{X_0} \xrightarrow{\bar{\delta}_0} (F_1 \otimes L)|_{X_0}).$$

Then Lemma 1.5 gives an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow (F_1 \otimes L)|_{X_0} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow 0. \tag{3.5}$$

Since  $(F_1 \otimes L)|_{X_0} \in \text{Per}(X_0)$ , this gives an isomorphism  $\mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F}[1]$  in  $D_{\text{Sg}}(X_0)$ , i.e., we obtain a functorial isomorphism

$$t_{\bar{F}} : \mathfrak{C}(\bar{F}[1]) \simeq \mathfrak{C}(\bar{F})[1].$$

Now let  $f : \bar{E} \rightarrow \bar{F}$  be a closed morphism of matrix factorizations. To see that the image of the triangle (1.2) under  $\mathfrak{C}$  is an exact triangle in  $D_{\text{Sg}}(X_0)$  let us consider the short exact sequence of two-term complexes

$$0 \rightarrow [F_1 \xrightarrow{\delta_1} F_0] \rightarrow [C(f)_1 \xrightarrow{\delta_1} C(f)_0] \rightarrow [E[1]_1 \xrightarrow{\delta_1} E[1]_0] \rightarrow 0. \tag{3.6}$$

Since the multiplication by  $W$  is injective, the differentials  $\delta_1$  in (3.6) are injective, and so the sequence of cokernels is exact. Thus, we obtain a morphism of short exact sequences of coherent sheaves

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 \rightarrow & \mathfrak{C}(\bar{F}) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{C}(C(f)) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{C}(\bar{E}[1]) & \rightarrow 0 \\
 & \downarrow \text{id} & & \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow \mathfrak{C}(f[1]) & \\
 0 \rightarrow & \mathfrak{C}(\bar{F}) & \longrightarrow & (F_1 \otimes L)|_{X_0} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{C}(\bar{F}[1]) & \rightarrow 0
 \end{array} \tag{3.7}$$

where the second row is the sequence (3.5), and  $\varphi$  is induced by the morphism

$$F_0 \oplus E[1]_0 \xrightarrow{(\delta, f[1])} F[1]_{0|X_0} = (F_1 \otimes L)|_{X_0}.$$

We can extend the first row of (3.7) to an exact triangle in  $D^b(X_0)$

$$\mathfrak{C}(\bar{F}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}(C(f)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}(\bar{E}[1]) \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathfrak{C}(\bar{F}[1]).$$

The commutativity of diagram (3.7) shows that  $\gamma = t_{\bar{F}} \circ \mathfrak{C}(f[1])$ , so the image of the triangle (1.2) under  $\mathfrak{C}$  is an exact triangle in  $D_{\text{Sg}}(X_0)$ . This shows that the functor  $\mathfrak{C}$  is exact. □

In the case when  $X$  is a smooth affine scheme and  $L$  is trivial, the functor  $\mathfrak{C}$  is an equivalence by [25, Thm. 3.9]. In the non-affine case we need to localize the category  $\text{HMF}(X, W)$ . Namely, we consider the full subcategory

$$\text{LHZ}(X, W) \subset \text{HMF}(X, W)$$

consisting of matrix factorizations  $\bar{E}$  that are locally contractible (i.e., there exists an open covering  $U_i$  of  $X$  in smooth topology such that  $\bar{E}|_{U_i} = 0$  in  $\text{HMF}(U_i, W|_{U_i})$ ). It is easy to see that  $\text{LHZ}(X, W)$  is a triangulated subcategory (we will see later that it is thick).

**DEFINITION 3.13.** — *For a stack  $X$  we define the derived category of matrix factorizations by*

$$\text{DMF}(X, W) := \text{HMF}(X, W) / \text{LHZ}(X, W).$$

The following theorem is a generalization of [25, Thm. 3.9].

THEOREM 3.14. — *Let  $X$  be a smooth FCDRP-stack (see 3.1(iii)),  $L$  a line bundle on  $X$  and  $W \in H^0(X, L)$  a potential. Assume that  $W$  is not a zero divisor. Then the functor*

$$\bar{\mathcal{C}} : \text{DMF}(W) \rightarrow D_{\text{Sg}}(X_0) \tag{3.8}$$

*induced by  $\mathcal{C}$  is an equivalence of triangulated categories.*

We will prove this theorem using the following general criterion of equivalence for triangulated categories.

LEMMA 3.15. — *Let  $\Phi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be an exact functor between triangulated categories. Assume that for every morphism  $f : D \rightarrow \Phi(C)$ , where  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $D \in \mathcal{D}$ , there exists an object  $C' \in \mathcal{C}$ , a morphism  $g : C' \rightarrow C$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  and an isomorphism  $\alpha : \Phi(C') \rightarrow D$  in  $\mathcal{D}$ , such that  $\Phi(g) = f \circ \alpha$ . Then  $\Phi$  induces an equivalence  $\bar{\Phi} : \mathcal{C}/\ker(\Phi) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  of triangulated categories.*

*Proof.* — Set  $\bar{\mathcal{C}} = \mathcal{C}/\ker(\Phi)$ . First, let us show that for every  $C_1, C_2 \in \mathcal{C}$  the natural morphism

$$\bar{\Phi} : \text{Hom}_{\bar{\mathcal{C}}}(C_1, C_2) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\Phi(C_1), \Phi(C_2))$$

is surjective. For  $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\Phi(C_1), \Phi(C_2))$  consider the morphism

$$\Phi(C_1) \xrightarrow{(\text{id}, f)} \Phi(C_1) \oplus \Phi(C_2) = \Phi(C_1 \oplus C_2).$$

By assumption, there exists an object  $C' \in \mathcal{C}$ , a morphism  $(q, g) : C' \rightarrow C_1 \oplus C_2$  and an isomorphism  $\alpha : \Phi(C') \rightarrow \Phi(C_1)$  such that  $\Phi(q, g) = (\text{id}, f) \circ \alpha$ . In other words, we have  $\alpha = \Phi(q)$  and  $\Phi(g) = f \circ \alpha$ . Hence,  $q$  is an isomorphism in  $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$  and  $\bar{\Phi}(g \circ q^{-1}) = f$ . Next, we observe that  $\ker(\bar{\Phi}) = 0$  by definition. Now Lemma 3.16 below applied to the functor  $\bar{\Phi}$  implies that this functor is faithful. □

LEMMA 3.16. — *Let  $\Phi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be an exact functor between triangulated categories such that  $\ker(\Phi) = 0$ , and let  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  be a fixed object. Assume that for each  $C' \in \mathcal{C}$  the morphism*

$$\Phi : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, C') \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\Phi(C), \Phi(C'))$$

*is surjective. Then this morphism is an isomorphism for every  $C' \in \mathcal{C}$ .*

*Proof.* — The argument below is similar to the first part of the proof of [25, Thm. 3.9]). Suppose  $\Phi(f) = 0$  for some morphism  $f : C \rightarrow C'$ . Consider an exact triangle

$$K \xrightarrow{g} C \xrightarrow{f} C' \rightarrow K[1]$$

in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Since the image of this triangle under  $\Phi$  is still exact and  $\Phi(f) = 0$ , there exists a morphism  $h : \Phi(C) \rightarrow \Phi(K)$  such that  $\Phi(g) \circ h = \text{id}_{\Phi(C)}$ . By



assumption we can choose  $h' \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, K)$  such that  $\Phi(h') = h$ . Then  $\Phi(g \circ h') = \text{id}_{\Phi(C)}$ , hence,  $g \circ h'$  is an isomorphism in  $\mathcal{C}$  (since  $\ker(\Phi) = 0$  and  $\Phi$  is exact). But  $f \circ g \circ h' = 0$ , so we deduce that  $f = 0$ .  $\square$

LEMMA 3.17. — *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a MCM sheaf on  $X_0$  and let  $p : V \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  be a surjection of coherent sheaves on  $X$ , where  $V$  is a vector bundle on  $X$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  is viewed as a coherent sheaf on  $X$ . Then  $\ker(p)$  is a vector bundle on  $X$ .*

*Proof.* — By taking the pull-back to a presentation of the stack  $X$ , we can reduce the problem to the similar question in the case when  $X$  is a smooth scheme. Now we can argue as in the proof of Theorem 3.9 of [25]. Pick a closed point  $x \in X$ . Since  $X$  is smooth, there exists an integer  $N$  such that  $\text{Ext}_X^i(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{O}_x) = 0$  for  $i > N$  for any coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{G}$  on  $X$ . On the other hand, if  $P$  is a vector bundle on  $X_0$ , viewed as a coherent sheaf on  $X$ , then  $\text{Ext}_X^i(P, \mathcal{O}_x) = 0$  for  $i > 1$ . Since by Lemma 3.11(i),  $\mathcal{F}$  has a right resolution by vector bundles on  $X_0$ , we deduce that  $\text{Ext}_X^i(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_x) = 0$  for  $i > 1$ . Now the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \ker(p) \rightarrow V \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$$

implies that  $\text{Ext}_X^i(\ker(p), \mathcal{O}_x) = 0$  for  $i > 0$ . It follows that  $\ker(p)$  is locally free.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.14.* By Lemma 3.12,  $\mathfrak{C}$  is an exact functor. To prove that  $\bar{\mathfrak{C}}$  is an equivalence we will apply the criterion of Lemma 3.15 to the functor  $\mathfrak{C} : \text{HMF}(W) \rightarrow D_{\text{Sg}}(X_0)$ . Let

$$f : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} = \mathfrak{C}(\bar{E})$$

be a morphism in  $D_{\text{Sg}}(X_0)$ . We have to construct a matrix factorization  $\bar{F}$ , a closed morphism of matrix factorizations  $g : \bar{F} \rightarrow \bar{E}$  and an isomorphism  $\alpha : \mathfrak{C}(\bar{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  such that  $\mathfrak{C}(g) = f \circ \alpha$ . Note that  $X_0$  is an FCDRP-stack and has finite Krull dimension, as a closed substack of  $X$ . Also,  $X_0$  is Gorenstein as a divisor in a smooth stack. Thus, by Lemma 3.11(iii), we can assume that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a MCM sheaf. Denote by  $E'_i = (E(L^{1/2})_i \otimes L^{i/2})|_{X_0}$  the terms of the complex (1.3). By Lemma 1.5, this complex is exact, so for large enough  $n$  we can apply Lemma 3.11(iv) to the exact sequence of sheaves on  $X_0$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}' \rightarrow E'_{-n+1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow E'_{-1} \rightarrow E'_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow 0$$

and the morphism  $f$ . We obtain an exact sequence of sheaves on  $X_0$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow V_{-n+1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow V_{-1} \rightarrow V_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0,$$

and a morphism  $f' : \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'$  of sheaves such that all  $V_i$  are vector bundles on  $X_0$  and  $f'[n]$  represents  $f$  in  $D_{\text{Sg}}(X_0)$ . Let us prove that from this data one can construct a matrix factorization  $\bar{F}$ , a surjective morphism  $\alpha : \mathfrak{C}(\bar{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  of sheaves on  $X_0$  and a morphism of matrix factorizations  $g : \bar{F} \rightarrow \bar{E}$ , such that  $\ker(\alpha) \in \text{Per}(X_0)$  and  $\mathfrak{C}(g) = f \circ \alpha$  in  $\text{Coh}(X_0)$ . We use induction in  $n$ .

If  $n = 0$  then  $f$  is given by a morphism of coherent sheaves  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ . We can choose a surjective morphism  $p : F_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ , where  $F_0$  is a vector bundle on  $X$ , such that the morphism  $f$  extends to a commutative diagram in  $\text{Coh}(X)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_0 & \xrightarrow{g_0} & E_0 \\ \downarrow p & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{G} \end{array}$$

By Lemma 3.17,  $F_1 := \ker(p)$  is a vector bundle. Let  $\delta_1 : F_1 \rightarrow F_0$  be the natural inclusion. Since  $W\mathcal{F} = 0$ , the injective morphism  $W : F_0 \otimes L^{-1} \rightarrow F_0$  factors through  $\delta_1$ , so we obtain an injective morphism  $\delta_0 : F_0 \otimes L^{-1} \rightarrow F_1$  such that  $\delta_1 \delta_0 = W$ . Note that  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_0$  are isomorphisms over the dense open set  $W \neq 0$ . Hence, the equality  $\delta_0 \delta_1 \delta_0 = W \delta_0$  implies that  $\delta_0 \delta_1 = W$ , so  $\bar{F} = (F_\bullet, \delta_\bullet)$  is a matrix factorization of  $W$ . Furthermore, from the above commutative diagram we get a unique morphism  $g_1 : F_1 \rightarrow E_1$  such that  $\delta_1 g_1 = g_0 \delta_1$ . It follows that

$$\delta_1 \delta_0 g_0 = W g_0 = g_0 \delta_1 \delta_0 = \delta_1 g_1 \delta_0.$$

Hence,  $\delta_0 g_0 = g_1 \delta_0$ , i.e.,  $g : \bar{F} \rightarrow \bar{E}$  is a morphism of matrix factorizations.

Now suppose the assertion is true for  $n - 1$ . Set

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \ker(V_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mathcal{G}} = \ker(E'_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}).$$

By Lemma 1.5,  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}} = \text{coker}((E_0 \otimes L^{-1})|_{X_0} \rightarrow E_1|_{X_0}) = \mathfrak{C}(\bar{E}[1])$ . So we have isomorphisms  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{F}}[1]$  and  $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{G}}[1]$  in  $D_{\text{Sg}}(X_0)$ , hence  $f$  corresponds to a morphism  $\tilde{f} : \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$  in  $D_{\text{Sg}}(X_0)$ . By induction assumption, we can construct a matrix factorization  $\bar{P}$ , a surjective morphism  $\alpha : \mathfrak{C}(\bar{P}) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  and a morphism of matrix factorizations  $\tilde{g} : \bar{P} \rightarrow \bar{E}[1]$  such that  $\ker(\alpha) \in$

$\text{Per}(X_0)$  and  $\mathfrak{C}(\tilde{g}) = \tilde{f} \circ \alpha$  in  $\text{Coh}(X_0)$ . We have a commutative diagram of sheaves on  $X_0$  with exact rows and columns

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \ker(\alpha) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & P_1|_{X_0} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{C}(\bar{P}) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & P_1|_{X_0} \oplus V_0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}' \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathcal{F}} & \longrightarrow & V_0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

where  $\varphi$  is induced by the natural embedding  $\mathfrak{C}(\bar{P}) \hookrightarrow P_1|_{X_0}$  and by the composition  $\mathfrak{C}(\bar{P}) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow V_0$ , the map  $\psi$  is the restriction of  $\varphi$  to  $\ker(\alpha)$ , and the sheaves  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $\mathcal{F}'$  are the cokernels of  $\psi$  and  $\varphi$ . The first row shows that  $\mathcal{K} \in \text{Per}(X_0)$ . On the other hand, we have a morphism of exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{C}(\bar{P}) & \longrightarrow & P_1|_{X_0} \oplus V_0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}' \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow f' \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{C}(\bar{P}) & \longrightarrow & P_1|_{X_0} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{C}(\bar{P}[-1]) \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

Now arguing as in the case  $n = 0$ , we can construct a matrix factorization  $\bar{F}$ , an isomorphism of sheaves  $\mathfrak{C}(\bar{F}) \simeq \mathcal{F}'$  and a closed morphism of matrix factorizations  $\bar{F} \rightarrow \bar{P}[-1]$  inducing  $f'$ . After composing it with  $\tilde{g}[-1] : \bar{P}[-1] \rightarrow \bar{E}$  we get the desired morphism  $g : \bar{F} \rightarrow \bar{E}$ . Thus, by Lemma 3.15, we obtain an equivalence of triangulated categories

$$\bar{\mathfrak{C}} : \text{HMF}(W) / \ker(\mathfrak{C}) \rightarrow D_{\text{Sg}}(X_0).$$

It remains to establish the equality of full subcategories

$$\ker(\mathfrak{C}) = \text{LHZ}(W)$$

in  $\text{HMF}(W)$ . By Lemma 3.7, we have  $\text{LHZ}(W) \subset \ker(\mathfrak{C})$ . Conversely, suppose  $\mathfrak{C}(\bar{E}) = 0$ . Consider an open covering  $U_i$  of  $X$  in smooth topology, such that  $U_i$  are smooth affine schemes and the pull-backs  $L|_{U_i}$  are trivial. By Theorem 3.9 of [25], the functor  $\mathfrak{C}$  induces equivalences

$$\text{HMF}(U_i, W|_{U_i}) \simeq D_{\text{Sg}}(U_i \times_X X_0).$$

Thus, we obtain that  $\bar{E}|_{U_i} = 0$  in  $\text{HMF}(U_i, W|_{U_i})$ , and so  $\bar{E} \in \text{LHZ}(W)$ . □

**COROLLARY 3.18.** — *Let  $X$  be a smooth stack,  $W \in H^0(X, L)$  a non-zero-divisor. The restriction of any matrix factorization  $\bar{E} \in \text{HMF}(X, W)$  to the complement  $X \setminus \text{Sing}(X_0)$  of the singular locus of  $X_0$  is locally contractible.*

*Proof.* — We can assume  $X$  to be an affine scheme. Note that the equivalence of Theorem 3.14 is compatible with restrictions to open substacks. Hence, the assertion follows from the fact that  $D_{\text{Sg}}(X \setminus \text{Sing}(X_0)) = 0$ . □

There is one important case when the derived category  $\text{DMF}(X, W)$  coincides with the homotopy category  $\text{HMF}(X, W)$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.19.** — *Let us keep the assumptions of Theorem 3.14. Assume in addition that  $X$  has cohomological dimension 0. Then  $\ker(\mathfrak{C}) = 0$ , so in this case*

$$\text{HMF}(X, W) \simeq \text{DMF}(X, W) \simeq D_{\text{Sg}}(X_0).$$

*Proof.* — Note that  $X_0$  also has cohomological dimension 0, so every vector bundle on  $X_0$  is a projective object in the category of coherent sheaves on  $X_0$ . Thus, we can prove that  $\ker(\mathfrak{C}) = 0$  by repeating the argument of [25, Lem. 3.8]. The rest follows from Theorem 3.14. □

Note that in the case when  $X$  is the quotient of an affine scheme by a finite group and  $L$  is trivial, the above result reduces to [31, Thm 7.3]. A more general example is the case of a quotient stack  $X = U/\Gamma$ , where  $U$  is an affine scheme and  $\Gamma$  is a reductive group (see Lemma 3.2(ii)).

*Remark 3.20.* — Another characterization of  $\ker(\mathfrak{C})$  is given by the unpublished result of Orlov (see [21, Sec. 3.2]) that states that  $\ker(\mathfrak{C})$  consists of all matrix factorizations that appear as direct summands in the convolutions of finite exact sequences of matrix factorizations. The corresponding quotient category is called in [30] the *absolute derived category*.

Sometimes it is convenient to use the following generalization of the notion of matrix factorization obtained by replacing vector bundles by coherent sheaves.

DEFINITION 3.21. — *A coherent matrix factorization of a potential  $W \in H^0(X, L)$  on a stack  $X$  is a pair of coherent sheaves  $F_0, F_1$  with maps  $\delta_1 : F_1 \rightarrow F_0$  and  $\delta_0 : F_0 \rightarrow F_1 \otimes L$ , such that  $\delta_0 \delta_1 = W \cdot \text{id}$  and  $(\delta_1 \otimes \text{id}) \delta_0 = W \cdot \text{id}$ , and the multiplication by  $W$  is injective on both  $F_0$  and  $F_1$ . The corresponding homotopy category  $\text{HMF}^c(X, W)$  still has a triangulated structure, and we still have the cokernel functor (see (3.4))*

$$\mathfrak{C}^c : \text{HMF}^c(X, W) \rightarrow D_{\text{Sg}}(X_0)$$

which is an exact functor. In the situation of Theorem 3.14 we can view  $\mathfrak{C}^c$  as an exact functor from  $\text{HMF}^c(X, W)$  to  $\text{DMF}(X, W)$ .

### 4. Quasi-matrix factorizations

Here we establish a connection between the category of quasi-matrix factorizations and a quasicohherent analog of the singularity category. The results of this section will be used in the study of the push-forward functors for matrix factorizations (see Section 6).

Assume that  $W$  is not a zero divisor. Note that we can define the functor

$$\mathfrak{C}^\infty : \text{HMF}^\infty(X, W) \rightarrow D'_{\text{Sg}}(X_0)$$

for quasi-matrix factorizations in the same way as for matrix factorizations (by taking the cokernel of  $\delta_1$ ), where  $D'_{\text{Sg}}(X_0)$  is given by (3.1). It is easy to see that the proof of Lemma 3.12 works in this situation, so the functor  $\mathfrak{C}^\infty$  is exact. Thus, we have a commutative diagram of exact functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{HMF}(X, W) & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{C}} & D_{\text{Sg}}(X_0) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{HMF}^\infty(X, W) & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{C}^\infty} & D'_{\text{Sg}}(X_0) \end{array}$$

We are going to prove certain weaker versions of Theorem 3.14 and Proposition 3.19 for quasi-matrix factorizations (see Theorem 4.2 below).

We will need the following fundamental fact about (not necessarily finitely generated) locally free sheaves.

LEMMA 4.1. — *Let  $X = \text{Spec}(A)$  be a Noetherian affine scheme,  $\mathcal{F} = \widetilde{M}$  a quasicoherent sheaf on  $X$  associated with an  $A$ -module  $M$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is locally free if and only if  $M$  is projective.*

*Proof.* — If  $\mathcal{F}$  is locally free then  $M$  is locally projective, so it is projective by [13, part 2, Sec. 3.1]. Conversely, assume that  $M$  is projective. If  $M$  is finitely generated then the assertion is well-known. Otherwise, we can assume that  $X$  is connected and apply the result of Bass [3, Cor. 4.5] saying that an infinitely generated projective module over  $A$  is free.  $\square$

THEOREM 4.2. — *Let  $X$  be a smooth stack with the resolution property, and let  $W \in H^0(X, L)$  be a non-zero-divisor.*

(i) *Assume that  $X = U/\Gamma$ , where  $U$  is an affine scheme and  $\Gamma$  is a reductive linear algebraic group. Then the functor  $\mathfrak{C}^\infty$  is fully faithful.*

(ii) *For an arbitrary  $X$ , let  $\text{LHZ}^\infty(X, W) \subset \text{HMF}^\infty(X, W)$  be the full subcategory consisting of quasi-matrix factorizations that are locally homotopic to zero. Then*

$$\ker(\mathfrak{C}^\infty) = \text{LHZ}^\infty(X, W).$$

Moreover, the restriction of an object in  $\text{LHZ}^\infty(X, W)$  to any open (in flat topology) of the type considered in part (i) is homotopic to zero. Thus, if we set

$$\text{DMF}^\infty(X, W) := \text{HMF}^\infty(X, W) / \text{LHZ}^\infty(X, W)$$

then the functor  $\text{DMF}^\infty(X, W) \rightarrow D'_{\text{Sg}}(X_0)$  has zero kernel.

(iii) *Assume in addition that  $X$  has finite cohomological dimension (so  $X$  is a smooth FCD RP-stack). Then for  $\bar{F} \in \text{HMF}(X, W)$  and  $\bar{E} \in \text{HMF}^\infty(X, W)$  the map*

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{DMF}^\infty(X, W)}(\bar{F}, \bar{E}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{D'_{\text{Sg}}(X_0)}(\mathfrak{C}(\bar{F}), \mathfrak{C}^\infty(\bar{E})) \tag{4.1}$$

is an isomorphism.

For the proof we need the following analogs of Lemma 3.11(ii) and [25, Prop. 1.21].

LEMMA 4.3. — *Let  $A$  be a Noetherian Gorenstein commutative ring of Krull dimension  $n$ .*

(i) *For any projective  $A$ -module  $P$  and an  $A$ -module  $M$  one has  $\text{Ext}_A^i(M, P) = 0$  for  $i > n$ .*

(ii) *Let  $\mathcal{F} = \widetilde{M}$  be a quasicoherent sheaf on  $X = \text{Spec}(A)$  associated with an  $A$ -module  $M$ , that admits a right locally free resolution  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow Q^\bullet$  and such that  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Lfr}(X)$ . Then  $M$  is a projective  $A$ -module and so, by Lemma 4.1,  $\mathcal{F}$  is a locally free sheaf.*

*Proof.* — (i) It is enough to consider the case when  $P$  is a free module. Since  $A$  is Gorenstein of Krull dimension  $n$ , the injective dimension of  $A$  is equal to  $n$ . Since (infinite) direct sums of injective modules over a Noetherian ring are also injective, it follows that the injective dimension of  $P$  is also  $n$ .

(ii) By assumption there exists a finite locally free resolution

$$0 \rightarrow Q^{-N} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow Q^{-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0.$$

Sewing it with the right resolution  $Q^0 \rightarrow Q^1 \rightarrow \dots$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  we obtain the exact complex

$$0 \rightarrow Q^{-N} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow Q^{-1} \rightarrow Q^0 \rightarrow Q^1 \rightarrow \dots$$

of locally free sheaves. By Lemma 4.1, the  $A$ -modules corresponding to  $Q^i$  are projective. Thus, it suffices to prove that for any infinite exact complex

$$0 \rightarrow P^0 \rightarrow P^1 \rightarrow \dots$$

of projective modules, the module  $N = \text{coker}(P^0 \rightarrow P^1)$  is also projective. By part (i), any projective  $A$ -module also has the injective dimension  $\leq n$ . Let us set  $N^i = \text{coker}(P^{i-1} \rightarrow P^i)$ , where  $i \geq 1$ , so that  $N = N^1$ . Then using the exact sequences  $0 \rightarrow N^i \rightarrow P^{i+1} \rightarrow N^{i+1} \rightarrow 0$  we obtain

$$\text{Ext}^1(N, P^0) = \text{Ext}^2(N^2, P^0) = \dots = \text{Ext}^{n+1}(N^{n+1}, P^0) = 0.$$

Hence, the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow P^0 \rightarrow P^1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$$

splits, so  $N$  is projective. □

LEMMA 4.4. — *Let  $X = U/\Gamma$  be a nice affine Gorenstein quotient stack, where  $U$  is a Noetherian affine scheme of finite Krull dimension  $n$ , and  $\Gamma$  is a reductive linear algebraic group.*

(i) *If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a quasicoherent sheaf and  $\mathcal{P}$  is a locally free sheaf on  $X$  then  $\text{Ext}_X^i(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P}) = 0$  for  $i > n$ .*

(ii) *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  be quasicoherent sheaves on  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{F}$  admits a right locally free resolution  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow Q^\bullet$ . Then the natural map*

$$\text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{D'_{\text{sg}}(X)}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$$

*is surjective.*

*Proof.* — (i) By Lemma 3.2(i), it is enough to prove a similar statement on  $U$ . But  $U = \text{Spec}(A)$  is affine and Noetherian, so  $\mathcal{P}$  corresponds to a projective  $A$ -module by Lemma 4.1. Therefore, it has injective dimension  $\leq n$  by Lemma 4.3(i).

(ii) The proof is analogous to that of [25, Prop. 1.21], using part (i) together with the fact that for any locally free sheaf  $\mathcal{P}$  and any quasicoherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$  one has  $\text{Ext}_X^i(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{F}) = 0$  for  $i > 0$ . Indeed, by Lemma 3.2(i), this reduces to a similar statement on  $U$ , which follows from Lemma 4.1.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 4.2.* (i) First, as in the proof of Theorem 3.14, we see that the functor  $\mathfrak{C}^\infty$  is exact. Next, we claim that in this case  $\ker(\mathfrak{C}^\infty) = 0$ . Indeed, suppose  $\bar{P} \in \text{MF}^\infty(X, W)$  is such that the quasicoherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F} = \text{coker}(P_1 \rightarrow P_0)$  belongs to  $\text{Lfr}(X_0) \subset D^b(\text{Qcoh}(X_0))$ . By Lemma 1.5, the sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  has a right locally free resolution. Hence, we can apply Lemma 4.3(ii) to an affine covering of  $X$  to deduce that  $\mathcal{F}$  is locally free. Note that  $X_0$  itself is a quotient of a Noetherian affine scheme by  $\Gamma$ . In particular,  $X_0$  has cohomological dimension 0, so by Lemma 3.2(ii),  $\mathcal{F}$  is a projective object in  $\text{Qcoh}(X_0)$ . Therefore, the same argument as in [25, Lem. 3.8], shows that  $\bar{P}$  is contractible. Now Lemma 4.4(ii) and the projectivity of locally free sheaves on  $X$  imply that the functor  $\mathfrak{C}^\infty$  is full (using an argument of [25, Lem. 3.5]). By Lemma 3.16, the functor  $\mathfrak{C}^\infty$  is also faithful.

(ii) This follows from (i).

(iii) By Lemma 3.16, it is enough to prove that the map (4.1) is surjective. To this end we use the strategy similar to that of the proof of Theorem 3.14. Let us set  $\mathcal{F} = \mathfrak{C}(\bar{F})$  (this is a coherent sheaf on  $X_0$ ), and suppose we have a morphism  $f : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}^\infty(\bar{E})$  in  $D'_{\text{Sg}}(X_0)$ . Let us consider the quasicoherent sheaf on  $X_0$

$$\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{F} \oplus \mathfrak{C}^\infty(\bar{E}) = \mathfrak{C}^\infty(\bar{F} \oplus \bar{E})$$

and the morphism  $(\text{id}, f) : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ . Applying the same inductive procedure as in the proof of Theorem 3.14 (using Lemma 3.11(iv)) to the morphism  $(\text{id}, f)$  we can construct a matrix factorization  $\bar{F}' \in \text{MF}(X, W)$ , a closed morphism of quasi-matrix factorizations  $g' : \bar{F}' \rightarrow \bar{F} \oplus \bar{E}$  and an isomorphism  $\alpha : \mathfrak{C}(\bar{F}') \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  in  $D_{\text{Sg}}(X_0)$  such that  $\mathfrak{C}^\infty(g') = (\text{id}, f) \circ \alpha = (\alpha, f \circ \alpha)$ . Let us write  $g' = (q, g)$ , where  $q : \bar{F}' \rightarrow \bar{F}$  and  $g : \bar{F}' \rightarrow \bar{E}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{C}(q) = \alpha$  and  $\mathfrak{C}^\infty(g) = f \circ \alpha$ . Since  $\alpha$  is an isomorphism, from part (ii) we obtain that  $q$  is an isomorphism in  $\text{DMF}^\infty(X, W)$ . Hence, the morphism  $g \circ q^{-1} : \bar{F} \rightarrow \bar{E}$  in  $\text{DMF}^\infty(X, W)$  is in the preimage of  $f$  under  $\mathfrak{C}^\infty$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY 4.5.** — *In the situation of Theorem 4.2(i) the natural functor*

$$\text{HMF}(X, W) \rightarrow \text{HMF}^\infty(X, W)$$

*is fully faithful.*



LEMMA 4.6. — *The triangulated category  $\mathrm{DMF}^\infty(X, W)$  has infinite direct sums. Hence, all idempotents in this category are split.*

*Proof.* — Lemma 4.1 implies that an (infinite) direct sum of locally free sheaves on  $X$  is still locally free. Now, the standard construction for the homotopy category of complexes can be adapted to show that infinite direct sums exist in  $\mathrm{HMF}^\infty(X, W)$ . By Theorem 4.2, the subcategory  $\mathrm{LHZ}^\infty = \ker(\mathfrak{C}^\infty)$  is closed under arbitrary direct sums. By [7, Lem. 1.5], this implies that the quotient category  $\mathrm{DMF}^\infty(X, W)$  has direct sums. The last assertion follows by [7, Prop. 3.2].  $\square$

Abusing notation we will also denote by

$$\mathfrak{C}^\infty : \mathrm{DMF}^\infty(X, W) \rightarrow D'_{\mathrm{Sg}}(X_0)$$

the exact functor induced by  $\mathfrak{C}^\infty$ .

By Lemma 4.6, the natural functor  $\mathrm{DMF}(X, W) \rightarrow \mathrm{DMF}^\infty(X, W)$  extends to the functor

$$\iota : \overline{\mathrm{DMF}}(X, W) \rightarrow \mathrm{DMF}^\infty(X, W).$$

PROPOSITION 4.7. — *Assume that  $X$  is a smooth FCDRP-stack, and let  $W \in H^0(X, L)$  be a non-zero-divisor. Then for objects  $(\bar{E}, e) \in \overline{\mathrm{DMF}}(X, W)$  and  $\bar{F} \in \mathrm{DMF}^\infty(X, W)$  the morphism*

$$\mathfrak{C}^\infty : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{DMF}^\infty(X, W)}(\iota(\bar{E}, e), \bar{F}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{D'_{\mathrm{Sg}}(X_0)}(\mathfrak{C}^\infty(\iota(\bar{E}, e)), \mathfrak{C}^\infty(\bar{F}))$$

*is an isomorphism. Furthermore, if  $\alpha \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{DMF}^\infty(X, W)}(\iota(\bar{E}, e), \bar{F})$  is such that  $\mathfrak{C}^\infty(\alpha)$  is an isomorphism in  $D'_{\mathrm{Sg}}(X_0)$  then  $\alpha$  is an isomorphism in  $D'_{\mathrm{Sg}}(X_0)$ .*

*Proof.* — It is enough to check the first assertion for  $\bar{E}$  instead of  $\iota(\bar{E}, e)$ , in which case it follows from Theorem 4.2(iii). The second assertion follows from the fact that the exact functor  $\mathfrak{C}^\infty : \mathrm{DMF}^\infty(X, W) \rightarrow D'_{\mathrm{Sg}}(X_0)$  has zero kernel by Theorem 4.2(ii).  $\square$

Quasi-matrix factorizations provide a natural setup for defining the functors of push-forwards with respect to smooth affine morphisms with geometrically integral fibers. Recall that by [13, part 1, (3.3.1)], the push-forward of a locally free sheaf under such a morphism is locally projective, hence, by Lemma 4.1, locally free. This allows us to make the following definition.

DEFINITION 4.8. — *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a smooth affine morphism of stacks with geometrically integral fibers, let  $W \in H^0(Y, L)$  be a potential,*

and let  $\bar{E} = (E, \delta)$  be a quasi-matrix factorization of  $f^*W$ . The push-forward quasi-matrix factorization  $f_*\bar{E}$  of  $W$  is the pair of locally free sheaves  $(f_*E_0, f_*E_1)$  together with the differential  $f_*\delta$ .

This gives a dg-functor  $f_* : \text{MF}^\infty(X, f^*W) \rightarrow \text{MF}^\infty(Y, W)$ , which induces exact functors

$$f_* : \text{HMF}^\infty(X, f^*W) \rightarrow \text{HMF}^\infty(Y, W).$$

Assume in addition that  $W$  is not a zero divisor, and let  $Y_0$  (resp.,  $X_0$ ) be the zero locus of  $W$  (resp., of  $f^*W$ ). Let  $g : X_0 \rightarrow Y_0$  denote the morphism induced by  $f$ . Since the morphism  $X_0 \rightarrow Y_0$  is also smooth with geometrically integral fibers, the push-forward functor  $g_*$  on quasicoherent sheaves induces an exact functor  $D^b(\text{Qcoh}(X_0)) \rightarrow D^b(\text{Qcoh}(Y_0))$  that sends  $\text{Lfr}(X_0)$  to  $\text{Lfr}(Y_0)$ , and hence gives an exact functor  $g_* : D'_{\text{Sg}}(X_0) \rightarrow D'_{\text{Sg}}(Y_0)$ . Furthermore, since  $f$  is an affine morphism, for every  $\bar{E} \in \text{MF}^\infty(X, f^*W)$  we have a natural isomorphism of quasicoherent sheaves on  $X_0$

$$g_* \text{coker}(E_1 \xrightarrow{\delta} E_0) \simeq \text{coker}(f_*E_1 \xrightarrow{f_*\delta} f_*E_0).$$

Thus, we obtain a commutative diagram of exact functors between triangulated categories

$$\begin{CD} \text{HMF}^\infty(X, f^*W) @>\mathfrak{C}^\infty>> D'_{\text{Sg}}(X_0) \\ @Vf_*VV @VVg_*V \\ \text{HMF}^\infty(Y, W) @>\mathfrak{C}^\infty>> D'_{\text{Sg}}(Y_0) \end{CD}$$

If  $Y$  is smooth and has the resolution property, then by Theorem 4.2 we obtain an induced functor of derived categories

$$f_* : \text{DMF}^\infty(X, f^*W) \rightarrow \text{DMF}^\infty(Y, W)$$

also compatible with the functor  $g_* : D'_{\text{Sg}}(X_0) \rightarrow D'_{\text{Sg}}(Y_0)$ .

### 5. Supports

Orlov showed in [27] that objects of the singularity category  $D_{\text{Sg}}(X)$  can be represented as direct summands of complexes with cohomology supported on the singular locus of  $X$ . In this section we will introduce and study a more general notion of support for objects of the singularity category and the corresponding notion of support for matrix factorizations.

DEFINITION 5.1. — *Let  $X$  be a Gorenstein stack with the resolution property, and let  $Z \subset X$  be a closed substack. We define the singularity category of  $X$  with support on  $Z$  as*

$$D_{\text{Sg}}(X, Z) = D^b(X, Z)/\text{Perf}(X, Z),$$

where  $D^b(X, Z)$  is the triangulated subcategory of  $D^b(X)$  of complexes with cohomology supported on  $Z$ , and  $\text{Perf}(X, Z) \subset D^b(X, Z)$  consists of perfect complexes in  $D^b(X, Z)$ . We denote by  $\overline{D_{\text{Sg}}(X, Z)}$  the idempotent completion of  $D_{\text{Sg}}(X, Z)$ .

By [27, Lem. 2.6],  $D_{\text{Sg}}(X, Z)$  is a full subcategory of  $D_{\text{Sg}}(X)$ .

The following result is a slight generalization of [27, Prop. 2.7] and is proved similarly (see also [8, Thm. 1.3]).

PROPOSITION 5.2. — *Assume that  $X$  is a Gorenstein stack of finite Krull dimension with the resolution property. Let  $Z \subset X$  be a closed substack and let  $j : U = X \setminus Z \rightarrow X$  be the open embedding of its complement. Then the subcategory  $\overline{D_{\text{Sg}}(X, Z)} \subset \overline{D_{\text{Sg}}(X)}$  coincides with the kernel of the functor*

$$\overline{j^*} : \overline{D_{\text{Sg}}(X)} \rightarrow \overline{D_{\text{Sg}}(U)},$$

induced by the restriction functor  $j^*$ .

*Proof.* — First, let us consider the functor

$$j^* : D_{\text{Sg}}(X) \rightarrow D_{\text{Sg}}(U).$$

We claim that  $\ker(j^*)$  consists of direct summands of objects in  $D_{\text{Sg}}(X, Z)$ . Indeed, it is clear that for  $F \in D_{\text{Sg}}(X, Z)$  one has  $j^*F = 0$ . Conversely, suppose that for  $F \in D^b(X)$  we have  $j^*F = 0$  in  $D_{\text{Sg}}(U)$ , i.e.  $j^*F$  is a perfect complex. By Lemma 3.11(iii), we can assume that  $F$  is a MCM-sheaf. The condition that  $j^*F$  is perfect implies by Lemma 3.11(ii) that  $j^*F$  is a vector bundle. We have to show that  $F$  is a direct summand of an object in  $D_{\text{Sg}}(Y)$  represented by a complex with cohomology supported in  $Z$ . Note that  $U$  has finite cohomological dimension as an open substack of  $X$  (see Lemma 3.4). Take a resolution of  $F$  by vector bundles

$$\dots P_2 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$$

and consider the sheaf  $G = \ker(P_n \rightarrow P_{n-1})$ , where  $n$  is the the cohomological dimension of  $U$ . We have the corresponding morphism  $\alpha : F \rightarrow G[n+1]$  in  $D(X)$ . Since the sheaf  $j^*F$  is a vector bundle, and the cohomological dimension of  $U$  is  $n$ , we have  $j^*\alpha = 0$ . Hence,  $\alpha$  factors through an object

$A$  of  $D(X, Z)$ . But  $\alpha$  descends to an isomorphism in  $D_{\text{Sg}}(X)$ , so our claim follows.

Next, we observe that  $D_{\text{Sg}}(U)$  can be identified with the quotient category  $D_{\text{Sg}}(X)/\ker(j^*)$ . Indeed, this can be easily deduced from the fact that every object (resp., morphism) in  $D^b(U)$  can be extended to an object (resp., morphism) in  $D^b(X)$  (see [2, Lem. 2.12]). Thus, the first part of the argument implies that every morphism in  $D_{\text{Sg}}(X)$  that becomes zero in  $D_{\text{Sg}}(U)$  factors through an object of  $D_{\text{Sg}}(X, Z)$ .

Now suppose we have an object  $(F, e) \in \overline{D_{\text{Sg}}(X)}$ , where  $F \in D_{\text{Sg}}(X)$  and  $e : F \rightarrow F$  is a projector, such that  $\overline{j^*}(F, e) = 0$ . Then the morphism  $e : F \rightarrow F$  becomes zero in  $D_{\text{Sg}}(U)$ , hence it factors through an object  $A \in D_{\text{Sg}}(X, Z)$ . But the identity morphism of  $(F, e)$  factors through  $e$  in  $\overline{D_{\text{Sg}}(X)}$ , so  $(F, e)$  is a direct summand of  $A$  in  $\overline{D_{\text{Sg}}(X)}$ .  $\square$

This proposition implies a version of [27, Prop. 2.7] for stacks.

**COROLLARY 5.3.** — *Let  $X$  be a Gorenstein stack of finite Krull dimension with the resolution property. The natural inclusion*

$$\overline{D_{\text{Sg}}(X, \text{Sing}(X))} \rightarrow \overline{D_{\text{Sg}}(X)}$$

*is an equivalence of categories.*

Now we are going to introduce the notion of support for matrix factorizations. Let  $W \in H^0(X, L)$  be a non-zero-divisor potential on a smooth FCDRP-stack  $X$ , and consider its zero locus  $X_0 = W^{-1}(0)$ . For a closed substack  $Z \subset X_0$  we would like to characterize the subcategory in  $\text{DMF}(W)$  corresponding to  $D_{\text{Sg}, Z}(X_0)$  under the equivalence of Theorem 3.14. Given a matrix factorization  $\bar{P} = (P, \delta)$  of  $W$  and a closed point  $x$  in a presentation of  $X_0$ , consider the complex

$$(i_x^*P, \delta_x) := i_x^* \text{com}(\bar{P})$$

(see (1.3)), where  $i_x : x \rightarrow X$  is the natural embedding. Denote by  $H^*(\bar{P}, x)$  the cohomology of this complex.

**LEMMA 5.4.** — *Let  $\bar{P} = (P, \delta)$  be a matrix factorization of  $W$  on  $X$ , where  $X$  and  $W$  are as above.*

(i) *If in addition  $X$  is an affine scheme then for any coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{G}$  on  $X_0$*

$$\text{Hom}_{D_{\text{Sg}}(X_0)}(\mathfrak{C}(\bar{P}), \mathcal{G}) \simeq H^0(\mathcal{G} \otimes \text{com}(\bar{P})^\vee),$$

where  $\mathfrak{C}(\bar{P}) = \text{coker}(\delta : P_1 \rightarrow P_0)$ .

(ii) Assume that  $X$  is an affine scheme. For any closed point  $x \in X_0$  one has natural isomorphisms

$$H^i(\bar{P}, x)^* \simeq \text{Hom}_{D_{\text{Sg}}(X_0)}(\mathfrak{C}(\bar{P}), \mathcal{O}_x[i]), \quad i = 0, 1.$$

(iii) For any closed point  $x$  in a presentation of  $X_0$  one has  $H^0(\bar{P}, x) = 0$  if and only if  $H^1(\bar{P}, x) = 0$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{C}(\bar{P})$  is locally free on  $X_0$  in a neighborhood of  $x$ . Equivalently, there exists an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  in  $X$  such that  $\bar{P}|_U = 0$  in  $\text{HMF}(U, W|_U)$ , i.e.,  $\bar{P}|_U$  is a contractible matrix factorization.

*Proof.* — (i) Since  $X$  is affine, Lemma 3.6 and Proposition 1.21 of [25] imply that

$$\text{Hom}_{D_{\text{Sg}}(X_0)}(\mathfrak{C}(\bar{P}), \mathcal{G}) \simeq \text{Hom}_{X_0}(\mathfrak{C}(\bar{P}), \mathcal{G})/\mathcal{R}$$

where  $\mathcal{R}$  consists of morphisms that factor through a vector bundle on  $X_0$ . By Lemma 1.5, the complex  $\text{com}(\bar{P})$  is exact, so we can identify  $\mathfrak{C}(\bar{P})$  with a subsheaf in  $(P_1 \otimes L)|_{X_0}$ . We claim that in fact the subspace  $\mathcal{R}$  coincides with the image of the natural map  $\text{Hom}(P_1 \otimes L|_{X_0}, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{C}(\bar{P}), \mathcal{G})$ . Indeed, if  $V$  is a vector bundle on  $X_0$  then a map  $\phi : \mathfrak{C}(\bar{P}) \rightarrow V$  defines an element in

$$\psi \in \ker(\text{Hom}_{X_0}(P_0|_{X_0}, V) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{X_0}(P_1|_{X_0}, V)).$$

Since  $X_0$  is affine and the complex  $\text{com}(\bar{P})$  of vector bundles on  $X_0$  is exact, the complex  $\text{Hom}_{X_0}(\text{com}(\bar{P}), V)$  is also exact. Therefore,  $\psi$  comes from an element of  $\text{Hom}_{X_0}(P_1 \otimes L|_{X_0}, V)$ , i.e.,  $\phi$  factors through a map  $P_1 \otimes L|_{X_0} \rightarrow V$ . Thus, we get an isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{D_{\text{Sg}}(X_0)}(\mathfrak{C}(\bar{P}), \mathcal{G}) \simeq \text{coker}(\text{Hom}_{X_0}(P_1 \otimes L|_{X_0}, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{X_0}(\mathfrak{C}(\bar{P}), \mathcal{G})).$$

It remains to use the isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{X_0}(\mathfrak{C}(\bar{P}), \mathcal{G}) \simeq \ker(\text{Hom}_{X_0}(P_0|_{X_0}, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{X_0}(P_1|_{X_0}, \mathcal{G})).$$

(ii) By part (i), we have an isomorphism

$$H^0(\bar{P}, x)^* \simeq \text{Hom}_{D_{\text{Sg}}(X_0)}(\mathfrak{C}(\bar{P}), \mathcal{O}_x).$$

The statement for  $H^1(\bar{P}, x)$  follows because  $\mathfrak{C}$  is an exact functor.

(iii) We can assume that  $X$  is an affine scheme. Recall that  $\mathcal{F} = \mathfrak{C}(\bar{P})$  is locally free if and only if it is a zero object of  $D_{\text{Sg}}(X_0)$  (by Lemmas 1.20 and 3.6 of [25]). Hence, in this case  $H^0(P, x) = 0$ . Conversely, assume that  $H^0(P, x) = 0$ . Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\alpha} P_1|_{X_0} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\mathcal{F}' = \text{coker}(\delta : P_0 \rightarrow P_1)$ . Then it is easy to see that

$$H^0(\bar{P}, x) = \ker(\alpha(x) : i_x^* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow i_x^* P_1).$$

Thus, the vanishing of  $H^0(\bar{P}, x)$  implies that  $\alpha(x)$  is injective, i.e.,  $\text{Tor}^1(\mathcal{F}', \mathcal{O}_x) = 0$ . This implies that  $\mathcal{F}'$  is locally free, hence,  $\mathcal{F}$  is locally free (since  $\mathcal{F}' \simeq \mathcal{F}[1]$  in  $D_{\text{Sg}}(X_0)$ ). Finally, we observe that the ranks of  $H^0(\bar{P}, x)$  and of  $H^1(\bar{P}, x)$  are equal (since the ranks of  $P_0$  and  $P_1$  are equal).  $\square$

DEFINITION 5.5. — *Let  $X$  be a stack and  $W \in H^0(X, L)$ . For a closed substack  $Z \subset X_0 = W^{-1}(0)$  the category of matrix factorizations of  $W$  with support on  $Z$  is the full subcategory  $\text{HMF}(X, Z; W) \subset \text{HMF}(X, W)$  consisting of  $\bar{P} \in \text{HMF}(X, Z; W)$  such that*

$$H^*(\bar{P}, x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in \widetilde{X_0 \setminus Z}.$$

Here  $x$  runs over closed points of some presentation  $\widetilde{X_0 \setminus Z} \rightarrow X_0 \setminus Z$ . Note that  $\text{LHZ}(X, W)$  is a subcategory of  $\text{HMF}(X, Z; W)$ .

We define the derived category of matrix factorizations with support on  $Z$  by

$$\text{DMF}(X, Z; W) := \text{HMF}(X, Z; W) / \text{LHZ}(X, W),$$

and denote its idempotent completion by  $\overline{\text{DMF}}(X, Z, W)$ .

Note that  $\text{DMF}(X, Z; W)$  (resp.,  $\overline{\text{DMF}}(X, Z; W)$ ) is a full triangulated subcategory in  $\text{DMF}(X, W)$  (resp., in  $\overline{\text{DMF}}(X, W)$ ). Furthermore, the functors

$$H^*(?, x) : \text{DMF}(X, W) \rightarrow k - \text{mod}$$

extend naturally to the category  $\overline{\text{DMF}}(X, W)$ . Thus, we have

$$\overline{\text{DMF}}(X, Z; W) = \bigcap_{x \in \widetilde{X_0 \setminus Z}} \ker H^*(?, x). \tag{5.1}$$

PROPOSITION 5.6. — *Let  $X$  be a smooth FCDRP-stack. The equivalence*

$$\bar{c} : \overline{\text{DMF}}(X, W) \rightarrow \overline{D_{\text{Sg}}(X_0)}$$

induced by the functor (3.8) identifies the full subcategories

$$\overline{\text{DMF}}(X, Z; W) \subset \overline{\text{DMF}}(X, W) \text{ and } \overline{D_{\text{Sg}}(X_0, Z)} \subset \overline{D_{\text{Sg}}(X_0)}.$$

*Proof.* — By Proposition 5.2, it suffices to check that  $\overline{\text{DMF}}(X, Z; W)$  coincides with the kernel of the restriction functor

$$\bar{j}^* : \overline{\text{DMF}}(X, W) \rightarrow \overline{\text{DMF}}(X \setminus Z, W|_{X \setminus Z}).$$

The fact that the subcategory  $\overline{\text{DMF}}(X, Z; W)$  contains  $\ker \bar{j}^*$  follows immediately from (5.1). To prove the opposite inclusion we have to check

that  $j^*(\mathrm{DMF}(X, W)) = 0$ . But this follows from Lemma 5.4(iii) because vanishing of an object in  $\mathrm{DMF}(X \setminus Z, W|_{X \setminus Z})$  is a local property.  $\square$

### 6. Push-forwards

Here we apply the results and constructions of Sections 4 and 5 to define and study the push-forward functors for categories of matrix factorizations. We use these functors in [28] to give an algebraic construction of a cohomological field theory related to the Landau-Ginzburg model for a quasihomogeneous isolated singularity (see [12]).

PROPOSITION 6.1. — *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a representable morphism of smooth FCDRP-stacks and  $W \in H^0(Y, L)$  a potential such that  $W$  and  $f^*W$  are not zero divisors. Let  $Z \subset X_0$  be a closed substack of the zero locus of  $f^*W$ , such that the induced morphism  $f : Z \rightarrow Y$  is proper. Let  $Y_0 \subset Y$  denote the zero locus of  $W$ , and let  $f_0 : X_0 \rightarrow Y_0$  be the map induced by  $f$ .*

(i) *The derived push-forward functor*

$$Rf_{0*} : D^b(X_0, Z) \rightarrow D^b(Y_0, f(Z))$$

*induces a functor*

$$\overline{D_{\mathrm{Sg}}(X_0, Z)} \rightarrow \overline{D_{\mathrm{Sg}}(Y_0, f(Z))},$$

*and hence, by Proposition 5.6, a functor*

$$Rf_* : \overline{\mathrm{DMF}}(X, Z; f^*W) \rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{DMF}}(Y, f(Z); W). \tag{6.1}$$

(ii) *Assume that  $f$  is flat. Then for  $\bar{F} \in \overline{\mathrm{DMF}}(X, Z; f^*W)$  and  $\bar{E} \in \overline{\mathrm{DMF}}(Y, W)$  one has a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\bar{E}, Rf_*\bar{F}) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(f^*\bar{E}, \bar{F}).$$

(iii) *If we have a representable morphism  $f' : Y \rightarrow S$  to a smooth FCDRP-stack such that  $W$  is a pull-back of a potential  $W'$  on  $S$  and  $f(Z)$  is proper over  $S_0$  then we have an isomorphism of functors*

$$R(f' \circ f)_* \simeq Rf'_* \circ Rf_*$$

*from  $\overline{\mathrm{DMF}}(X, Z; f^*W)$  to  $\overline{\mathrm{DMF}}(S, W)$ .*

*Proof.* — (i) We have to check that if  $V$  is a perfect complex on  $X_0$  with cohomology supported on  $Z$  then  $Rf_{0*}(V)$  is a perfect complex on  $Y_0$ . By passing to a presentation of  $Y_0$  we can work with schemes. By [17, Cor. 5.8.1], it suffices to check that  $Rf_{0*}(V)$  has bounded coherent cohomology and is of finite tor-dimension. The former assertion follows from the fact

that  $Z$  is proper over  $Y$ , so by [15, Cor. 3.7.2], it is enough to show that the map  $g$  is of finite tor-dimension. Note that the assumption that  $f^*W$  is not a zero divisor implies that the Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_0 & \longrightarrow & X \\ f_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Y_0 & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

is tor-independent. Since  $f$  is of finite tor-dimension (as a map between smooth stacks), it follows that  $f_0$  is also of finite tor-dimension.

(ii) Since  $f$  is flat, the pull-back functor  $f^*$  is compatible with the equivalences of Theorem 3.14. Now the statement follows from the similar isomorphism for derived categories of sheaves (cf. [25, Lem. 1.2]).

(iii) This follows immediately from the similar property of the push-forward functors for derived categories of sheaves. □

*Remark 6.2.* — If in the situation of Proposition 6.1(i) the morphism  $f$  is proper then we do not need idempotent completions. Just using the equivalences of Theorem 3.14 gives a functor

$$Rf_* : \text{DMF}(X, f^*W) \rightarrow \text{DMF}(Y; W).$$

This functor sends matrix factorizations with support on  $Z$  to matrix factorizations with support on  $f(Z)$ , as one can see directly from Lemma 5.4(iii). Furthermore, if  $f$  is a finite morphism then the above functor is induced by the composition

$$f_* : \text{HMF}(X, f^*W) \rightarrow \text{HMF}^c(Y, W) \xrightarrow{\text{c}^c} \mathcal{D}_{\text{Sg}}(Y_0) \simeq \text{DMF}(Y, W),$$

where the first arrow sends a matrix factorization  $(P_\bullet, \delta)$  of  $f^*W$  to the coherent matrix factorization  $(f_*P_\bullet, f_*\delta) \in \text{HMF}^c(Y, W)$  (see Definition 3.21).

Note that now we have two different notions of the push-forward functors: one for quasi-matrix factorizations (see Definition 4.8) and another given by the above proposition. The next result shows that they are compatible.

**PROPOSITION 6.3.** — *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be smooth FCDRP-stacks,  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  a smooth affine morphism with geometrically integral fibers,  $W \in H^0(Y, L)$  a non-zero-divisor, and  $Z \subset X_0$  a closed substack of the*



zero locus of  $W$ . Then the following diagram of functors is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \overline{\mathrm{DMF}}(X, Z; f^*W) & \xrightarrow{f_*} & \overline{\mathrm{DMF}}(Y, W) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \mathrm{DMF}^\infty(X, f^*W) & \xrightarrow{f_*} & \mathrm{DMF}^\infty(Y, W)
 \end{array} \tag{6.2}$$

A version of this result in the special case when  $f : \mathbb{A}^{m+n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$  and  $W \in k[\mathbb{A}^n]$  has the only singularity at the origin can be found in [22, Prop. 13] and [5, Sec. 4.2].

*Proof.* — Let  $f_0 : X_0 \rightarrow Y_0$  be the morphism induced by  $f$ . We have a commutative diagram of push-forward functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \overline{D_{\mathrm{Sg}}(X_0, Z)} & \xrightarrow{f_{0*}} & \overline{D_{\mathrm{Sg}}(Y_0)} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 D'_{\mathrm{Sg}}(X_0) & \xrightarrow{f_{0*}} & D'_{\mathrm{Sg}}(Y_0)
 \end{array} \tag{6.3}$$

Furthermore, each of the arrows in the diagram (6.2) is compatible with the corresponding arrow in the diagram (6.3) via the appropriate functors  $\mathcal{C}$  or  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ . Now the assertion follows from Proposition 4.7.  $\square$

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] D. ABRAMOVICH, A. CORTI & A. VISTOLI, “Twisted bundles and admissible covers”, *Comm. Algebra* **31** (2003), no. 8, p. 3547-3648.
- [2] D. ARINKIN & R. BEZRUKAVNIKOV, “Perverse coherent sheaves”, *Moscow Math. J.* **10** (2010), no. 1, p. 3-29.
- [3] H. BASS, “Big projective modules are free”, *Illinois J. Math.* **7** (1963), p. 24-31.
- [4] I. BRUNNER, M. HERBST, W. LERCHE & J. WALCHER, *Matrix Factorizations And Mirror Symmetry: The Cubic Curve*, J. High Energy Phys., no. 11, University of Chicago Press, 2006, 006.
- [5] I. BRUNNER & D. ROGGENKAMP, *B-type defects in Landau-Ginzburg models*, J. High Energy Phys., no. 8, University of Chicago Press, 2007, 093.
- [6] R. BUCHWEITZ, G. GREUEL & F. SCHREYER, “Cohen-Macaulay modules on hypersurface singularities II”, *Invent. Math.* **88** (1987), p. 165-182.
- [7] M. BÖKSTEDT & A. NEEMAN, “Homotopy limits in triangulated categories”, *Compositio Math.* **86** (1993), no. 2, p. 209-234.
- [8] X.-W. CHEN, “Unifying two results of D. Orlov”, *Abhandlungen Mathem. Seminar Univ. Hamburg* **80** (2010), p. 207-212.
- [9] A. I. EFIMOV, “Homological mirror symmetry for curves of higher genus”, *Adv. Math.* **230** (2012), no. 2, p. 493-530.

- [10] D. EISENBUD, “Homological algebra on a complete intersection, with an application to group representations”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **260** (1980), p. 35-64.
- [11] H. FAN, T. JARVIS & Y. RUAN, “The Witten equation and its virtual fundamental cycle”, preprint math.AG/0712.4025.
- [12] ———, “The Witten equation, mirror symmetry and quantum singularity theory”, *Ann. Math.*, to appear [math.AG/0712.4021].
- [13] L. GRUSON & M. RAYNAUD, “Critères de platitude et de projectivité. Techniques de "platification" d'un module”, *Invent. Math.* **13** (1971), p. 1-89.
- [14] M. HERBST, K. HORI & D. PAGE, “Phases Of  $N = 2$  Theories In  $1 + 1$  Dimensions With Boundary”, preprint arXiv:0803.2045.
- [15] L. ILLUSIE, “Conditions de finitude relative, SGA6”, in *Théorie des intersections et théorème de Riemann-Roch, SGA6*, exp. III, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 225, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1971.
- [16] ———, “Existence de résolutions globales”, in *Théorie des intersections et théorème de Riemann-Roch, SGA6*, exp. II, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 225, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1971.
- [17] ———, “Généralités sur les conditions de finitude dans les catégories dérivées”, in *Théorie des intersections et théorème de Riemann-Roch, SGA6*, exp. I, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 225, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1971.
- [18] H. KAJIURA, K. SAITO & A. TAKAHASHI, “Matrix factorization and representations of quivers. II. Type ADE case”, *Adv. Math.* **211** (2007), no. 1, p. 327-362.
- [19] A. KAPUSTIN & Y. LI, “D-branes in Landau-Ginzburg models and algebraic geometry”, *J. High Energy Phys.* (2003), no. 12, 005, 44 pp.
- [20] ———, “Topological correlators in Landau-Ginzburg models with boundaries”, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **7** (2003), no. 4, p. 727-749.
- [21] L. KATZARKOV, M. KONTSEVICH & T. PANTEV, “Hodge theoretic aspects of mirror symmetry”, in *From Hodge theory to integrability and TQFT  $tt^*$ -geometry*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008, p. 87-174.
- [22] M. KHOVANOV & L. ROZANSKY, “Matrix factorizations and Link homology”, *Fund. Math.* **199** (2008), p. 1-91.
- [23] M. KONTSEVICH, “Hodge structures in non-commutative geometry”, in *Non-commutative geometry in mathematics and physics*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008, p. 1-21.
- [24] A. KRESCH, “On the geometry of Deligne-Mumford stacks”, in *Algebraic geometry (Seattle 2005). Part I*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009, p. 259-271.
- [25] D. ORLOV, “Triangulated categories of singularities and D-branes in Landau-Ginzburg models”, *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* (2004), no. 3 (246), p. 227-248.
- [26] ———, “Derived categories of coherent sheaves and triangulated categories of singularities”, in *Algebra, arithmetic, and geometry: in honor of Yu. I. Manin*, vol. II, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2009, p. 503-531.
- [27] ———, “Formal completions and idempotent completions of triangulated categories of singularities”, *Adv. Math.* **226** (2011), no. 1, p. 206-217.
- [28] A. POLISHCHUK & A. VAINTROB, “Matrix factorizations and cohomological field theories”, preprint math.AG/1105.2903.
- [29] ———, “Chern characters and Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch formula for matrix factorizations”, *Duke Math. J.* **161** (2012), no. 10, p. 1863-1926.
- [30] L. POSITSIELSKI, *Two kinds of derived categories, Koszul duality, and comodule-contramodule correspondence*, vol. 212, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., no. 996, 2011, 133 pp., [math.AG/0905.2621].
- [31] A. QUINTERO VÉLEZ, “McKay correspondence for Landau-Ginzburg models”, *Commun. Number Theory Phys.* **3** (2009), no. 1, p. 173-208.

- [32] M. ROMAGNY, “Group actions on stacks and applications”, *Michigan Math. J.* **53** (2005), p. 209-236.
- [33] E. SEGAL, “Equivalences between GIT quotients of Landau-Ginzburg B-models”, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **304** (2011), p. 411-432.
- [34] P. SEIDEL, “Homological mirror symmetry for the genus two curve”, *preprint J. Algebraic Geom.* **20** (2011), no. 4, p. 727-769.
- [35] R. W. THOMASON, “Algebraic  $K$ -theory of group scheme actions”, in *Algebraic topology and algebraic K-theory (Princeton, N.J., 1983)*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1987, p. 539-563.
- [36] J. WALCHER, “Stability of Landau-Ginzburg branes”, *J. Math. Phys.* **46** (2005), no. 8, 082305, 29 pp.
- [37] Y. YOSHINO, *Cohen-Macaulay modules over Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.

Manuscrit reçu le 20 novembre 2010,  
accepté le 22 novembre 2012.

Alexander POLISHCHUK & Arkady VAINTROB  
Department of Mathematics,  
University of Oregon,  
Eugene, OR 97405  
apolish@uoregon.edu  
vaintrob@uoregon.edu