CHARLES FEFFERMAN

Convergence on almost every line for functions with gradient in $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$

CONVERGENCE ON ALMOST EVERY LINE
FOR FUNCTIONS WITH GRADIENT IN L^p (R^n)

by Charles FEFFERMAN

This note answers a question asked by L.D. Kudrjačev ([1], p. 264, problem 1). The question was suggested by the following result of Uspenskiĭ [2] : if $u(x)$ is a smooth function $R^n \to R$ and $\int_{R^n} |\operatorname{grad} u|^p \, dx < \infty$ $(1 < p < n)$, there exists a constant c such that $\lim_{r \to \infty} u(rx') = c$ for almost every $x' \in S^{n-1}$. Professor Kudrjačev kindly informed me that V. Portnov answered his question independently by another method [3].

We use now the notation

$$x = (x_1 , x'), \quad \text{where} \quad x_1 \in R \quad \text{and} \quad x' \in R^{n-1}.$$

THEOREM. – *Let* $u(x_1 , x')$ *be a smooth function* : $R^n \to R$ *and suppose* $\int_{R^n} |\operatorname{grad} u|^p \, dx < \infty$ $(1 < p < n)$. *Then for a constant* c, $\lim_{x_1 \to \infty} u(x_1 , x') = c$ *for almost all* x'.

Prof. – Set $u_j = \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}$, let R_j denote j^{th} Riesz transform, and let I^1 denote fractional integration of first order in R^n.

We begin with the standard formula

$$\sum_i R_i R_j v_j = v_i + \sum_j R_j I^1 \left(\frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_j} \right),$$

valid for C^∞ functions of compact support. (To check this, just take Fourier transforms). Take a function φ_N on R^n equal to one for $|x| \leqslant 2^N$, supported in $|x| \leqslant 2^{N+1}$, and satisfying $|\nabla \varphi_N| \leqslant C2^{-N}$; and apply the above formula to the functions $v_j = \varphi_N u_j \in C_0^\infty$. As

$N \to \infty$, the left-hand side of the formula tends to $\sum_j R_i R_j u_j$ in L^p,

since the Riesz transforms are bounded on L^p. On the other hand, $\dfrac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_i} - \dfrac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_j} = -\left(\dfrac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} - \dfrac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i}\right)\varphi_N + u_j\dfrac{\partial \varphi_N}{\partial x_i} - u\dfrac{\partial \varphi_N}{\partial x_j}$, and the first

term is zero since (u_i) is a gradient. Thus,

$$\left\| \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_j} \right\|_p \leqslant \| \operatorname{grad} u \|_p \, \| \operatorname{grad} \varphi_N \|_\infty \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad N \to \infty.$$

Since $R_j I^1$ is bounded from L^p to L^s with $\dfrac{1}{s} = \dfrac{1}{p} - \dfrac{1}{n}\,(1 < s < \infty$

since $1 < p < n$), we see that as $N \to \infty$, the final term in our formula tends to zero in L^s. Thus, taking the limit in measure of both sides of our formula, we obtain $u_i = \sum_j R_i R_j u_j$.

In other words, setting $f = \sum_j R_j \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \in L^p$, we have $u_i = R_i f$.

Now for $f \in L^p$, we know that $\dfrac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(I^1 f) = R_i f$ in the sense of tempered

distributions. (It's trivial for $f \in C_0^\infty$; in general we express f as the limit in L^p of smooth compactly supported f_N as $N \to \infty$. $R_i f_N \to R_i f$ in L^p and hence weakly as distributions, and $I^1 f_N \to I^1 f$

in L^s and hence weakly, so that $\dfrac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(I^1 f_N)$ also converges weakly

to $\dfrac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(I^1 f)$.)

On the other hand, the Riesz transforms or 1^{rst} fractional integral of a smooth function in L^p are smooth functions, so that $\dfrac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(u - I^1 f) = R_i f - R_i f = 0$, not just as distributions, but as

pointwise derivatives of smooth functions. Thus $u = \text{constant} + I^1 f$. So to prove the claim, it will be enough to show that

$$\lim_{x_1 \to \infty} I^1 f(x_1, x') = 0$$

for almost all x', whenever f is a smooth function in L^p.

Now

$$I^1 f(x_1, x') = \int_{R^n} \frac{f(x)\, dy}{|x-y|^{n-1}} = \int_{|x-y|<1} \frac{f(y)\, dy}{|x-y|^{n-1}} +$$

$$+ \int_{|x-y|\geqslant 1} \frac{f(y)\, dy}{|x-y|^{n-1}} = I + II.$$

Then II is easy ; it tends to zero as $x \to \infty$. (In fact, we just break up f into $f = g + b$ where $\|g\|_p \leqslant \|f\|_p$ and g lives on a bounded set, while $\|b\|_p < \epsilon$. The contribution of g to II clearly tends to zero, while b produces at most

$$\|b\|_p \cdot \left(\int_{|x-y|\geqslant 1} |x-y|^{-(n-1)q}\, dy \right) \left[\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 \right] \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant C \|b\|_p \leqslant C\,\epsilon, \quad \text{since} \quad p < n.$$

So the main problem is term I.

The main step in dealing with term I is to prove the

LEMMA. — *Let* $f \in L^p(Q)$ *where* Q *is a cube of side* 10, *and* $1 < p < n$. *Say* $Q = [0, 10] \times Q' \subseteq R^1 \times R^{n-1}$. *Then*

$$M(x') = \sup_{0 \leqslant x_1 \leqslant 10} \left| \int_Q \frac{f(y_1, y')\, dy_1\, dy'}{\left((y_1 - x_1)^2 + (y' - x')^2\right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} \right|$$

belongs to $L^p(Q')$, *and* $\|M\|_p \leqslant C \|f\|_p$.

Proof. — Say $f \geqslant 0$ and $f = 0$ outside Q. Let $f^{\downarrow}(., y')$ be the decreasing re-arrangement of $f(., y')$ on $[0, 10]$, for each fixed $y' \in Q'$. Of course $\|f\|_p = \|f^{\downarrow}\|_p$. We claim that $Mf(x') \leqslant 2Mf^{\downarrow}(x')$ pointwise. In fact, fix x', x_1, y', and consider

$$\int_{y_1 > x_1} \frac{f(y_1, y')\, dy_1}{\left((y_1 - x_1)^2 + (y' - x')^2\right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} \cdot$$

Since $\chi_{\{y_1 | y_1 > x_1\}}(y_1) \cdot \left((y_1 - x_1)^2 + (y' - x')^2\right)^{-\frac{(n-1)}{2}}$ is monotone decreasing in the interval (x_1, ∞) in which it is supported, we know that

$$\int_{y_1 > x_1} \frac{f(y_1, y') \, dy_1}{\left((y_1 - x_1)^2 + (y' - x')^2\right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} \leqslant \int_0^{10} \frac{f^\downarrow(y_1, y') \, dy_1}{\left((y_1)^2 + (y' - x')^2\right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}.$$

Integrating over y' yields

$$\int_{\substack{y_1 > x_1 \\ y' \in Q'}} \frac{f(y_1, y') \, dy_1}{\left((y_1 - x_1)^2 + (y' - x')^2\right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} \leqslant \int_Q \frac{f^\downarrow(y_1, y') \, dy_1}{\left(y_1^2 + (y' - x')^2\right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}.$$

Similarly,

$$\int_{\substack{y_1 < x_1 \\ y' \in Q'}} \frac{f(y_1, y') \, dy_1 \, dy'}{\left((y_1 - x_1)^2 + (y' - x')^2\right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} \leqslant \int_Q \frac{f^\downarrow(y_1, y') \, dy_1 \, dy'}{\left(y_1 + (y' - x')^2\right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}.$$

Adding and taking the sup over x_1 gives us

$$M f(x') \leqslant 2 \int_Q \frac{f^\downarrow(y_1, y') \, dy_1 \, dy'}{\left(y_1^2 + (y' - x')^2\right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}. \tag{*}$$

which is stronger than the claim.

We shall use what we proved in full strength. For a fixed y_1, the function $M_{y_1}(x') = \int_{y' \in Q'} \dfrac{f^\downarrow(y_1, y') \, dy'}{\left(y^2 + (y' - x')^2\right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}$ is just the convolution of $f^\downarrow(y_1, .)$ with $\left(y_1^2 + (y' - x')^2\right)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}$ on the cube $Q' \subseteq R^{n-1}$. Thus

$$\| M_{y_1}(\cdot) \|_p \leqslant \| \text{convolution kernel}_{y_1} \|_{L^1(Q')} \, \| f(y_1, .) \|_p \sim$$

$$\sim C \left(\log \frac{1}{|y_1|} \right) \| f^\downarrow(y_1, .) \|.$$

Therefore by estimate $(*)$, $M f(x') \leqslant \int_0^{10} M_{y_1}(x') \, dy'$, and

$$\| M f \|_p \leqslant \int_0^{10} C \left(\log \frac{1}{|y_1|} \right) \| f^\downarrow(y_1, .) \|_p \, dy_1 \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant \left(\int_0^{10} \left(C \log \frac{1}{|y_1|} \right)^q dy_1 \right)^{1/q} \left(\int_0^{10} \| f(y_1, .) \|_p \, dy_1 \right)^{1/p}$$

(Note : $q < \infty$ since $p > 1$) $\leqslant C \, \| f \|_p = C \, \| f \|_p$.

Q.E.D.

Now we return to term I above. Divide R^{n-1} into a mesh of cubes of side 2, $R^{n-1} = \underset{j}{\cup} Q'_j$, and write $R^n = \underset{i,j}{\cup} Q_{ij}$ where

$$Q_{ij} = \{(x_1, x') \in R^n \mid x' \in Q_j, \ 2i \leqslant x_1 < 2(i+1)\} \quad (-\infty < i < \infty).$$

Then let Q^* be the cube concentric with Q_{ij} but with side 10, and set $f_{ij} = f \chi_{Q^*_{ij}}$. For

$$g_{ij}(x') = \begin{cases} \displaystyle \sup_{2i < x_1 < 2(i+1)} \int_{|x-y|<1} \frac{|f(y_1, y')| \, dy_1 \, dy'}{|x-y|^{n-1}}, \\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $x = (x_1, x')$ if $x' \in Q'_j$ we have $\|g_{ij}\|_{L^p(R^{n-1})} \leqslant C \|f_{ij}\|_{L^p(R^n)}$ by the lemma. For

$$x' \in Q_j, \ \sup_{x_1 \in R^1} \int_{\substack{|x-y|<1 \\ \text{where } x=(x_1,x')}} \frac{|f(y)| \, dy}{|x-y|^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} =$$

$$= \sup_i g_{ij}(x') \leqslant \left(\sum_i g_{ij}^p(x') \right)^{1/p}.$$

So certainly

$$\int_{R^{n-1}} \left[\sup_{x_1 \in R^1} \int_{|(x_1,x')-y|<1} \frac{|f(y)| \, dy}{|x-y|^{n-1}} \right]^p dx' =$$

$$= \sum_j \int_{x' \in Q'_j} [\sup (\text{etc. etc.})]^p \, dx' \leqslant \sum_j \int_{R^{n-1}} \left(\sum_i g_{ij}^p(x') \right)^{p/p} dx' =$$

$$= \sum_{i,j} \int_{R^{n-1}} g_{ij}^p(x') \, dx' \leqslant C \sum_{i,j} \|f_{ij}\|_p^p \leqslant C \|f\|_{L^p(R^n)}^p.$$

In other words, if

$$N(x') = Nf(x') = \sup_{x_1 \in R^1} \int_{|(x_1,x')-y|<1} \frac{|f(y)| \, dy}{|x-y|^{n-1}},$$

then

$$\|Nf\|_{L^p(R^{n-1})} \leqslant C \|f\|_{L^p(R^n)}.$$

Now term I becomes easy. Again split up if $f = g + b$ where g is supported in a bounded set and $\|b\|_p < \epsilon$.

In evaluating the claim

$$\lim_{x_1 \to \infty} \int_{|(x_1, x') - y| < 1} \frac{|f(y)| \, dy}{|x - y|^{n-1}} = 0, \quad ((x_1, x') = x)$$

we find that g makes no contribution at all to the last integral for x_1 large enough. On the other hand, for b we know that

$$\left| \lim_{x_1 \to \infty} \sup \int_{|(x_1, x') - y| < 1} \frac{|f(y)| \, dy}{|(x_1, x') - y|^{n-1}} \right|_{L^p_{(dx')}} < C \, \epsilon \quad \text{by} \quad (**)$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, the proof is complete.

<div align="right">Q.E.D.</div>

BIBLIOGRAPHIE

[1] L.D. KUDRJAVCEV, Svoǐctba graničnyh značeniǐ funkciǐ iz vesovyh prostranctv i ih priloženija k kraevym zadačam. Mehanika Splošnoǐ sredy i rodstvennye problemy analiza. Moskva 1972.

[2] S.V. USPENSKIǏ, O teoremah vloženija dlja vesovyh klassov, *Trudi Mat. Instta AN SSSR,* 60 (1961), 282-303.

[3] V. PORTNOV, *Doklady AN SSSR,* to appear.

Charles FEFFERMAN,
Departement of Mathematics
University of Chicago
5734 University Avenue
Chicago, Ill. 60637.