CHARLES J. K. BATTY

On some ergodic properties for continuous and affine functions

Annales de l'institut Fourier, tome 28, nº 3 (1978), p. 209-215 http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIF 1978 28 3 209 0>

© Annales de l'institut Fourier, 1978, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de l'institut Fourier » (http://annalif.ujf-grenoble.fr/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

\mathcal{N} umdam

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/

ON SOME ERGODIC PROPERTIES FOR CONTINUOUS AND AFFINE FUNCTIONS

by C.J.K. BATTY

1. Introduction.

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space, let C(X) denote the space of continuous real-valued functions on X, and let T be a positive linear operator of C(X) into itself. Choquet and Foias [1] have considered convergence properties of the iterates T^n of T and the associated arithmetic means $S_n = n^{-1} \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} T^r$. In particular, they obtained the following two results [1, Théorèmes 13, 1]:

THEOREM 1.1. – If, for some non-negative function f in C(X), $S_n f$ converges pointwise to a continuous strictly positive function, then the convergence is uniform on X.

THEOREM 1.2. – If, for each x in X, $\inf \{(T^n 1)(x) : n \ge 1\} < 1$, then $T^n 1$ converges to 0 uniformly on X.

Choquet and Foias showed that the condition that the limit in theorem 1.1 is strictly positive cannot be removed [1, Exemple 11]. They then raised the following problem:

PROBLEM 1. – Suppose that $S_n 1$ converges pointwise to a continuous limit. Is the convergence necessarily uniform?

If M(X) denotes the set of Radon measures on X, identified with $C(X)^*$, and P(X) is the set of probability measures in M(X), then P(X) is weak*-compact and convex, its extreme boundary $\partial_{e}P(X)$ consists of the measures ϵ_{x} concentrated at one point x of X, and there is an isometric order-isomorphism $f \mapsto \hat{f}$ of C(X) onto the space A(P(X)) of continuous affine real-valued functions on P(X), given by $\hat{f}(\mu) = \int f d\mu$. This raises a second problem.

PROBLEM 2. – Suppose that K is a compact convex subset of a locally convex space, and T is a positive linear operator on A(K) such that for each x in $\partial_e K$, $\inf \{(T^n 1)(x) : n \ge 1\} < 1$. Does it necessarily follow that $||T^n|| \longrightarrow 0$?

In § 2 we shall show (corollary 2.5) that the answer to problem 1 is affirmative, and in § 3 we shall give an example to show that the answer to problem 2 is negative, although it becomes affirmative if $\partial_e K$ is replaced by its closure $\overline{\partial_e K}$ in K.

2. Uniform convergence of arithmetic means.

Let T be a positive linear operator on C(X), and σ be a nonnegative function in C(X). Let $F_{\sigma} = \sigma^{-1}(0)$ and G_{σ} be the complement of F_{σ} in X. For x in G_{σ} and $n \ge 1$ there is a bounded Radon measure $\mu_{x,\sigma}^{n}$ on G_{σ} such that

$$\int g \, d\mu_{x,\sigma}^n = \sigma(x)^{-1} \mathrm{T}^n(g.\,\sigma)(x)$$

for all functions g in the space $C^b(G_{\sigma})$ of continuous bounded real-valued functions on G_{σ} . For a Borel-measurable function fdefined $\mu_{x,\sigma}^n$ -a.e. in G_{σ} , put $(T_{\sigma}^{(n)}f)(x) = \int f d\mu_{x,\sigma}^n$ if the integral exists.

LEMMA 2.1. – For x in G_{σ} , $n \ge 1$ and any bounded Borelfunction f on G_{σ} . $T_{\sigma}^{(n)}(f \cdot \sigma^{-1})(x) = \sigma(x)^{-1}T_{1}^{(n)}(\chi_{\sigma} \cdot f)(x)^{\cdot}$, where χ_{σ} is the characteristic function of G_{σ} , and both sides of the equality exist.

Proof. – Suppose that f is continuous and non-negative. Let (g_{λ}) be an increasing net of continuous non-negative functions on X with support in G_{σ} and converging pointwise to χ_{σ} . Then $g_{\lambda} \cdot f \cdot \sigma^{-1} \in C^{b}(G_{\sigma})$, and

$$\sigma(x)\int g_{\lambda}\cdot f\cdot \sigma^{-1} d\mu_{x,\sigma}^n = \mathrm{T}^n(g_{\lambda}\cdot f)(x) = \int g_{\lambda}\cdot f d\mu_{x,1}^n.$$

The right-hand integral increases to the finite integral $\int \chi_{\sigma} \cdot f \, d\mu_{x,1}^{n}$, so the result follows immediately in this special case.

The case when f is lower semi-continuous follows by approximating f from below by continuous functions, and the general case from the fact that the bounded Borel functions form the smallest linear space containing the lower semi-continuous functions and closed under bounded monotone sequential limits.

Now suppose that $T\sigma \leq \beta\sigma$ for some real number β . Then $T_{\sigma}^{(n)} \leq \beta^n$, so $T_{\sigma}^{(n)}$ maps $C^b(G_{\sigma})$ into itself. It follows immediately from the definitions that the following identity is valid for f in $C^b(G_{\sigma})$: $T_{\sigma}^{(m)}(T_{\sigma}^{(n)}f)(x) = (T_{\sigma}^{(m+n)}f)(x)$. Elementary integration theory shows that this identity is valid for any Borel function f on G, in the sense that if either expression exists then so does the other and they are equal. We shall therefore write T_{σ}^n instead of $T_{\sigma}^{(n)}$. This discussion applies in particular to the case $\sigma = 1$ when it is consistent to write T instead of T_1 .

For x in F_{σ} , $0 \le (T^n \sigma)(x) \le \beta^n \sigma(x) = 0$ so $\mu_{x,1}^n(G_{\sigma}) = 0$. Thus $T^n(\chi_{\sigma} \cdot f) = 0$ on F_{σ} . Note that this is consistent with lemma 2.1 which gives

$$T_{\sigma}^{m}(T_{\sigma}^{n}(f,\sigma^{-1})) = \sigma^{-1}T^{m}(\chi_{\sigma} \cdot T^{n}(\chi_{\sigma} \cdot f))$$
$$T_{\sigma}^{m+n}(f,\sigma^{-1}) = \sigma^{-1}T^{m+n}(\chi_{\sigma} \cdot f).$$

LEMMA 2.2. – Suppose that $T\sigma \leq \sigma$ and $(T1)(x) \leq 1$ for all x in F_{σ} . Then there is a real number α such that $(T^n \chi_{\sigma})(x) \leq \alpha$ for all $n \geq 1$ and x in G_{σ} .

Proof. – By continuity and compactness, there is a neighbourhood U of F_{σ} and real numbers $\beta_1 < 1$ and $\beta_2 \ge \beta_1 (1 - \beta_1) \|\sigma\|^{-1}$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ll} (\mathrm{T1}) \ (x) \leq \beta_1 & (x \in \mathrm{U}) \\ (\mathrm{T1}) \ (x) \leq \beta_2 \sigma(x) & (x \in \mathrm{K} \backslash \mathrm{U}). \end{array}$$

Let $\alpha = (1 - \beta_1)^{-1} \beta_2 ||\sigma||$. Then $T1 \le \alpha$ and $T1 \le \beta_1 + \beta_2 \sigma$. In particular, $T\chi_{\sigma} \le T1 \le \alpha$. Now suppose that $T^n \chi_{\sigma} \le \alpha$ on G_{σ} , and take x in G_{σ} . Using lemma 2.1 and the fact that $T_{\sigma} 1 \le 1$,

$$(\mathbf{T}^{n+1}\boldsymbol{\chi}_{\sigma})(x) = \mathbf{T}^{n}(\mathbf{T}\boldsymbol{\chi}_{\sigma})(x) = \sigma(x) \mathbf{T}_{\sigma}^{n}(\sigma^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{T}\boldsymbol{\chi}_{\sigma})(x)$$

$$\leq \sigma(x) \mathbf{T}_{\sigma}^{n}(\beta_{1}\sigma^{-1} + \beta_{2})(x)$$

$$\leq \beta_{1}(\mathbf{T}^{n}\boldsymbol{\chi}_{\sigma})(x) + \beta_{2}\sigma(x)$$

$$\leq \beta_{1}\alpha + \beta_{2}\sigma(x)$$

$$\leq \alpha.$$

C.J.K. BATTY

LEMMA 2.3. – Let F be a Borel subset of X, χ be the characteristic function of the complement of F in X, and $\delta = \sup \{(T1)(x): x \in F\}.$

Then
$$T^n 1 \leq \delta^n + \sum_{r=1}^n \delta^{r-1} T^{n-r} (\chi \cdot T1).$$

Proof. – It is trivial that $T1 \le \delta + \chi \cdot T1$. Suppose the lemma holds for some integer n. Then since T is positive,

$$T^{n+1} \leq \delta^{n} T 1 + \sum_{r=1}^{n} \delta^{r-1} T^{n+1-r} (\chi, T 1)$$

$$\leq \delta^{n+1} + \sum_{r=1}^{n+1} \delta^{r-1} T^{n+1-r} (\chi, T 1).$$

THEOREM 2.4. – Let T be a positive linear operator on C(X) and suppose that there is a non-negative continuous function σ on X such that T $\sigma \leq \sigma$ and (T1)(x) < 1 whenever $\sigma(x) = 0$. Then $\{T^n 1: n \geq 1\}$ is uniformly bounded.

Proof. – Take α as in lemma 2.2 and $\delta = \sup \{(T1)(x) : x \in F_{\sigma}\} < 1$. By lemma 2.3, for x in G_{σ} ,

$$(T^{n}1)(x) \leq \delta^{n} + \alpha ||T1|| \sum_{r=1}^{n} \delta^{r-1}$$

$$\leq \delta^{n} + (1-\delta)^{-1} \alpha ||T1||.$$

Also $T^n 1 = T((1 - \chi_{\sigma})T^{n-1}1)$ on F_{σ} , so a simple inductive argument shows that $T^n 1 \le 1$ on F_{σ} .

COROLLARY 2.5. – Suppose that $S_n 1$ converges pointwise to a continuous limit σ . Then the convergence is uniform.

Proof. It is shown in the proof of [1, Lemme 12] that $T\sigma \leq \sigma$. Hence $\mu_{x,1}^1(G_{\sigma}) = 0$ for x in F_{σ} . so T induces a positive linear operator \widetilde{T} on $C(F_{\sigma})$ given by

$$(\widetilde{T}f)(x) = \int_{\mathbf{F}_{\sigma}} f \, d\mu^{1}_{x,1} \, .$$

Now $\widetilde{T}^n 1$ is the restriction of $T^n 1$ to $F_{\sigma} = \sigma^{-1}(0)$, so inf $\{\widetilde{T}^n 1 : n \ge 1\} = 0$. By theorem 1.2 there is an integer *m* such that $T^m 1 < 1$ on F_{σ} . Applying theorem 2.4 to T^m , it follows that $\{T^{mn} : n \ge 1\}$ is uniformly bounded. Hence $\{T^n 1 : n \ge 1\}$ is uniformly bounded. The result now follows from [1, Théorème 10].

3. Affine functions.

We shall now give an example to show that the answer to problem 2 is negative in general, even if K is a simplex.

Example 3.1. – Let N be the linear span in M[0,1] of $\lambda - \epsilon_0$, where λ is Lebesgue measure on [0,1], let $\pi: M[0,1] \longrightarrow M[0,1]/N$ be the quotient map, and let $K = \pi(P[0,1])$. Then K is a simplex with extreme boundary $\partial_e K = \{\pi(\epsilon_x) : x \in (0,1]\}$, and there is an isometric isomorphism Φ between A(K) and the space $C_0[0,1]$ of functions f in C[0,1] satisfying $f(0) = \int_0^1 f(x) dx$, given by $\Phi^{-1}(f) \circ \pi = \hat{f}$ ($f \in C_0[0,1]$). We shall identify these spaces.

Let g be any continuously differentiable function of [0,1] into itself (in the sense of one-sided derivatives at the end-points) such that

$$g(0) = 0, \qquad g'(0) = 1$$

$$g(x) > x, \qquad g'(x) \ge 0 \qquad (x \in (0,1))$$

$$g(1) = 1, \qquad g'(1) = 0.$$

Define the operator T by (Tf)(x) = g'(x) f(g(x)). Then T is a positive linear operator of $C_0[0,1]$ into itself.

For any x in (0,1], let $x_0 = x$, $x_r = g(x_{r-1})$. Then x_r increases to the limit 1, so $g'(x_r) \longrightarrow 0$. Now

$$(\mathbf{T}^n 1)(x) = \prod_{r=0}^{n-1} g'(x_r) \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \longrightarrow \infty.$$

Thus T satisfies all the required properties. However

$$||T^{n}|| \ge |(T^{n}1)(0)| = 1$$
.

It is noted in [1] that Mokobodzki has shown that problem 2 has an affirmative answer if $\partial_e K$ is closed. This is a special case of the following result, which deals with a general K, but assumes a strengthened condition on T. The proof is based on one of those given in [1].

THEOREM 3.2. – Let K be a compact convex set, let $\overline{\partial_e K}$ be the closure of its extreme boundary, and let T be a positive linear operator on A(K). If, for each x in $\overline{\partial_e K}$, inf {(Tⁿ1) (x): $n \ge 1$ } < 1. then $||T^n1|| \longrightarrow 0$.

15

C.J.K. BATTY

Proof. – For a bounded real-valued function g on K, and x in K, put $(\widetilde{T}g)(x) = \inf \{(Ta)(x): a \in A(K), a \ge g \text{ on } \partial_e K\}$. Then $\widetilde{T}(\lambda g) = \lambda Tg$, $\widetilde{T}g_1 \le \widetilde{T}g_2$ if $g_1 \le g_2$ on $\partial_e K$, and $\widetilde{T}a = Ta$ for a in A(K).

By compactness of $\overline{\partial_e K}$, there is an integer r and constant $\alpha > 0$ such that if $g_0(x) = \min \{((T + \alpha)^n 1)(x) : 1 \le n \le r\}$, then $g_0 \le 1$ on $\overline{\partial_e K}$. Then $(\widetilde{T} + \alpha)g_0 \le (T + \alpha)1$ on $\partial_e K$. Also $g_0 \le (T + \alpha)^n 1$, so $(\widetilde{T} + \alpha)g_0 \le (T + \alpha)^{n+1}1$ $(1 \le n \le r)$. Hence, on $\partial_e K$, $(\widetilde{T} + \alpha)g_0 \le g_0$, so $\widetilde{T}g_0 \le (1 - \alpha)g_0$.

Now $g_0 \ge \alpha^r$, so $T^n 1 \le \alpha^{-r} \widetilde{T}^n g_0 \le \alpha^{-r} (1-\alpha)^n g_0$ on $\partial_e K$. The result now follows.

Similarly one may modify the proof of Théorème 2 of [1] to show that if, under the conditions of theorem 3.2,

 $\sup \{ (T^n 1) (x) : n \ge 1 \} > 1$

for each x in $\overline{\partial_e K}$, then $||T^n|| \longrightarrow \infty$.

Example 3.3. — Let \mathscr{H} be a complex Hilbert space, and x be an operator on \mathscr{H} such that $x - \alpha$ is compact for some scalar α with $|\alpha| < 1$. Suppose that for each unit vector ξ in \mathscr{H} , $||x_{\xi}^{n}|| < 1$ for some n (possibly dependent on ξ). If x is self-adjoint, the spectral theorem may be used to deduce that ||x|| < 1. However it is easily verified for example that any non-self-adjoint operator x of rank 1 and norm 1 also satisfies $||x^{2}|| < 1$.

Let A be the C*-algebra spanned by the identity and the compact operators on \mathscr{H} , and let K be its state space. It is well-known that the evaluation map is an isometric order-isomorphism of the selfadjoint part A^s of A onto A(K), and that $\partial_e K$ consists of the vector states ω_{ξ} ($\xi \in \mathscr{H}$, $||\xi|| = 1$) given by $\omega_{\xi}(a) = \langle a\xi, \xi \rangle$ together with the unique state ϕ_0 annihilating the compacts [2, Corollaire 4.1.4]. Using the weak compactness of the unit ball of \mathscr{H} it is easy to see that $\overline{\partial_e K}$ consists of states of the form $\beta \omega_{\xi} + (1 - \beta) \phi_0$ ($\beta \in [0,1]$).

If x satisfies the above conditions, and T is defined by $Ta = x^*ax$ then T is a positive linear operator on A^s , and

 $(\beta\omega_{\xi} + (1-\beta)\phi_0) (\mathbf{T}^n \mathbf{1}) = \beta ||x^n \xi||^2 + (1-\beta) |\alpha|^{2n} < 1$ for some *n*. Theorem 3.2 now shows that $||\mathbf{T}^n \mathbf{1}|| \longrightarrow 0$, so $||x^n|| \longrightarrow 0$.

Acknowledgements.

I am grateful to Professor Choquet and to Dr G.F. Vincent-Smith for helpful discussions on this paper, and to the Science Research Council for their financial support.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- G. CHOQUET and C. FOIAS, Solution d'un problème sur les itérés d'un opérateur positif sur & (K) et propriétés de moyennes associées, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), 24, no. 3 & 4 (1975), 109-129.
- [2] J. DIXMIER, Les C*-algèbres et leurs représentations, 2nd ed., Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.

Manuscrit reçu le 21 septembre 1977 Proposé par G. Choquet.

> C.J.K. BATTY, Mathematical Institute 24-29 St Giles Oxford OX1 2LB, Angleterre.