

# **ANNALES**

### DE

# L'INSTITUT FOURIER

Damien ROY

Rational approximation to real points on conics

Tome 63, nº 6 (2013), p. 2331-2348.

<a href="http://aif.cedram.org/item?id=AIF\_2013\_\_63\_6\_2331\_0">http://aif.cedram.org/item?id=AIF\_2013\_\_63\_6\_2331\_0</a>

© Association des Annales de l'institut Fourier, 2013, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Annales de l'institut Fourier » (http://aif.cedram.org/), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://aif.cedram.org/legal/). Toute reproduction en tout ou partie de cet article sous quelque forme que ce soit pour tout usage autre que l'utilisation à fin strictement personnelle du copiste est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

## cedram

Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du

Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques

http://www.cedram.org/

### RATIONAL APPROXIMATION TO REAL POINTS ON CONICS

by Damien ROY (\*)

ABSTRACT. — A point  $(\xi_1, \xi_2)$  with coordinates in a subfield of  $\mathbb R$  of transcendence degree one over  $\mathbb Q$ , with  $1, \xi_1, \xi_2$  linearly independent over  $\mathbb Q$ , may have a uniform exponent of approximation by elements of  $\mathbb Q^2$  that is strictly larger than the lower bound 1/2 given by Dirichlet's box principle. This appeared as a surprise, in connection to work of Davenport and Schmidt, for points of the parabola  $\{(\xi,\xi^2);\,\xi\in\mathbb R\}$ . The goal of this paper is to show that this phenomenon extends to all real conics defined over  $\mathbb Q$ , and that the largest exponent of approximation achieved by points of these curves satisfying the above condition of linear independence is always the same, independently of the curve, namely  $1/\gamma\cong 0.618$  where  $\gamma$  denotes the golden ratio.

RÉSUMÉ. — Un point  $(\xi_1,\xi_2)$  à coordonnées dans un sous-corps de  $\mathbb R$  de degré de transcendance un sur  $\mathbb Q$ , avec  $1,\xi_1,\xi_2$  linéairement indépendants sur  $\mathbb Q$ , peut admettre un exposant d'approximation uniforme par les éléments de  $\mathbb Q^2$  qui soit strictement plus grand que la borne inférieure 1/2 que garantit le principe des tiroirs de Dirichlet. Ce fait inattendu est apparu, en lien avec des travaux de Davenport et Schmidt, pour les points de la parabole  $\{(\xi,\xi^2)\,;\,\xi\in\mathbb R\}$ . Le but de cet article est de montrer que ce phénomène s'étend à toutes les coniques réelles définies sur  $\mathbb Q$  et que le plus grand exposant d'approximation atteint par les points de ces courbes, sujets à la condition d'indépendance linéaire mentionnée plus tôt, est toujours le même, indépendamment de la courbe, à savoir  $1/\gamma\cong 0.618$  où  $\gamma$  désigne le nombre d'or.

#### 1. Introduction

Let n be a positive integer and let  $\underline{\xi} = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . The uniform exponent of approximation to  $\underline{\xi}$  by rational points, denoted  $\lambda(\underline{\xi})$ , is defined as the supremum of all real numbers  $\lambda$  for which the system of inequalities

$$(1.1) |x_0| \leqslant X, \quad \max_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} |x_0 \xi_i - x_i| \leqslant X^{-\lambda}$$

Keywords: algebraic curves, conics, real points, approximation by rational points, exponent of approximation, simultaneous approximation.

Math. classification: 11J13, 14H50.

<sup>(\*)</sup> Research partially supported by NSERC.

admits a non-zero solution  $\mathbf{x}=(x_0,x_1,\ldots,x_n)\in\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$  for each sufficiently large real number X>1. It is one of the classical ways of measuring how well  $\underline{\xi}$  can be approximated by elements of  $\mathbb{Q}^n$ , because each solution of (1.1) with  $x_0\neq 0$  provides a rational point  $\mathbf{r}=(x_1/x_0,\ldots,x_n/x_0)$  with denominator dividing  $x_0$  such that  $\|\underline{\xi}-\mathbf{r}\| \leq |x_0|^{-\lambda-1}$ , where the symbol  $\|\ \|$  stands for the maximum norm. We call it a "uniform exponent" following the terminology of Y. Bugeaud and M. Laurent in  $[2,\S 1]$  because we require a solution of (1.1) for each sufficiently large X (but note that our notation is slightly different as they denote it  $\hat{\lambda}(\underline{\xi})$ ). This exponent depends only on the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector subspace of  $\mathbb{R}$  spanned by  $1,\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_n$  and so, by a result of Dirichlet [12], Chapter II, Theorem 1A], it satisfies  $\lambda(\underline{\xi}) \geqslant 1/(s-1)$  where  $s\geqslant 1$  denotes the dimension of that subspace. In particular we have  $\lambda(\underline{\xi})=\infty$  when  $\underline{\xi}\in\mathbb{Q}^n$ , while it is easily shown that  $\lambda(\underline{\xi})\leqslant 1$  when  $\underline{\xi}\notin\mathbb{Q}^n$  (see for example [2], Prop. 2.1]).

In their seminal work [3], H. Davenport and W. M. Schmidt determine an upper bound  $\lambda_n$ , depending only on n, for  $\lambda(\xi, \xi^2, \dots, \xi^n)$  where  $\xi$  runs through all real numbers such that  $1, \xi, \dots, \xi^n$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , a condition which amounts to asking that  $\xi$  is not algebraic over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of degree n or less. Using geometry of numbers, they deduce from this a result of approximation to such  $\xi$  by algebraic integers of degree at most n+1. In particular they prove that  $\lambda(\xi,\xi^2) \leqslant \lambda_2 := 1/\gamma \cong 0.618$  for each non-quadratic irrational real number  $\xi$ , where  $\gamma = (1+\sqrt{5})/2$  denotes the golden ratio. It is shown in [7, 9] that this upper bound is best possible and, in [8], that the corresponding result of approximation by algebraic integers of degree at most 3 is also best possible. For  $n \geqslant 3$ , no optimal value is known for  $\lambda_n$ . At present the best known upper bounds are  $\lambda_3 \leqslant (1+2\gamma-\sqrt{1+4\gamma^2})/2\cong 0.4245$  (see [11]) and  $\lambda_n \leqslant 1/\lceil n/2 \rceil$  for  $n \geqslant 4$  (see [5]).

As a matter of approaching this problem from a different angle, we propose to extend it to the following setting.

DEFINITION 1.1. — Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a closed algebraic subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of dimension 1 defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and not contained in any proper affine linear subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then, we put  $\lambda(\mathcal{C}) = \sup\{\lambda(\underline{\xi}); \underline{\xi} \in \mathcal{C}^{li}\}$  where  $\mathcal{C}^{li}$  denotes the set of points  $\underline{\xi} = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $1, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Equivalently, such a curve may be described as the Zariski closure over  $\mathbb{Q}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of a point  $\underline{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  whose coordinates  $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n$  together with 1 are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and generate over  $\mathbb{Q}$  a subfield of  $\mathbb{R}$ 

of transcendence degree one. In particular  $\mathcal{C}^{li}$  is not empty as it contains that point. From the point of view of metrical number theory the situation is simple since, for the relative Lebesgue measure, almost all points  $\underline{\xi}$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  have  $\lambda(\underline{\xi}) = 1/n$  (see [4]). Of special interest is the curve  $\mathcal{C}_n := \{(\xi, \xi^2, \dots, \xi^n); \xi \in \mathbb{R}\}$  for any  $n \geq 2$ . As mentioned above, we have  $\lambda(\mathcal{C}_2) = 1/\gamma$  and the problem remains to compute  $\lambda(\mathcal{C}_n)$  for  $n \geq 3$ . In this paper, we look at the case of conics in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and prove the following result.

Theorem 1.2. — Let  $\mathcal C$  be a closed algebraic subset of  $\mathbb R^2$  of dimension 1 and degree 2. Suppose that  $\mathcal C$  is defined over  $\mathbb Q$  and irreducible over  $\mathbb Q$ . Then, we have  $\lambda(\mathcal C)=1/\gamma$ . Moreover, the set of points  $\underline \xi\in\mathcal C^{li}$  with  $\lambda(\underline \xi)=1/\gamma$  is countably infinite.

Here the degree of  $\mathcal{C}$  simply refers to the degree of the irreducible polynomial of  $\mathbb{Q}[x_1,x_2]$  defining it. The curve  $\mathcal{C}_2$  is the parabola of equation  $x_2-x_1^2=0$  but, as we will see, other curves are easier to deal with, for example the curve defined by  $x_1^2-2=0$  which consists of the pair of vertical lines  $\{\pm\sqrt{2}\}\times\mathbb{R}$ . Note that, for the latter curve, Theorem 1.2 simply says that any  $\xi\in\mathbb{R}\setminus\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  has  $\lambda(\sqrt{2},\xi)\leqslant 1/\gamma$ , with equality defining a denumerable subset of  $\mathbb{R}\setminus\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ . Our main result in the next section provides a slightly finer result.

In [6], it is shown that the cubic  $\mathcal{C}$  defined by  $x_2 - x_1^3 = 0$  has  $\lambda(\mathcal{C}) \leq 2(9 + \sqrt{11})/35 \cong 0.7038$ , but the case of the line  $\sqrt[3]{2} \times \mathbb{R}$  should be simpler to solve and could give ideas to determine the precise value of  $\lambda(\mathcal{C})$  for that cubic  $\mathcal{C}$ . Similarly, looking at lines  $(\omega_2, \ldots, \omega_n) \times \mathbb{R}$  where  $(1, \omega_2, \ldots, \omega_n)$  is a basis over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of a number field of degree n could provide new ideas to compute  $\lambda(\mathcal{C}_n)$ .

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we state a slightly stronger result in projective setting and note that, for curves  $\mathcal{C}$  which are irreducible over  $\mathbb{R}$  and contain at least one rational point, the proof simply reduces to the known case of the parabola  $\mathcal{C}_2$ . In Section 3, we prove the inequality  $\lambda(\mathcal{C}) \leq 1/\gamma$  for the remaining curves  $\mathcal{C}$  by an adaptation of the original argument of Davenport and Schmidt in [3, § 3]. However, the fact that these curves have at most one rational point brings a notable simplification in the proof. In Section 4, we adapt the arguments of [9, § 5] to establish a certain rigidity property for the sequence of minimal points attached to points  $\underline{\xi} \in \mathcal{C}^{li}$  with  $\lambda(\underline{\xi}) = 1/\gamma$ , and deduce from it that the set of these points  $\underline{\xi}$  is at most countable. We conclude in Section 5, with the most delicate part, namely the existence of infinitely many points  $\underline{\xi} \in \mathcal{C}^{li}$  having exponent  $1/\gamma$ .

#### 2. The main result in projective framework

For each  $n \ge 2$ , we endow  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the maximum norm, and identify its exterior square  $\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $\mathbb{R}^{n(n-1)/2}$  via an ordering of the Plücker coordinates. In particular, when n = 3, we define the wedge product of two vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  as their usual cross-product. We first introduce finer notions of Diophantine approximation in the projective context.

Let  $\Xi \in \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{R})$  and let  $\underline{\Xi} = (\xi_0, \dots, \xi_n)$  be a representative of  $\Xi$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . We say that a real number  $\lambda \geqslant 0$  is an exponent of approximation to  $\Xi$  if there exists a constant  $c = c_1(\underline{\Xi})$  such that the conditions

$$\|\mathbf{x}\| \leqslant X$$
 and  $\|\mathbf{x} \wedge \underline{\Xi}\| \leqslant cX^{-\lambda}$ 

admit a non-zero solution  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$  for each sufficiently large real number X. We say that  $\lambda$  is a *strict* exponent of approximation to  $\Xi$  if moreover there exists a constant  $c = c_2(\Xi) > 0$  such that the same conditions admit no non-zero solution  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$  for arbitrarily large values of X. Both properties are independent of the choice of the representative  $\Xi$ , and we define  $\lambda(\Xi)$  as the supremum of all exponents of approximations to  $\Xi$ . Clearly, when  $\lambda$  is a strict exponent of approximation to  $\Xi$ , we have  $\lambda(\Xi) = \lambda$ .

Let  $T: \mathbb{Q}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{Q}^{n+1}$  be an invertible  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear map. It extends uniquely to a  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear automorphism of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  and then to an automorphism of  $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{R})$ . This defines an action of  $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{Q})$  on  $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{R})$ . Moreover, upon choosing an integer  $m \geq 1$  such that  $mT(\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ , any non-zero point  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$  gives rise to a non-zero point  $\mathbf{y} = mT(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$  satisfying

$$\|\mathbf{y}\| \leqslant c_T \|\mathbf{x}\|$$
 and  $\|\mathbf{y} \wedge T(\underline{\Xi})\| \leqslant c_T \|\mathbf{x} \wedge \Xi\|$ 

for a constant  $c_T > 0$  depending only on T. Combined with the above definitions, this yields the following invariance property.

LEMMA 2.1. — Let  $\Xi \in \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{R})$  and  $T \in GL_{n+1}(\mathbb{Q})$ . Then we have  $\lambda(\Xi) = \lambda(T(\Xi))$ . More precisely a real number  $\lambda \geq 0$  is an exponent of approximation to  $\Xi$ , respectively a strict exponent of approximation to  $\Xi$ , if and only if it is an exponent of approximation to  $T(\Xi)$ , respectively a strict exponent of approximation to  $T(\Xi)$ .

We also have a natural embedding of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  into  $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{R})$ , sending a point  $\underline{\xi} = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$  to  $(1 : \underline{\xi}) := (1 : \xi_1 : \dots : \xi_n)$ . Identifying  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with its image in  $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{R})$ , the above notions of exponent of approximation and strict exponent of approximation carry back to points of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The next lemma, whose proof is left to the reader, shows how they translate in this context and shows moreover that  $\lambda(\underline{\xi}) = \lambda(1 : \underline{\xi})$ , thus leaving no ambiguity as to the value of  $\lambda(\xi)$ .

LEMMA 2.2. — Let  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

(i) A real number  $\lambda \ge 0$  is an exponent of approximation to  $(1 : \underline{\xi})$  if and only if there exists a constant  $c = c_1(\xi)$  such that the conditions

$$|x_0| \leqslant X$$
 and  $\max_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} |x_0 \xi_i - x_i| \leqslant c X^{-\lambda}$ 

admit a non-zero solution  $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$  for each sufficiently large X.

(ii) It is a strict exponent of approximation to  $(1:\underline{\xi})$  if and only if there also exists a constant  $c=c_2(\underline{\xi})>0$  such that the above conditions admit no non-zero integer solution for arbitrarily large values of X.

Finally, we have  $\lambda(\xi) = \lambda(1:\xi)$ .

Our main result is the following strengthening of Theorem 1.2.

THEOREM 2.3. — Let  $\varphi$  be a homogeneous polynomial of degree 2 in  $\mathbb{Q}[x_0, x_1, x_2]$ . Suppose that  $\varphi$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and that its set of zeros  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{R})$  consists of at least two points.

- (i) For each point  $\Xi \in \mathcal{C}$  having  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly independent homogeneous coordinates, the number  $1/\gamma$  is at best a strict exponent of approximation to  $\Xi$ : if it is an exponent of approximation to  $\Xi$ , it is a strict one.
- (ii) There are infinitely many points  $\Xi \in \mathcal{C}$  which have  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly independent homogeneous coordinates and for which  $1/\gamma$  is an exponent of approximation.
- (iii) There exists a positive  $\epsilon$ , independent of  $\varphi$ , such that the set of points  $\Xi \in \mathcal{C}$  with  $\lambda(\Xi) > 1/\gamma \epsilon$  is countable.

To show that this implies Theorem 1.2, let  $\mathcal C$  be as in latter statement. Then, the Zariski closure  $\bar{\mathcal C}$  of  $\mathcal C$  in  $\mathbb P^2(\mathbb R)$  is infinite and is the zero set of an irreducible homogeneous polynomial of degree 2 in  $\mathbb Q[x_0,x_1,x_2]$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal C^{li}$  identifies with the set of elements of  $\bar{\mathcal C}$  with  $\mathbb Q$ -linearly independent homogeneous coordinates. So, if we admit the above theorem, then, in view of Lemma 2.2, Part (i) implies that  $\lambda(\mathcal C) \leqslant 1/\gamma$ , Part (ii) shows that there are infinitely many  $\underline{\xi} \in \mathcal C^{li}$  with  $\lambda(\underline{\xi}) = 1/\gamma$ , and Part (iii) shows that the set of points  $\underline{\xi} \in \mathcal C$  with  $\lambda(\underline{\xi}) > 1/\gamma - \epsilon$  is countable. Altogether, this proves Theorem 1.2.

The proof of Part (iii) in Section 4 will show that one can take  $\epsilon = 0.005$  but the optimal value for  $\epsilon$  is probably much larger. In connection to (iii), we also note that the set of elements of  $\mathcal{C}$  with  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly dependent homogeneous coordinates is at most countable because each such point belongs to a proper linear subspace of  $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{R})$  defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , there are

countably many such subspaces, and each of them meets  $\mathcal{C}$  in at most two points. So, in order to prove (iii), we may restrict to the points of  $\mathcal{C}$  with  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly independent homogeneous coordinates.

Lemma 2.1 implies that, if Theorem 2.3 holds true for a form  $\varphi$ , then it also holds for  $\mu(\varphi \circ T)$  for any  $T \in GL_3(\mathbb{Q})$  and any  $\mu \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ . Thus the next lemma reduces the proof of the theorem to forms of special types.

LEMMA 2.4. — Let  $\varphi$  be an irreducible homogeneous polynomial of  $\mathbb{Q}[x_0, x_1, x_2]$  of degree 2 which admits at least two zeros in  $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

- (i) If  $\varphi$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{R}$  and admits at least one zero in  $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{Q})$ , then there exist  $\mu \in \mathbb{Q}^*$  and  $T \in GL_3(\mathbb{Q})$  such that  $\mu(\varphi \circ T)(x_0, x_1, x_2) = x_0x_2 x_1^2$ .
- (ii) If  $\varphi$  is not irreducible over  $\mathbb{R}$ , then it admits exactly one zero in  $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{Q})$  and there exist  $\mu \in \mathbb{Q}^*$  and  $T \in GL_3(\mathbb{Q})$  such that we have  $\mu(\varphi \circ T)(x_0, x_1, x_2) = x_0^2 bx_1^2$  for some square-free integer b > 1.
- (iii) If  $\varphi$  has no zero in  $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{Q})$ , then there exist  $\mu \in \mathbb{Q}^*$  and  $T \in GL_3(\mathbb{Q})$  such that  $\mu(\varphi \circ T)(x_0, x_1, x_2) = x_0^2 bx_1^2 cx_2^2$  for some square-free integers b > 1 and c > 1.

*Proof.* — We view  $(\mathbb{Q}^3, \varphi)$  as a quadratic space. We denote by K its kernel, and by  $\Phi$  the unique symmetric bilinear form such that  $\Phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) = 2\varphi(\mathbf{x})$ .

Suppose first that  $K \neq \{0\}$ . Then, by a change of variables over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , we can bring  $\varphi$  to a diagonal form  $rx_0^2 + sx_1^2$  with  $r,s \in \mathbb{Q}$ . We have  $rs \neq 0$  since  $\varphi$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and furthermore rs < 0 since otherwise the point (0:0:1) would be the only zero of  $\varphi$  in  $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Thus,  $\varphi$  is not irreducible over  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} K = 1$ .

In the case (i), the above observation shows that  $\mathbb{Q}^3$  is non-degenerate. Then, since  $\varphi$  has a zero in  $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{Q})$ , the space  $\mathbb{Q}^3$  decomposes as the orthogonal direct sum of a hyperbolic plane H and a non-degenerate line P. We choose bases  $\{\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_2\}$  for H and  $\{\mathbf{v}_1\}$  for P such that  $\varphi(\mathbf{v}_0) = \varphi(\mathbf{v}_2) = 0$  and  $\Phi(\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_2) = -\varphi(\mathbf{v}_1)$ . Then  $\mu = -1/\varphi(\mathbf{v}_1)$  and the linear map  $T: \mathbb{Q}^3 \to \mathbb{Q}^3$  sending the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{Q}^3$  to  $(\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2)$  have the property stated in (i).

In the case (iii), we have  $K = \{0\}$  and so we can write  $\mathbb{Q}^3$  as an orthogonal direct sum of one-dimensional non-degenerate subspaces  $P_0$ ,  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ . We order them so that the non-zero values of  $\varphi$  on  $P_0$  have opposite sign to those on  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ . This is possible since  $\varphi$  is indefinite. Let  $\{\mathbf{v}_0\}$  be a basis of  $P_0$  and put  $\mu = 1/\varphi(\mathbf{v}_0)$ . For i = 1, 2, we can choose a basis  $\{\mathbf{v}_i\}$  of  $P_i$  such that  $\mu\varphi(\mathbf{v}_i)$  is a square-free integer. Then  $\mu$  and the linear map

 $T: \mathbb{Q}^3 \to \mathbb{Q}^3$  sending the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{Q}^3$  to  $(\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2)$  have the property stated in (iii).

In the case (ii), the form  $\varphi$  factors over a quadratic extension  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$  as a product  $\varphi(\mathbf{x}) = \rho L(\mathbf{x})\bar{L}(\mathbf{x})$  where L is a linear form,  $\bar{L}$  its conjugate over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and  $\rho \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ . As  $\varphi$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , the linear forms L and  $\bar{L}$  are not multiple of each other. Moreover, for a point  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Q}^3$ , we have

$$\varphi(\mathbf{a}) = 0 \iff L(\mathbf{a}) = \bar{L}(\mathbf{a}) = 0 \iff (L + \bar{L})(\mathbf{a}) = \sqrt{d}(L - \bar{L})(\mathbf{a}) = 0.$$

Since  $L + \bar{L}$  and  $\sqrt{d}(L - \bar{L})$  are linearly independent forms with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}$ , this means that the zero set of  $\varphi$  in  $\mathbb{Q}^3$  is a line, and so  $\varphi$  has a unique zero in  $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{Q})$ . As  $\Phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \rho L(\mathbf{x})\bar{L}(\mathbf{y}) + \rho\bar{L}(\mathbf{x})L(\mathbf{y})$ , this line is contained in the kernel K of  $\varphi$ , and so is equal to K. By an earlier observation, this means that, by a change of variables over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , we may bring  $\varphi$  to a diagonal form  $rx_0^2 + sx_1^2$  with  $r, s \in \mathbb{Q}$ , rs < 0. We may further choose r and s so that -s/r is a square-free integer b > 0. Then, the same change of variables brings  $r^{-1}\varphi$  to  $x_0^2 - bx_1^2$ . Finally, we have  $b \neq 1$  since  $\varphi$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

#### 3. Proof of the first part of the main theorem

Let  $\varphi$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  be as in the statement of Theorem 2.3. Suppose first that  $\varphi$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{R}$  and that  $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset$ . Then, by Lemma 2.4, there exists  $T \in \mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{Q})$  such that  $T^{-1}(\mathcal{C})$  is the zero-set in  $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{R})$  of the polynomial  $x_0x_2 - x_1^2$ . Let  $\Xi$  be a point of  $\mathcal{C}$  with  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly independent homogeneous coordinates. Its image  $T^{-1}(\Xi)$  has homogeneous coordinates  $(1:\xi:\xi^2)$ , for some irrational non-quadratic  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then, by [3, Theorem 1a], the number  $1/\gamma$  is at best a strict exponent of approximation to  $T^{-1}(\Xi)$ , and, by Lemma 2.1, the same applies to  $\Xi$ . This proves Part (i) of the theorem in that case.

Otherwise, Lemma 2.4 shows that  $\varphi$  has at most one zero in  $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{Q})$ . Taking advantage of the major simplification that this entails, we proceed as Davenport and Schmidt in [3, §3]. We fix a point  $\Xi \in \mathcal{C}$  with  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly independent homogeneous coordinates  $(1:\xi_1:\xi_2)$  and an exponent of approximation  $\lambda \geqslant 1/2$  for  $\Xi$ . Then, by Lemma 2.2, there exists a constant c>0 such that, for each sufficiently large X, the system

(3.1) 
$$|x_0| \leqslant X$$
,  $L(\mathbf{x}) := \max\{|x_0\xi_1 - x_1|, |x_0\xi_2 - x_2|\} \leqslant cX^{-\lambda}$ 

has a non-zero solution  $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ . To prove Part (i) of Theorem 2.3, we simply need to show that  $\lambda \leq 1/\gamma$  and that, when  $\lambda = 1/\gamma$ , the constant c cannot be chosen arbitrarily small.

To this end, we first note that there exists a sequence of points  $(\mathbf{x}_i)_{i\geqslant 1}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^3$  such that

- (a) their first coordinates  $X_i$  form an increasing sequence  $1 \leq X_1 < X_2 < X_3 < \cdots$ ,
- (b) the quantities  $L_i := L(\mathbf{x}_i)$  form a decreasing sequence  $1 > L_1 > L_2 > L_3 > \cdots$ ,
- (c) for each  $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$  and each  $i \ge 1$  with  $|x_0| < X_{i+1}$ , we have  $L(\mathbf{x}) \ge L_i$ .

Then, each  $\mathbf{x}_i$  is a *primitive* point of  $\mathbb{Z}^3$ , by which we mean that the gcd of its coordinates is 1. Moreover, the hypothesis that (3.1) has a solution for each large enough X implies that

$$(3.2) L_i \leqslant cX_{i+1}^{-\lambda}$$

for each sufficiently large i, say for all  $i \ge i_0$ . Since  $\varphi$  has at most one zero in  $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{Q})$ , we may further assume that  $\varphi(\mathbf{x}_i) \ne 0$  for each  $i \ge i_0$ . Then, upon normalizing  $\varphi$  so that it has integer coefficients, we conclude that  $|\varphi(\mathbf{x}_i)| \ge 1$  for the same values of i.

Put  $\underline{\Xi} = (1, \xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathbb{Q}^3$ , and let  $\Phi$  denote the symmetric bilinear form for which  $\Phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) = 2\varphi(\mathbf{x})$ . Then, upon writing  $\mathbf{x}_i = X_i\underline{\Xi} + \Delta_i$  and noting that  $\varphi(\underline{\Xi}) = 0$ , we find

(3.3) 
$$\varphi(\mathbf{x}_i) = X_i \Phi(\Xi, \Delta_i) + \varphi(\Delta_i).$$

As  $\|\Delta_i\| = L_i$ , this yields  $|\varphi(\mathbf{x}_i)| \leq c_1 X_i L_i$  for a constant  $c_1 = c_1(\varphi, \underline{\Xi}) > 0$ . Using (3.2), we conclude that, for each  $i \geq i_0$ , we have  $1 \leq |\varphi(\mathbf{x}_i)| \leq cc_1 X_i X_{i+1}^{-\lambda}$ , and so

$$(3.4) X_{i+1}^{\lambda} \leqslant cc_1 X_i.$$

We also note that there are infinitely many values of  $i > i_0$  for which  $\mathbf{x}_{i-1}$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_i$  and  $\mathbf{x}_{i+1}$  are linearly independent. For otherwise, all points  $\mathbf{x}_i$  with i large enough would lie in a two dimensional subspace V of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . As the products  $X_i^{-1}\mathbf{x}_i$  converge to  $\Xi$  when  $i \to \infty$ , this would imply that  $\Xi \in V$ , in contradiction with the hypothesis that  $\Xi$  has  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly independent coordinates. Let I denote the set of these indices i.

For  $i \in I$ , the integer  $det(\mathbf{x}_{i-1}, \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_{i+1})$  is non-zero and [3, Lemma 4] yields

$$1 \leqslant |\det(\mathbf{x}_{i-1}, \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_{i+1})| \leqslant 6X_{i+1}L_iL_{i-1} \leqslant 6c^2X_{i+1}^{1-\lambda}X_i^{-\lambda},$$

thus  $X_i^{\lambda} \leqslant 6c^2 X_{i+1}^{1-\lambda}$ . Combining this with (3.4), we deduce that  $X_i^{\lambda^2} \leqslant (6c^2)^{\lambda} (cc_1 X_i)^{1-\lambda}$  for each  $i \in I$ , thus  $\lambda^2 \leqslant 1-\lambda$  and so  $\lambda \leqslant 1/\gamma$ . Moreover,

if  $\lambda = 1/\gamma$ , this yields  $1 \leq 6c^2(cc_1)^{1/\gamma}$ , and so c is bounded below by a positive constant depending only on  $\varphi$  and  $\Xi$ .

#### 4. Proof of the third part of the main theorem

The arguments in [9, §5] can easily be adapted to show that, for some  $\epsilon > 0$  there are at most countably many irrational non-quadratic  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $\lambda(1:\xi:\xi^2) \geqslant 1/\gamma - \epsilon$ . This is, originally, an observation of S. Fischler who, in unpublished work, also computed an explicit value for  $\epsilon$ . The question was later revisited by D. Zelo who showed in [13, Cor. 1.4.7] that one can take  $\epsilon = 3.48 \times 10^{-3}$ , and who also proved a p-adic analog of this result. More recently, the existence of such  $\epsilon$  was established by P. Bel, in a larger context where  $\mathbb Q$  is replaced by a number field K, and  $\mathbb R$  by a completion of K at some place [1, Theorem 1.3]. By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.4 (i), this proves Theorem 2.3 (iii) when  $\varphi$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb R$  and has a non-trivial zero in  $\mathbb P^2(\mathbb Q)$ .

We now consider the complementary case. Using the notation and results of the previous section, we need to show that, when  $\lambda$  is sufficiently close to  $1/\gamma$ , the point  $\Xi$  lies in a countable subset of  $\mathcal{C}$ . For this purpose, we may assume that  $\lambda > 1/2$ . The next two lemmas introduce a polynomial  $\psi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  with both algebraic and numerical properties analog to that of the operator  $[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]$  from  $[9, \S 2]$  (cf. Lemmas 2.1 and 3.1(iii) of [9]).

LEMMA 4.1. — For any  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ , we define

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) := \Phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})\mathbf{x} - \varphi(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^3.$$

Then,  $\mathbf{z} = \psi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  satisfies  $\varphi(\mathbf{z}) = \varphi(\mathbf{x})^2 \varphi(\mathbf{y})$  and  $\psi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = \varphi(\mathbf{x})^2 \mathbf{y}$ .

Proof. — For any  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ , we have  $\varphi(a\mathbf{x} + b\mathbf{y}) = a^2 \varphi(\mathbf{x}) + ab\Phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + b^2 \varphi(\mathbf{y})$ . Substituting  $a = \Phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  and  $b = -\varphi(\mathbf{x})$  in this equality yields  $\varphi(\mathbf{z}) = \varphi(\mathbf{x})^2 \varphi(\mathbf{y})$ . The formula for  $\psi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})$  follows from the linearity of  $\psi$  in its second argument.

LEMMA 4.2. — Let  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $i_0 \leqslant i < j$ . Then, the point  $\mathbf{w} = \psi(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$  is non-zero and satisfies

$$\|\mathbf{w}\| \ll X_i^2 L_j + X_j L_i^2$$
 and  $L(\mathbf{w}) \ll X_j L_i^2$ .

Here and for the rest of this section, the implied constants depend only on  $\underline{\Xi}$ ,  $\varphi$ ,  $\lambda$  and c.

*Proof.* — Since  $\mathbf{x}_i$  and  $\mathbf{x}_j$  are distinct primitive elements of  $\mathbb{Z}^3$ , they are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . As  $\varphi(\mathbf{x}_i) \neq 0$ , this implies that  $\mathbf{w} = \Phi(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) \mathbf{x}_i - \varphi(\mathbf{x}_i) \mathbf{x}_j \neq 0$ . By (3.3), we have

$$\varphi(\mathbf{x}_i) = X_i \Phi(\Xi, \Delta_i) + \mathcal{O}(L_i^2)$$

where  $\Delta_i = \mathbf{x}_i - X_i \underline{\Xi}$ . Similarly, for  $\Delta_j = \mathbf{x}_j - X_j \underline{\Xi}$ , we find

$$\Phi(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) = X_j \Phi(\underline{\Xi}, \Delta_i) + X_i \Phi(\underline{\Xi}, \Delta_j) + \Phi(\Delta_i, \Delta_j) = X_j \Phi(\underline{\Xi}, \Delta_i) + \mathcal{O}(X_i L_j).$$

Substituting these expressions in the formula for  $\mathbf{w} = \psi(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)$ , we obtain

$$\mathbf{w} = (X_j \Phi(\underline{\Xi}, \Delta_i) + \mathcal{O}(X_i L_j)) (X_i \underline{\Xi} + \Delta_i) - (X_i \Phi(\underline{\Xi}, \Delta_i) + \mathcal{O}(L_i^2)) (X_j \underline{\Xi} + \Delta_j) = \mathcal{O}(X_i^2 L_j + X_j L_i^2) \underline{\Xi} + \mathcal{O}(X_j L_i^2),$$

and the conclusion follows.

We will also need the following result, where the set I (defined in Section 3) is endowed with its natural ordering as a subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ .

П

LEMMA 4.3. — For each triple of consecutive elements i < j < k in I, the points  $\mathbf{x}_i$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_j$  and  $\mathbf{x}_k$  are linearly independent. We have

$$X_j^{\alpha} \ll X_i \ll X_j^{\theta}$$
 and  $L_i \ll X_j^{-\alpha}$  where  $\alpha = \frac{2\lambda - 1}{1 - \lambda}$  and  $\theta = \frac{1 - \lambda}{\lambda}$ .

Proof. — The fact that i and j are consecutive elements of I implies that  $\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_{i+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_j$  belong to the same 2-dimensional subspace  $V_i = \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_{i+1} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Similarly,  $\mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{x}_{j+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_k$  belong to  $V_j = \langle \mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{x}_{j+1} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Thus  $\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j$  and  $\mathbf{x}_k$  span  $V_i + V_j = \langle \mathbf{x}_{j-1}, \mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{x}_{j+1} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R}^3$ , and so they are linearly independent. Then, the normal vectors  $\mathbf{x}_i \wedge \mathbf{x}_{i+1}$  to  $V_i$  and  $\mathbf{x}_j \wedge \mathbf{x}_{j+1}$  to  $V_j$  are non-parallel and both orthogonal to  $\mathbf{x}_j$ . So, their cross-product is a non-zero multiple of  $\mathbf{x}_j$ . Since  $\mathbf{x}_j$  is a primitive point of  $\mathbb{Z}^3$  and since these normal vectors have integer coordinates, their cross-product is more precisely a non-zero integer multiple of  $\mathbf{x}_j$ . This yields

$$X_j \leq \|\mathbf{x}_j\| \ll \|\mathbf{x}_i \wedge \mathbf{x}_{i+1}\| \|\mathbf{x}_j \wedge \mathbf{x}_{j+1}\| \ll (X_{i+1}L_i)(X_{j+1}L_j)$$
  
 $\ll (X_{i+1}X_{j+1})^{1-\lambda}.$ 

If we use the trivial upper bounds  $X_{i+1} \leq X_j$  and  $X_{j+1} \leq X_k$  to eliminate  $X_{i+1}$  and  $X_{j+1}$  from the above estimate, we obtain  $X_j \ll X_k^{\theta}$ . If instead we use the upper bounds  $X_{i+1} \ll X_i^{1/\lambda}$  and  $X_{j+1} \ll X_j^{1/\lambda}$  coming from (3.4), we find instead  $X_j^{\alpha} \ll X_i$ . Finally, if we only eliminate  $X_{j+1}$  using  $X_{j+1} \ll X_j^{1/\lambda}$ , we obtain  $X_j^{\alpha/\lambda} \ll X_{i+1}$  and thus  $L_i \ll X_{i+1}^{-\lambda} \ll X_j^{-\alpha}$ .  $\square$ 

PROPOSITION 4.4. — Suppose that  $\lambda \ge 0.613$ . For each integer  $k \ge 1$ , put  $\mathbf{y}_k = \mathbf{x}_{i_k}$  where  $i_k$  is the k-th element of I. Then, for each sufficiently large k, the point  $\mathbf{y}_{k+1}$  is a rational multiple of  $\psi(\mathbf{y}_k, \mathbf{y}_{k-2})$ .

*Proof.* — For each integer  $k \ge 1$ , let  $Y_k$  denote the first coordinate of  $\mathbf{y}_k$ . Then, according to Lemma 4.3, we have  $Y_{k+1}^{\alpha} \ll Y_k \ll Y_{k+1}^{\theta}$  and  $L(\mathbf{y}_k) \ll Y_{k+1}^{-\alpha}$ , with  $\alpha \ge 0.5839$  and  $\theta \le 0.6314$ . Put  $\mathbf{w}_k = \psi(\mathbf{y}_k, \mathbf{y}_{k+1})$ . By Lemma 4.2, the point  $\mathbf{w}_k$  is non-zero, and the above estimates yield

$$L(\mathbf{w}_k) \ll Y_{k+1} L(\mathbf{y}_k)^2 \ll Y_{k+1}^{1-2\alpha}$$
 and  $\|\mathbf{w}_k\| \ll Y_k^2 L(\mathbf{y}_{k+1}) \ll Y_{k+2}^{-\alpha} Y_k^2$ 

(we dropped the term  $Y_{k+1}L(\mathbf{y}_k)^2$  in the upper bound for  $\|\mathbf{w}_k\|$  because it tends to 0 as  $k \to \infty$  while  $\|\mathbf{w}_k\| \ge 1$ ). Using these estimates, we find

$$|\det(\mathbf{y}_{k-2}, \mathbf{y}_{k-1}, \mathbf{w}_{k})| \ll ||\mathbf{w}_{k}|| L(\mathbf{y}_{k-2}) L(\mathbf{y}_{k-1}) + ||\mathbf{y}_{k-1}|| L(\mathbf{y}_{k-2}) L(\mathbf{w}_{k})$$

$$\ll Y_{k+2}^{-\alpha} Y_{k}^{2-\alpha^{2}-\alpha} + Y_{k-1}^{1-\alpha} Y_{k+1}^{1-2\alpha},$$

$$\ll Y_{k+2}^{-\alpha+\theta^{2}(2-\alpha^{2}-\alpha)} + Y_{k+1}^{\theta^{2}(1-\alpha)+1-2\alpha},$$

$$|\det(\mathbf{y}_{k-3}, \mathbf{y}_{k-2}, \mathbf{w}_{k})| \ll ||\mathbf{w}_{k}|| L(\mathbf{y}_{k-3}) L(\mathbf{y}_{k-2}) + ||\mathbf{y}_{k-2}|| L(\mathbf{y}_{k-3}) L(\mathbf{w}_{k})$$

$$\ll Y_{k+2}^{-\alpha} Y_{k}^{2-\alpha^{3}-\alpha^{2}} + Y_{k-2}^{1-\alpha} Y_{k+1}^{1-2\alpha},$$

$$\ll Y_{k+2}^{-\alpha+\theta^{2}(2-\alpha^{3}-\alpha^{2})} + Y_{k+1}^{\theta^{3}(1-\alpha)+1-2\alpha}.$$

Thus both determinants tend to 0 as  $k \to \infty$  and so, for each sufficiently large k, they vanish. Since, by Lemma 4.3,  $\mathbf{y}_{k-3}, \mathbf{y}_{k-2}, \mathbf{y}_{k-1}$  are linearly independent, this implies that, for those k, the point  $\mathbf{w}_k$  is a rational multiple of  $\mathbf{y}_{k-2}$ . As Lemma 4.1 gives  $\psi(\mathbf{y}_k, \mathbf{w}_k) = \varphi(\mathbf{y}_k)^2 \mathbf{y}_{k+1}$ , we conclude that  $\mathbf{y}_{k+1}$  is a rational multiple of  $\psi(\mathbf{y}_k, \mathbf{y}_{k-2})$  for each large enough k.  $\square$ 

We end this section with two corollaries. The first one gathers properties of the sequence  $(\mathbf{y}_k)_{k\geqslant 1}$  when  $\lambda=1/\gamma$ . The second completes the proof of Theorem 2.3(iii).

COROLLARY 4.5. — Suppose that  $\lambda = 1/\gamma$ . Then, the sequence  $(\mathbf{y}_k)_{k\geqslant 1}$  consists of primitive points of  $\mathbb{Z}^3$  such that  $\psi(\mathbf{y}_k, \mathbf{y}_{k-2})$  is an integer multiple of  $\mathbf{y}_{k+1}$  for each sufficiently large k. Any three consecutive points of this sequence are linearly independent and, for each  $k\geqslant 1$ , we have  $\|\mathbf{y}_{k+1}\| \approx \|\mathbf{y}_k\|^{\gamma}$ ,  $L(\mathbf{y}_k) \approx \|\mathbf{y}_k\|^{-1}$  and  $|\varphi(\mathbf{y}_k)| \approx 1$ .

*Proof.* — The first assertion simply adds a precision on Proposition 4.4 based on the fact that  $\mathbf{y}_{k+1}$  is a primitive integer point. Aside from the estimate for  $|\varphi(\mathbf{y}_k)|$ , the second assertion is a direct consequence of Lemma 4.3 since, for  $\lambda = 1/\gamma$ , we have  $\alpha = \theta = 1/\gamma$ . To complete the proof, we use

the estimate  $|\varphi(\mathbf{x}_i)| \ll X_i L_i$  established in the previous section as a consequence of (3.3). Since  $\varphi(\mathbf{y}_k)$  is a non-zero integer, it yields  $1 \leq |\varphi(\mathbf{y}_k)| \ll 1$ .

COROLLARY 4.6. — Suppose that  $\lambda \geqslant 0.613$ . Then,  $\Xi$  belongs to a countable subset of C.

*Proof.* — Since each  $\mathbf{y}_k$  is a primitive point of  $\mathbb{Z}^3$  with positive first coordinate, the proposition shows that the sequence  $(\mathbf{y}_k)_{k\geqslant 1}$  is uniquely determined by its first terms. As there are countably many finite sequences of elements of  $\mathbb{Z}^3$  and as the image of  $(\mathbf{y}_k)_{k\geqslant 1}$  in  $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{R})$  converges to  $\Xi$ , the point  $\Xi$  belongs to a countable subset of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

#### 5. Proof of the second part of the main theorem

By [9, Theorem 1.1], there exist countably many irrational non-quadratic real numbers  $\xi$  for which  $1/\gamma$  is an exponent of approximation to  $(1:\xi:\xi^2)$ . Thus Part (ii) of Theorem 2.3 holds for  $\varphi = x_0x_2 - x_1^2$  and consequently, by Lemmas 2.1 and 2.4, it holds for any quadratic form  $\varphi \in \mathbb{Q}[x_0, x_1, x_2]$  which is irreducible over  $\mathbb{R}$  and admits at least one zero in  $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{Q})$ . These lemmas also show that, in order to complete the proof of Theorem 2.3(ii), we may restrict to a diagonal form  $\varphi = x_0^2 - bx_1^2 - cx_2^2$  where b > 1 is a square free integer and where c is either 0 or a square-free integer with c > 1. In fact, this even covers the case of  $\varphi = x_0x_2 - x_1^2$  since  $(x_0 + x_1 + x_2)(x_0 - x_1 - x_2) - (x_1 - x_2)^2 = x_0^2 - 2x_1^2 - 2x_2^2$ .

We first establish four lemmas which apply to any quadratic form  $\varphi \in \mathbb{Q}[x_0, x_1, x_2]$  and its associated symmetric bilinear form  $\Phi$  with  $\Phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) = 2\varphi(\mathbf{x})$ . Our first goal is to construct sequences  $(\mathbf{y}_i)$  as in Corollary 4.5. On the algebraic side, we first make the following observation.

LEMMA 5.1. — Suppose that  $\mathbf{y}_{-1}, \mathbf{y}_0, \mathbf{y}_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^3$  satisfy  $\varphi(\mathbf{y}_i) = 1$  for i = -1, 0, 1. We extend this triple to a sequence  $(\mathbf{y}_i)_{i \geqslant -1}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^3$  by defining recursively  $\mathbf{y}_{i+1} = \psi(\mathbf{y}_i, \mathbf{y}_{i-2})$  for each  $i \geqslant 1$ . We also define  $t_i = \Phi(\mathbf{y}_{i+1}, \mathbf{y}_i) \in \mathbb{Z}$  for each  $i \geqslant -1$ . Then, for any integer  $i \geqslant 1$ , we have

- (a)  $\varphi(\mathbf{y}_{i-2}) = 1$ ,
- (b)  $\det(\mathbf{y}_i, \mathbf{y}_{i-1}, \mathbf{y}_{i-2}) = (-1)^{i-1} \det(\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_0, \mathbf{y}_{-1}),$
- (c)  $t_i = \Phi(\mathbf{y}_{i+1}, \mathbf{y}_i) = \Phi(\mathbf{y}_i, \mathbf{y}_{i-2}),$
- (d)  $\mathbf{y}_{i+1} = t_i \mathbf{y}_i \mathbf{y}_{i-2},$
- (e)  $t_{i+1} = t_i t_{i-1} t_{i-2}$ .

In particular,  $t_{-1} = \Phi(\mathbf{y}_0, \mathbf{y}_{-1})$ ,  $t_0 = \Phi(\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_0)$  and  $t_1 = \Phi(\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_{-1})$ .

*Proof.* — By Lemma 4.1, we have  $\varphi(\mathbf{y}_{i+1}) = \varphi(\mathbf{y}_i)^2 \varphi(\mathbf{y}_{i-2})$  for each  $i \geq 1$ . This yields (a) by recurrence on i. Then, by definition of  $\psi$ , the recurrence formula for  $\mathbf{y}_{i+1}$  simplifies to

(5.1) 
$$\mathbf{y}_{i+1} = \Phi(\mathbf{y}_i, \mathbf{y}_{i-2}) \mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{y}_{i-2} \quad (i \geqslant 1),$$

and so  $\det(\mathbf{y}_{i+1}, \mathbf{y}_i, \mathbf{y}_{i-1}) = -\det(\mathbf{y}_i, \mathbf{y}_{i-1}, \mathbf{y}_{i-2})$  for each  $i \ge 1$ , by multilinearity of the determinant. This proves (b) by recurrence on i. From (5.1), we deduce that

$$t_i = \Phi(\mathbf{y}_{i+1}, \mathbf{y}_i) = \Phi(\mathbf{y}_i, \mathbf{y}_{i-2})\Phi(\mathbf{y}_i, \mathbf{y}_i) - \Phi(\mathbf{y}_{i-2}, \mathbf{y}_i) = \Phi(\mathbf{y}_i, \mathbf{y}_{i-2}) \ (i \geqslant 1),$$

which is (c). Then (d) is just a rewriting of (5.1). Combining (c) and (d), we find

$$t_{i+1} = \Phi(\mathbf{y}_{i+1}, \mathbf{y}_{i-1}) = t_i \Phi(\mathbf{y}_i, \mathbf{y}_{i-1}) - \Phi(\mathbf{y}_{i-2}, \mathbf{y}_{i-1}) = t_i t_{i-1} - t_{i-2} \ (i \geqslant 1),$$

which is (e). Finally, the formulas given for  $t_{-1}$  and  $t_0$  are taken from the definition while the one for  $t_1$  follows from (c).

The next lemma provides mild conditions under which the norm of  $\mathbf{y}_i$  grows as expected.

LEMMA 5.2. — With the notation of the previous lemma, suppose that  $1 \leqslant t_{-1} < t_0 < t_1$  and that  $1 \leqslant \|\mathbf{y}_{-1}\| < \|\mathbf{y}_0\| < \|\mathbf{y}_1\|$ . Then,  $(t_i)_{i\geqslant -1}$  and  $(\|\mathbf{y}_i\|)_{i\geqslant -1}$  are strictly increasing sequences of positive integers with  $t_{i+1} \asymp t_i^{\gamma}$  and  $\|\mathbf{y}_{i+1}\| \asymp t_{i+2} \asymp \|\mathbf{y}_i\|^{\gamma}$ .

Here and below, the implied constants are simply meant to be independent of i.

*Proof.* — Lemma 5.1(e) implies, by recurrence on i, that the sequence  $(t_i)_{i \ge -1}$  is strictly increasing and, more precisely, that it satisfies

$$(5.2) (t_i - 1)t_{i-1} < t_{i+1} < t_i t_{i-1} (i \ge 1),$$

which by [10, Lemma 5.2] implies that  $t_{i+1} \approx t_i^{\gamma}$ . In turn, Lemma 5.1(d) implies, by recurrence on i, that the sequence  $(\|\mathbf{y}_i\|)_{i\geqslant -1}$  is strictly increasing with

(5.3) 
$$(t_i - 1) \|\mathbf{y}_i\| < \|\mathbf{y}_{i+1}\| < (t_i + 1) \|\mathbf{y}_i\| \quad (i \ge 1).$$

Combining this with (5.2), we find that the ratios  $\rho_i = ||\mathbf{y}_i||/t_{i+1}$  satisfy

$$(1 - 1/t_i)\rho_i \leqslant \rho_{i+1} \leqslant \frac{1 + 1/t_i}{1 - 1/t_{i+1}}\rho_i \leqslant \frac{1}{(1 - 1/t_i)^2}\rho_i \quad (i \geqslant 1),$$

and so  $\rho_1 c_1 \leq \rho_i \leq \rho_1/c_1^2$  for each  $i \geq 1$  where  $c_1 = \prod_{i \geq 1} (1 - 1/t_i) > 0$  is a converging infinite product because  $t_i$  tends to infinity with i faster

than any geometric series. This means that  $\rho_i \approx 1$ , thus  $\|\mathbf{y}_i\| \approx t_{i+1}$ , and so  $\|\mathbf{y}_{i+1}\| \approx t_{i+2} \approx \|\mathbf{y}_i\|^{\gamma}$  because  $t_{i+2} \approx t_{i+1}^{\gamma}$ .

For any  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , we denote by  $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle$  their standard scalar product. When  $\mathbf{x} \neq 0$  and  $\mathbf{y} \neq 0$ , we also denote by  $[\mathbf{x}]$ ,  $[\mathbf{y}]$  their respective classes in  $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{R})$ , and define the *projective distance* between these classes by

$$\operatorname{dist}([\mathbf{x}],[\mathbf{y}]) = \frac{\|\mathbf{x} \wedge \mathbf{y}\|}{\|\mathbf{x}\| \, \|\mathbf{y}\|}.$$

It is not strictly speaking a distance on  $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{R})$  but it behaves almost like a distance since it satisfies

$$\operatorname{dist}([\mathbf{x}], [\mathbf{z}]) \leq \operatorname{dist}([\mathbf{x}], [\mathbf{y}]) + 2 \operatorname{dist}([\mathbf{y}], [\mathbf{z}])$$

for any non-zero  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^3$  (see [10, § 2]). Moreover, the open balls for the projective distance form a basis of the usual topology on  $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{R})$ . We can now prove the following result.

LEMMA 5.3. — With the notation and hypotheses of Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2, suppose that  $\mathbf{y}_{-1}$ ,  $\mathbf{y}_0$  and  $\mathbf{y}_1$  are linearly independent. Then there exists a zero  $\Xi = (1, \xi_1, \xi_2)$  of  $\varphi$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly independent coordinates such that  $\|\Xi \wedge \mathbf{y}_i\| \approx \|\mathbf{y}_i\|^{-1}$  for each  $i \geq 1$ . Moreover,  $1/\gamma$  is an exponent of approximation to the corresponding point  $\Xi = (1 : \xi_1 : \xi_2) \in \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

Proof. — Our first goal is to show that  $([\mathbf{y}_i])_{i\geqslant 1}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{R})$  with respect to the projective distance. To this end, we use freely the estimates of the previous lemma and define  $\mathbf{z}_i = \mathbf{y}_i \wedge \mathbf{y}_{i+1}$  for each  $i \geqslant 1$ . By Lemma 5.1(b), the points  $\mathbf{y}_{i-1}$ ,  $\mathbf{y}_i$  and  $\mathbf{y}_{i+1}$  are linearly independent for each  $i \geqslant 0$ . Thus, none of the products  $\mathbf{z}_i$  vanish, and so their norm is at least 1. Moreover, Lemma 5.1(d) applied first to  $\mathbf{y}_{i+1}$  and then to  $\mathbf{y}_i$  yields

(5.4) 
$$\mathbf{z}_i = \mathbf{y}_{i-2} \wedge \mathbf{y}_i = t_{i-1}\mathbf{y}_{i-2} \wedge \mathbf{y}_{i-1} - \mathbf{y}_{i-2} \wedge \mathbf{y}_{i-3} = t_{i-1}\mathbf{z}_{i-2} + \mathbf{z}_{i-3}.$$

The above equality  $\mathbf{z}_i = \mathbf{y}_{i-2} \wedge \mathbf{y}_i$  with i replaced by i-3 implies that

$$\|\mathbf{z}_{i-3}\| \leqslant 2\|\mathbf{y}_{i-5}\| \|\mathbf{y}_{i-3}\| \ll t_{i-4}t_{i-2} \asymp t_{i-1}t_{i-5}^{-1} \leqslant t_{i-1}t_{i-5}^{-1}\|\mathbf{z}_{i-2}\|.$$

In view of (5.4), this means that  $\|\mathbf{z}_i\| = t_{i-1}(1 + \mathcal{O}(t_{i-1}^{-1})) \|\mathbf{z}_{i-2}\|$ , and thus

$$\frac{\|\mathbf{z}_i\|}{t_i} = \frac{t_{i-1}t_{i-2}}{t_i}(1 + \mathcal{O}(t_{i-5}^{-1}))\frac{\|\mathbf{z}_{i-2}\|}{t_{i-2}} = (1 + \mathcal{O}(t_{i-5}^{-1}))\frac{\|\mathbf{z}_{i-2}\|}{t_{i-2}}$$

since, by Lemma 5.1(e), we have  $t_{i-1}t_{i-2} = t_i(1+t_{i-3}t_i^{-1}) = t_i(1+O(t_{i-5}^{-1}))$ . As the series  $\sum_{i\geqslant 1}t_i^{-1}$  converges, the same is true of the infinite products

 $\prod_{i\geqslant i_0}(1+ct_i^{-1})$  for any  $c\in\mathbb{R}$ . Thus the above estimates implies that  $\|\mathbf{z}_i\| \approx t_i$ , and so we find

$$\operatorname{dist}([\mathbf{y}_i],[\mathbf{y}_{i+1}]) = \frac{\|\mathbf{z}_i\|}{\|\mathbf{y}_i\| \|\mathbf{y}_{i+1}\|} \times \frac{t_i}{t_{i+1}t_{i+2}} \times t_{i+1}^{-2} \times \|\mathbf{y}_i\|^{-2}.$$

As the series  $\sum_{i\geqslant 1} 2^i t_{i+1}^{-2}$  is convergent, we deduce that  $([\mathbf{y}_i])_{i\geqslant 1}$  forms a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{R})$ , and that its limit  $\Xi \in \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{R})$  satisfies dist $([\mathbf{y}_i], \Xi) \approx ||\mathbf{y}_i||^{-2}$ . In terms of a representative  $\underline{\Xi}$  of  $\Xi$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , this means that

To prove that  $\Xi$  has  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly independent coordinates, we use the fact that

$$\|\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{y}_i \rangle \Xi - \langle \mathbf{u}, \Xi \rangle \mathbf{y}_i \| \leq 2 \|\mathbf{u}\| \|\mathbf{y}_i \wedge \Xi\|$$

for any  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^3$  [10, Lemma 2.2]. So, if  $\langle \mathbf{u}, \underline{\Xi} \rangle = 0$  for some  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ , then, by (5.5), we obtain  $|\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{y}_i \rangle| \ll ||\mathbf{y}_i||^{-1}$  for all i. Then, as  $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{y}_i \rangle$  is an integer, it vanishes for each sufficiently large i, and so  $\mathbf{u} = 0$  because any three consecutive  $\mathbf{y}_i$  span  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . This proves our claim. In particular, the first coordinate of  $\underline{\Xi}$  is non-zero, and we may normalize  $\underline{\Xi}$  so that it is 1. Then, as i goes to infinity, the points  $||\mathbf{y}_i||^{-1}\mathbf{y}_i$  converge to  $||\underline{\Xi}||^{-1}\underline{\Xi}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and, since  $\varphi(||\mathbf{y}_i||^{-1}\mathbf{y}_i) = ||\mathbf{y}_i||^{-2}$  tends to 0, we deduce that  $\varphi(\underline{\Xi}) = 0$ . Finally,  $1/\gamma$  is an exponent of approximation to  $\Xi$  because, for each  $X \geq ||\mathbf{y}_1||$ , there exists an index  $i \geq 1$  such that  $||\mathbf{y}_i|| \leq X \leq ||\mathbf{y}_{i+1}||$  and then, by (5.5), the point  $\mathbf{x} := \mathbf{y}_i$  satisfies both

$$\|\mathbf{x}\| \leqslant X$$
 and  $\|\mathbf{x} \wedge \underline{\Xi}\| \simeq \|\mathbf{y}_i\|^{-1} \simeq \|\mathbf{y}_{i+1}\|^{-1/\gamma} \leqslant X^{-1/\gamma}$ .

The last lemma below will enable us to show that the above process leads to infinitely many limit points  $\Xi$ .

LEMMA 5.4. — Suppose that  $(\mathbf{y}_i)_{i\geqslant -1}$  and  $(\mathbf{y}_i')_{i\geqslant -1}$  are constructed as in Lemma 5.1 and that both of them satisfy the hypotheses of the three preceding lemmas. Suppose moreover that their images in  $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{R})$  have the same limit  $\Xi$ . Then there exists an integer a such that  $\mathbf{y}_i' = \pm \mathbf{y}_{i+a}$  for each  $i\geqslant \max\{-1,-1-a\}$ .

Proof. — Let  $\underline{\Xi} = (1, \xi_1, \xi_2)$  be a representative of  $\Xi$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , and for each  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^3$  define  $L(\mathbf{x})$  as in (3.1). The estimates of Lemma 5.3 imply that  $L(\mathbf{y}_i) \asymp \|\mathbf{y}_i\|^{-1}$  and  $L(\mathbf{y}_i') \asymp \|\mathbf{y}_i'\|^{-1}$ . For each sufficiently large index j, we can find an integer  $i \geqslant 2$  such that  $\|\mathbf{y}_{i-1}\|^{3/2} \leqslant \|\mathbf{y}_i'\| \leqslant \|\mathbf{y}_i\|^{3/2}$  and the

standard estimates yield

$$|\det(\mathbf{y}_{i-1}, \mathbf{y}_i, \mathbf{y}_j')| \ll ||\mathbf{y}_j'||L(\mathbf{y}_i)L(\mathbf{y}_{i-1}) + ||\mathbf{y}_i||L(\mathbf{y}_{i-1})L(\mathbf{y}_j')$$

$$\ll ||\mathbf{y}_i||^{3/2}||\mathbf{y}_i||^{-1}||\mathbf{y}_{i-1}||^{-1} + ||\mathbf{y}_i|| ||\mathbf{y}_{i-1}||^{-1}||\mathbf{y}_{i-1}||^{-3/2}$$

$$\ll ||\mathbf{y}_i||^{1/2 - 1/\gamma} = o(1),$$

and similarly  $|\det(\mathbf{y}_i, \mathbf{y}_{i+1}, \mathbf{y}'_j)| \ll ||\mathbf{y}_i||^{-1/(2\gamma)} = o(1)$ . Thus, both determinants vanish when j is large enough and then  $\mathbf{y}'_j$  is a rational multiple of  $\mathbf{y}_i$ . However, both points are primitive elements of  $\mathbb{Z}^3$  since  $\varphi$  takes value 1 on each of them. So, we must have  $\mathbf{y}'_j = \pm \mathbf{y}_i$ . Since the two sequences have the same type of growth, we conclude that there exist integers a and  $i_0 \ge \max\{-1, -1 - a\}$  such that  $\mathbf{y}'_i = \pm \mathbf{y}_{i+a}$  for each  $i \ge i_0$ . Choose  $i_0$  smallest with this property. If  $i_0 \ge \max\{0, -a\}$ , then, using Lemma 4.1, we obtain

$$\mathbf{y}'_{i_0-1} = \psi(\mathbf{y}'_{i_0+1}, \mathbf{y}'_{i_0+2}) = \psi(\pm \mathbf{y}_{i_0+1+a}, \pm \mathbf{y}_{i_0+2+a}) = \pm \mathbf{y}_{i_0-1+a}$$

in contradiction with the choice of  $i_0$ . Thus we must have  $i_0 = \max\{-1, -1 - a\}$ .

In view of the remarks made at the beginning of this section, the last result below completes the proof of Theorem 2.3(ii).

PROPOSITION 5.5. — Let b>1 be a square-free integer and let c be either 0 or a square-free integer with c>1. Then the quadratic form  $\varphi=x_0^2-bx_1^2-cx_2^2$  admits infinitely many zeros in  $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{R})$  which have  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly independent homogeneous coordinates and for which  $1/\gamma$  is an exponent of approximation.

Proof. — The Pell equation  $x_0^2 - bx_1^2 = 1$  admits infinitely many solutions in positive integers. We choose one such solution  $(x_0, x_1) = (m, n)$ . For the other solutions  $(m', n') \in (\mathbb{N}^*)^2$ , the quantity mm' - bnn' behaves asymptotically like  $m'/(m + n\sqrt{b})$  as  $m' \to \infty$  and thus, we have m < mm' - bnn' < m' as soon as m' is large enough. We fix such a solution (m', n'). We also choose a pair of integers r, t > 0 such that  $r^2 - ct^2 = 1$ . Then, the three points

$$\mathbf{y}_{-1} = (1, 0, 0), \quad \mathbf{y}_0 = (m, n, 0) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{y}_1 = (rm', rn', t)$$

are  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly independent. They satisfy

$$\|\mathbf{y}_{-1}\| = 1 < \|\mathbf{y}_{0}\| = m < rm' \le \|\mathbf{y}_{1}\|$$
 and  $\varphi(\mathbf{y}_{i}) = 1$   $(i = -1, 0, 1)$ .

For such a triple, consider the corresponding sequences  $(t_i)_{i \ge -1}$  and  $(\mathbf{y}_i)_{i \ge -1}$  as defined in Lemma 5.1. The symmetric bilinear form attached

to  $\varphi$  being  $\Phi = 2(x_0y_0 - bx_1y_1 - cx_2y_2)$ , we find

$$t_{-1} = 2m < t_0 = 2r(mm' - bnn') < t_1 = 2rm'.$$

Therefore the hypotheses of Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3 are fulfilled and so the sequence  $([\mathbf{y}_i])_{i\geqslant -1}$  converges in  $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{R})$  to a zero  $\Xi$  of  $\varphi$  which has  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly independent homogeneous coordinates and for which  $1/\gamma$  is an exponent of approximation. To complete the proof and show that there are infinitely many such points, it suffices to prove that any other choice of m, n, m', n', r, t as above leads to a different limit point. Clearly, it leads to a different sequence  $(\mathbf{y}_i')_{i\geqslant -1}$ . If  $[\mathbf{y}_i']$  and  $[\mathbf{y}_i]$  converge to the same point  $\Xi$  as  $i \to \infty$ , then by Lemma 5.4, there exists  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\mathbf{y}_i' = \pm \mathbf{y}_{i+a}$  for each  $i \geqslant \max\{-1, -1 - a\}$ . But, in both sequences  $(\mathbf{y}_i)_{i\geqslant -1}$  and  $(\mathbf{y}_i')_{i\geqslant -1}$ , the first point is the only one of norm 1, and moreover the first three points have non-negative entries. So, we must have a = 0 and  $\mathbf{y}_i' = \mathbf{y}_i$  for i = -1, 0, 1, a contradiction.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] P. Bel, "Approximation simultanée d'un nombre v-adique et de son carré par des nombres algébriques", J. Number Theory, to appear.
- [2] Y. BUGEAUD & M. LAURENT, "Exponents of Diophantine approximation and Sturmian continued fractions", Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 55 (2005), no. 3, p. 773-804.
- [3] H. DAVENPORT & W. M. SCHMIDT, "Approximation to real numbers by algebraic integers", Acta Arith. 15 (1968/1969), p. 393-416.
- [4] D. KLEINBOCK, "Extremal subspaces and their submanifolds", Geom. Funct. Anal. 13 (2003), no. 2, p. 437-466.
- [5] M. LAURENT, "Simultaneous rational approximation to the successive powers of a real number", *Indag. Math. (N.S.)* 14 (2003), no. 1, p. 45-53.
- [6] S. LOZIER & D. ROY, "Simultaneous approximation to a real number and to its cube", Acta Arith., to appear.
- [7] D. Roy, "Approximation simultanée d'un nombre et de son carré", C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 336 (2003), no. 1, p. 1-6.
- [8] —, "Approximation to real numbers by cubic algebraic integers. II", Ann. of Math. (2) 158 (2003), no. 3, p. 1081-1087.
- [9] ——, "Approximation to real numbers by cubic algebraic integers. I", Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 88 (2004), no. 1, p. 42-62.
- [10] ——, "On two exponents of approximation related to a real number and its square", Canad. J. Math. 59 (2007), no. 1, p. 211-224.
- [11] ——, "On simultaneous rational approximations to a real number, its square, and its cube", Acta Arith. 133 (2008), no. 2, p. 185-197.
- [12] W. M. SCHMIDT, Diophantine approximation, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 785, Springer, Berlin, 1980, x+299 pages.

[13] D. Zelo, Simultaneous approximation to real and p-adic numbers, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2009, Thesis (Ph.D.)—University of Ottawa (Canada), 147 pages.

Manuscrit reçu le 27 janvier 2012, accepté le 3 avril 2012.

Damien ROY Université d'Ottawa Département de Mathématiques 585 King Edward Ottawa, Ontario K1N 6N5 (Canada) droy@uottawa.ca